Case5:09-cv-03423-RS Document1 Filed07/27/09 Page1 of 11 JOHN M. McCOY III, Cal. Bar No. 166244 1 Email: mccovi@sec.gov WILLIAM S. FISKE, Cal. Bar No. 123071 2 Email: fiskew@sec.gov 3 Attorneys for Plaintiff RICHARD W. WIEKING Securities and Exchange Commission CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT 4 Rosalind R. Tyson, Regional Director

Michele Wein Layne, Associate Regional Director

Michele Wein Layne, Associate Regional Director

MO. DIST. OF CA. S.J.

5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90036

DESTRUCT COURT 5 6 Telephone: Facsimile: 7 (323) 965-3908 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, 12 CAINT FOR VIOLATIONS Plaintiff, OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES 13 LAWS 14 DARRYL LAMONTH CLARK, 15 Defendant. 16 Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") 17 alleges as follows: 18 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 19 This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 1. 20 20(b), 20(d)(1), and 22(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), 21 15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d)(1), and 77v(a), and Sections 21(d)(1), 22 21(d)(3)(A), 21(e), and 27 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 23 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(1), 78u(d)(3)(A), 78u(e), and 78aa. 24 Defendant has, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or 25

instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or the facilities of a

national securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts,

practices and courses of business alleged in this Complaint.

26

27

28

5

6

8 9

10

11

12

13 .14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

2. Venue is proper pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77v(a), and Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aa, because certain of the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of conduct constituting violations of the federal securities laws occurred within this district.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

Under Civil Local Rule 3-2, this civil action should be assigned 3. to the San Jose Division, because a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred in Santa Clara County.

SUMMARY

- The Commission brings this action against Darryl Lamonth 4. Clark ("Clark") for violating the antifraud and registration provisions of the federal securities laws through his participation in a ponzi-like scheme perpetrated by Terchi "Nelson" Liao ("Liao") and two entities he controlled, AOB Commerce, Inc. and AOB Asia Fund I, LLC (together, "AOB").
- 5. From at least mid-2004 to July 2007 (the "Relevant Period"), AOB and several related entities raised more than \$45 million from the unregistered offer and sale of securities in the form of promissory notes ("Notes") that, in many cases, paid guaranteed interest up to 5.5% per month to hundreds of investors in several states.
- 6. Although AOB purported to be in the business of making loans to companies in Asia, investor proceeds were used primarily to pay principal and interest on the Notes, pay commissions, and make undisclosed loans to entities affiliated with AOB.
- 7. Clark participated in the offer and sale of the Notes. In so doing, he failed to conduct adequate due diligence into the Notes, participated in the creation of a brochure, disseminated to investors, that contained misleading statements, and was not associated with a registered broker-dealer at the time

he participated in the sale of the Notes.

- 8. By engaging in the conduct described in this Complaint, Clark violated the antifraud, securities registration, and broker-dealer registration provisions of the federal securities laws.
- 9. By this Complaint, the Commission seeks permanent injunctions prohibiting further violations, disgorgement with prejudgment interest, and a civil penalty.

DEFENDANT

10. Darryl Lamonth Clark ("Clark"), age 42, was a resident of Manteca, California during the Relevant Period. Clark worked as a sales agent for AOB from at least July 2004, managed AOB's San Jose, California branch office from 2006 through July 2007, and held the title of vice president of sales and marketing.

RELATED PARTIES

- 11. Terchi "Nelson Liao ("Liao"), age 51, was a resident of Arcadia, California during the Relevant Period. He was the sole owner of AOB Commerce, Inc. and AOB Asia Fund I, LLC.
- 12. AOB Commerce, Inc. is a California corporation that was located in Arcadia, California during the Relevant Period. AOB Commerce never registered an offering of securities under the Securities Act, or a class of securities under the Exchange Act. AOB Commerce offered and sold Notes to investors from at least mid-2004. In July 2007, AOB Commerce was placed into Court-ordered receivership.
- 13. AOB Asia Fund I, LLC is a California limited liability company that was located in Arcadia, California during the Relevant Period. AOB Asia Fund began offering Notes to investors in or about 2006 that were indistinguishable from those offered by AOB Commerce. AOB Asia Fund never registered an offering of securities under the Securities Act, or a class

10

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

of securities under the Exchange Act. In July 2007, AOB Asia Fund was placed into a Court-ordered receivership.

