

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 85600 / April 10, 2019

Admin. Proc. File No. 3-18725

In the Matter of

SCANSYS, INC., AND
VOZ MOBILE CLOUD LTD,

Respondents.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) issued an Order Instituting Proceedings (“OIP”) on September 6, 2018, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, against respondent Voz Mobile Cloud LTD.¹

On September 20, 2018, the Division of Enforcement filed the Declaration of Joe Showers, which stated that service of the OIP was made on Voz Mobile Cloud LTD on September 13, 2018, “by leaving a copy . . . at the office of Incorp Services, Inc., Registered Agent, 4505 Pacific Highway East, Suite C-2, Fife, WA 98424 with . . . a clerk or other person in charge of the office.” In response to a Commission request for supplemental information concerning service,² on December 11, 2018, the Division of Enforcement filed the Supplemental Declaration of Neil J. Welch, Jr., which described the steps taken by the Division to determine that Incorp Services, Inc. was the registered agent for Voz Mobile Cloud LTD and to determine the address for Incorp Services, Inc.

As stated in the OIP, the answer of respondent Voz Mobile Cloud LTD was required to be filed within ten days of service of the OIP.³ As of the date of this order, respondent Voz Mobile Cloud LTD has not filed an answer. The prehearing conference and the hearing are thus continued indefinitely.

¹ *ScanSys, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 84048, 2018 WL 4253088 (Sept. 6, 2018).

² *ScanSys, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 84757 (Dec. 7, 2018), available at <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/opinions/2018/34-84757.pdf>.

³ Rules of Practice 151(a), 160(b), 220(b), 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.151(a), .160(b), .220(b).

Accordingly, respondent Voz Mobile Cloud LTD is ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE by April 24, 2019, why the registration of its securities should not be revoked by default due to its failure to file an answer and to otherwise defend this proceeding. When a party defaults, the allegations in the OIP will be deemed to be true and the Commission may determine the proceeding against that party upon consideration of the record without holding a public hearing.

If respondent Voz Mobile Cloud LTD fails to respond to this order to show cause, it may be deemed in default, the proceeding may be determined against it, and its securities may be revoked.⁴ Upon review of the filings in response to this order, the Commission will either direct further proceedings by subsequent order or issue a final order resolving the matter.

For the Commission, by the Office of the General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Acting Secretary

⁴ Rules of Practice 155, 180, 17 C.F.R. § 201.155, .180; *see ScanSys, Inc.*, 2018 WL 4253088, at *2 (“If Respondents fail to file the directed Answers, . . . [they] may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against them . . .”).