FINAL ORDER - THIS PRELIMINARY SUMMARY DISPOSITION BECAME THE FINAL ORDER OF THE COMMISSION ON MARCH 10, 2021 AS TO CLAIMANTS 1 AND 2 PURSUANT TO RULE 21F-18(b)(4) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Notice of Covered Act	ion:

## PRELIMINARY SUMMARY DISPOSITIONS OF THE OFFICE OF THE WHISTLEBLOWER

above r "Excha evaluat	in response to the above-referenced Notice of Covered Action, the U.S. Securities and age Commission ("Commission") received a joint whistleblower award claim from "Claimant 1") and "Claimant 2") (collectively "Claimants") for the referenced matter(s). Pursuant to Section 21F of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the ange Act") and Rule 21F-18 promulgated thereunder, the Office of the Whistleblower has red the above claim in accordance with the criteria set forth in Rules 21F-1 through 21F-
18 and process	has designated your award application for resolution through the summary disposition s.
	The Office of the Whistleblower has preliminarily determined to recommend that the ission deny the above award claims. The basis for this determination is marked below as as:
	The information provided by Claimants was never provided to or used by staff handling the Covered Action or underlying investigation (or examination), and those
	staff members otherwise had no contact with Claimant(s). Therefore, Claimant(s) did not provide information that led to the successful enforcement of the above-referenced Covered Action within the meaning of Section 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rules 21F-3(a)(3) and 21F-4(c) thereunder. <sup>2</sup>
	did not provide information that led to the successful enforcement of the above-referenced Covered Action within the meaning of Section $21F(b)(1)$ of the Exchange

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To the extent Claimants have applied for an award in a related action, because Claimants are not eligible for an award in an SEC Covered Action, they are not eligible for an award in connection with any related action. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 78u-6(b); Exchange Act Rule 21F-3(b), (b)(1); Rule 21F-4(g) and (f); Rule 21F-11(a); *see also* Order Determining Whistleblower Award Claim, Release No. 34-86902 (Sept. 9, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Investigative staff responsible for the Covered Action never received any information from Claimants or had any communications with Claimants. Claimants' information was not provided to the investigative staff.

## FINAL ORDER - THIS PRELIMINARY SUMMARY DISPOSITION BECAME THE FINAL ORDER OF THE COMMISSION ON MARCH 10, 2021 AS TO CLAIMANTS 1 AND 2 PURSUANT TO RULE 21F-18(b)(4) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

otic	e of Covered Action:
	Claimant failed to specify in the award application the submission pursuant to Rule 21F-9(a) on which the Claimant's claim for an award is based.
	Claimant failed to comply with the requirements of Rule 21F-8(b) of the Exchange Act because Claimant did not provide certain additional information or enter a confidentiality agreement as required.
	Claimants' applications do not raise any novel or important legal or policy questions and is denied on the basis marked below:
	Claimants did not provide information that led to the successful enforcement of the above-referenced Covered Action within the meaning of Section 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rules 21F-3(a)(3) and 21F-4(c) thereunder because the information provided did not: (1) cause the Commission to (i) commence an examination, (ii) open or reopen an investigation, or (iii) inquire into different conduct as part of a current Commission examination or investigation under Rule 21F-4(c)(1) of the Exchange Act; or (2) significantly contribute to the success of a Commission judicial or administrative enforcement action under Rule 21F-4(c)(2) of the Exchange Act. <sup>3</sup>
	Claimant's whistleblower submission(s) upon which Claimant bases the claim for an award was not made voluntarily as required by Exchange Act Section 21F and Rules 21F-3 and 21F-4(a)(1) because Claimant made the submission(s) after receiving a request, inquiry, or demand, from Commission staff that was directed to Claimant and that related to the subject matter of the submission(s).
	Claimant did not provide "original information" that led to the successful enforcement of the above-referenced Covered Action within the meaning of Section 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rules 21F-3(a)(2) and 21F-4(b) thereunder because the information was not derived from Claimant's: (1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Investigative staff did not receive any information from Claimants, and as such, neither Claimant provided any information that was used in, or otherwise had any impact on, the investigation or resulting Covered Action.

## FINAL ORDER - THIS PRELIMINARY SUMMARY DISPOSITION BECAME THE FINAL ORDER OF THE COMMISSION ON MARCH 10, 2021 AS TO CLAIMANTS 1 AND 2 PURSUANT TO RULE 21F-18(b)(4) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Notice of Cove	ered Action:	
	"independent knowledge," as defined und derived entirely from "publicly available so as defined under Rule 21F-4(b)(3), because examination and evaluation of information generally known or available to the public."	ources;" or (2) "independent analysis," se the information did not include an that "reveals information that is not
	Claimant did not provide "original in enforcement of the above-referenced CorSection 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act thereunder because the information provide the Commission.	vered Action within the meaning of and Rules 21F-3(a)(2) and 21F-4(b)
	Claimant did not provide "original in enforcement of the above-referenced Corsection 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act thereunder because the information was no first time after July 21, 2010 (the date of engreenment and Consumer Protection Act).4	vered Action within the meaning of and Rules 21F-3(a)(2) and 21F-4(b) of provided to the Commission for the
	Claimant's award application is frivolous or lacking a colorable connect between the tip(s) and the Commission action for which Claimant has sough award within the meaning of Rule 21F-8(e) of the Exchange Act.	
		By: Office of the Whistleblower
		Date: February 5, 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Stryker v. SEC, 780 F.3d 163 (2d Cir. 2015).