THE FRAUDULENT AND UNREGISTERED OFFERING

The Notes A.

- From at least mid-2004 through July 2007, AOB Commerce, Inc. 14. and (beginning in approximately 2006) the AOB Asia Fund I, LLC, raised more than \$45 million from approximately 430 investors in at least 12 states through the sale of the Notes.
- 15. The Notes are securities in the form of promissory notes. Alternatively, the Notes are securities in the form of investment contracts.
- 16. Neither AOB Commerce's nor AOB Asia Fund's Note offering was registered with the Commission.
 - 17. The Notes promised investors interest of up to 5.5% per month.
- 18. Maturity dates for the Notes ranged from three months to one year. Because the Notes typically rolled over at maturity, some investors held their Notes for years.
- Investor proceeds were pooled and were to be used to make loans to companies in Asia. Although Liao made some loans to Asian companies, the majority of investor funds were used to pay principal and interest on the Notes, pay commissions to sales agents and investors who solicited others to purchase the Notes, and make undisclosed loans to entities affiliated with AOB.

В. The Offer and Sale of the Notes

- AOB made almost no written representations and did not 20. furnish audited financial statements to prospective investors. Instead, the Notes were offered and sold principally through oral representations by Liao, AOB's sales agents, and other investors.
- 21. Liao told prospective investors, or told existing investors who in turn conveyed the information to prospective investors, that their money

would become part of a large fund that would be loaned to companies in China that did not qualify for bank loans and therefore were willing to pay AOB a higher interest rate.

- 22. The Notes stated the dates of maturity, the promised interest rate and, in many cases, the fact that the interest rate was "guaranteed."
- 23. Many Notes also represented that the investor monies would be used in AOB's "syndication program," which was "designed to offer financial services to AOB's overseas . . . clients."
- 24. AOB maintained a website at www.aobinc.com. The website held out AOB Commerce as "a financial services company serving small to large businesses by offering business lending solutions to assist with short-term and long-term financial needs." The website further stated that "AOB Commerce syndicated loan specialists work closely with investors/lenders to raise capital of over \$50 million."
- 25. AOB's website also contained a password-protected entry to a presentation of the investment opportunity provided by the AOB Asia Fund, which was described as "an AOB Commerce guaranteed principal and return product." The password-protected portion of the website devoted to the AOB Asia Fund also represented that "fast growing businesses in Asia look to AOB Commerce for the capital they need for expansion. . . . The AOB Asia Fund is the source of this capital." The website represented that the AOB Asia Fund offers "secured high returns" of 24% per year on one-year investments of at least \$10,000.
- 26. AOB's sales and operations ceased in July 2007, when the Commission brought an emergency injunctive action to halt the scheme, and a receiver was appointed.¹

SEC v. AOB Commerce, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. CV-07-4507 CAS (JCx) (C.D. Cal. filed July 12, 2007).

ļ

C. Clark's Involvement in the Scheme

- 27. In July 2004, Clark became an AOB sales agent, and in 2006
 Liao put him in charge of AOB's San Jose, California branch office.

 Additionally, Clark held the title of vice president of sales and marketing for AOB Commerce. Clark received checks totaling more than \$800,000.
- 28. Clark's duties as head of the San Jose sales office included assisting Liao in tracking commissions that were to be paid to the San Jose sales staff by preparing a monthly report beginning in approximately 2006.
- 29. As a sales agent, Clark initially received monthly commissions based on the outstanding amount invested by the Note holders he introduced to AOB Commerce. Clark also was entitled to receive sales overrides, i.e. a percentage of each sale made on the Notes by other sales agents in the San Jose office.
- 30. Clark stopped soliciting investments from individuals after he assembled a sales team in San Jose (which ultimately numbered at least six agents) in or about 2006. At or about this time, Clark was placed on salary, which he received in lieu of commissions and overrides.

D. Clark's Misrepresentations and Omissions

- 31. Clark failed to perform meaningful due diligence before he became an AOB sales agent in July 2004.
- 32. From the outset of his involvement in the Note program, Clark knew of serious accounting deficiencies at AOB involving internal tracking and payment of commissions to sales agents. AOB's payroll and accounting difficulties became more pronounced in 2007, after accusations that AOB and Liao were operating a ponzi-scheme began circulating among investors. Although Clark was aware of these problems, he never asked to examine AOB's books and records, and never questioned why Liao would not permit anyone to examine his financial records.

- 33. Clark was involved in the preparation of a sales brochure that was distributed to prospective investors in the AOB Asia Fund. The brochure described AOB Asia Fund as an "AOB Commerce guaranteed principal & return product," and represented that investors' principal and interest payments were secured. Clark was aware of several material misrepresentations or omissions that were made in the brochure and/or failed to conduct a sufficient amount of due diligence before making it available to prospective investors. For example, the brochure represented that "AOB has the highest trained project underwriting team in the industry [with] over 15 years of experience in the Asia Marketplace." Although Clark knew that Liao was the primary member of the team, he allowed the solicitation materials to be disseminated from the San Jose sales office, giving the false impression of a much larger "team" of professionals and experts with years of experience.
- 34. Clark also participated in the creation of a second brochure in approximately 2006, which purportedly was to be used for the loan brokering side of AOB's business. This brochure was also disseminated to prospective investors by at least one AOB sales agent. Clark was involved in researching the text of the brochure, and reviewed the document prior to its publication. The brochure includes a section concerning the corporate finance services purportedly offered to AOB's clients, and describes the activities of the company's corporate finance "specialists." Yet, Liao was the only person that Clark was aware of at AOB with this type of experience.

E. <u>Clark's Failure to Register as a Broker or Dealer While</u> <u>Involved in the Sale of Notes</u>

- 35. Clark, himself and through the sales agents he managed, solicited investors to purchase Notes, and directly or indirectly received transaction-based compensation.
 - 36. Clark was neither registered as a broker-dealer nor associated

1	
2	

with a registered broker-dealer while facilitating and participating in the sale of securities.

3

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

4

UNREGISTERED OFFER OR SALE OF SECURITIES

5

Violations of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act

6 7 37. The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 36 above.

8

38. Clark, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instruments of transportation or

9 10

communication in interstate commerce or of the mails, to offer to sell or to

11

sell securities, or to carry or cause such securities to be carried through the

12

sale.

described herein.

mails or in interstate commerce for the purpose of sale or for delivery after

13 14

39. No registration statement was filed or in effect with the Commission pursuant to the Securities Act with respect to the offering

15 16

40. By engaging in the conduct described above, Clark violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate Sections 5(a) and

18 19

17

5(c) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c).

20

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF FRAUD IN THE OFFER OR SALE OF SECURITIES

21 22

Violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act

23 24 41. The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 36 above.

25

26

42. Clark, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in the offer or sale of securities by the use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by

2728

use of the mails, with scienter: (a) employed devices, schemes, or artifices

7

10

12

13

11

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

to defraud; (b) obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of a material fact or by omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or (c) engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

By engaging in the conduct described above, Clark violated, 43. and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a).

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FRAUD IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASE OR SALE OF **SECURITIES**

Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder

- 44. The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 36 above.
- Clark, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, with scienter: (a) employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; (b) made untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or (c) engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon other persons.
- By engaging in the conduct described above, Clark violated, 46. and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 10(b) of

1		tł
2		§
3		
4		
5		
567		
7		p
8		
9		ij
10		C
11		p
12	$\ $	i
13		
14		а
13 14 15 16		a t
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		۲
21		
22		
	- 11	

24

25

26

27

28

the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FAILURE TO REGISTER AS A BROKER-DEALER

Violations of Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act

- 47. The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 36 above.
- 48. Clark, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, made use of the mails or means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce to effect transactions in, or to induce or attempt to induce, the purchase or sale of securities, without being registered as a broker or dealer in accordance with Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 780(a).
- 49. By engaging in the conduct described above, Clark violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78o(a).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court:

I,

Issue findings of fact and conclusions of law that Clark committed the violations of the federal securities laws alleged herein.

II.

Issue judgments, in a form consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d), permanently enjoining Clark and his officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the judgment by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from violating Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), 77e(c), and 77q(a), and Sections 10(b) and 15(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78o(a), and

Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5. 1 III. Order Clark to disgorge all ill-gotten gains from his illegal conduct, together with prejudgment interest thereon. 4 5 Order Clark to pay civil penalties under Section 20(d) of the 6 Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77t(d), and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange 7 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3). 8 9 Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of 10 equity and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and 11 carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered, or to 12 entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the 13 jurisdiction of this Court. 14 15 Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be 16 17 just and necessary. Respectfully submitted, 18 19 July<u>Z4</u>, 2009 20 DATED: 21 Attorney for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

ø	JS 44	Rev.	12/07)	(cand	rev	1-16-08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEB INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO OF THE FORM.)

L (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION				DARRYL LAMONTI	I CLARK	
	f First Listed Plaintiff IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS , Address, and Telephone 1	Control of the Contro		MOTE INTANTOCONE	I U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C DEMNATION CASES, USE TH	an Joaquin ONLY) E LOCATION OF THE
John McCoy, III William S. Fiske Securities and Exchange Co 5670 Wilshire Blvd., 11th I	ommission Floor, Los Angeles, CA	90036 (323) 965	5-3998	Cos	03	423 _{DS}
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in On	e Box Only)	IIIA	TYZENSHIP OF PRI A(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF	DEF . a	nd One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No	ot a Party)		izen of This State 1	I Incorporated or Princip of Business In The 2 Incorporated and Princi	s State
2 U.S. Government 4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Cit	izen or Subject of a 3	of Business In And	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only	/)	·	Foreign Country		ODERNO AND ANY IGHE
CONTRACT		RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL IN 362 Personal In Med. Malp	jury— ractice	1610 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure 36 Property 21 USC 881	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawai 28 USC 157	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 1450 Commerce
140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Liability 1320 Assault, Libel & Slander 1330 Federal Employers'	365 Personal In Product Lia 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Prod	bility ersonal	630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs.	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patentt	460 Deportation 470 R acketeer influenced and Corrupt Organizations
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	Liability 1340 Marine 1345 Marine Product	Liability PERSONAL PRO 1370 Other Fraue	PERTY	660 Occupational Safety/Health Officer	840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 7 850 Securities/Commodities/
[153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability	371 Truth in Le	nding	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	Exchange
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	380 Other Perse Property De 385 Property De Product Lis	amage amage ability	1710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 1730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Beonomic Stabilization Act
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISON PETITIO To Motions to	NS	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	865 RSI (405(g))	893 Environmental Matters 894 Briergy Allocation Act 1895 Freedom of Information
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence Habeas Corpus 530 General 535 Death Pena		791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 2870 Taxes (U.S. Piaintiff or Defendant)	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	540 Mandamus 550 Clyli Right 555 Prison Con	ts	IMMIGRATION 462 Nanualization Application 463 Habeas Corpus – Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigration	26 USC 7609	to Justice 1950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
1 Original 2 Rem	Court Appe	ellate Court	4 Reins Reop	encd (specify)	ict 6 Multidistrict Litigation	Appeal to District To Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N 15 U.S.C. 88 77e(a) Brief description of	and 77e(c); 15 U.! cause:	S.C. § 776	filing (Do not cite Jurisdicti	onal statutes unless diversi F.R. & 240,10b-5; 15 U.S.C.	ty): § 780(a)
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS AC 23	TION	deral securities laws. DEMAND \$	JURY DEN	S only if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	"NOTICE OF F	RELATED CASE	, 3-12 CC	INCERNING REQUIREM	ENT TO FILE	and the state of t
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGN (PLACE AND "X" IN ON	MENT (CIVIL L.R. 3 E BOX ONLY)			FRANCISCO/OAKLAN	D ESAN JOSE	
DATE THE REST	2009	AM	train.	TARL		