

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS
Release No. 1047 / November 18, 2013

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-15076

In the Matter of

CHINA VOICE HOLDING CORP.,	:	
CHINA YONGXIN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,	:	
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES HOLDINGS, INC.,	:	ORDER
CRESTEK, INC.,	:	
CRYS*TEL TELECOMMUNICATIONS.COM, INC.	:	
(n/k/a FLEET MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS, INC.),	:	
CSI COMPUTER SPECIALISTS, INC., and	:	
CST ENTERTAINMENT, INC. (n/k/a LEGACY	:	
HOLDING, INC.)	:	

The Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission) issued an Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings (OIP) on October 24, 2012, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). Respondents were served with the OIP by October 27, 2012, in accordance with Rule 141(a)(2)(ii) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice. On November 13, 2012, Respondents were ordered to show cause why the registration of their securities should not be revoked by default. I issued an order revoking the registration of each class of registered securities of Crys*Tel Telecommunications.com, Inc. (n/k/a Fleet Management Solutions, Inc.) (Crys*Tel).¹ See China Voice Holding Corp., Exchange Act Release No. 68346 (Dec. 4, 2012).

On November 6, 2013, Crys*Tel filed a Motion to Set Aside the Default Judgment as to Crys*Tel and a Memorandum in support (collectively, Motion) and the Declaration of Evangelos Alexandris in support of the Motion with three exhibits attached thereto. See 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(b).

Rule 155(b) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice state that “the hearing officer, at any time prior to the filing of the initial decision, or the Commission, at any time, may for good cause shown set aside a default.” 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(b). Because it is unclear to whom

¹ This proceeding has ended as to all other Respondents. See China Voice Holding, Corp., Exchange Act Release Nos. 68333 (Dec. 3, 2012), 68388 (Dec. 10, 2012), 68566 (Jan. 3, 2013), 68893 (Feb. 11, 2013).

Crys*Tel directs its Motion – to myself or to the Commission – and because I am not barred from ruling on the Motion because an initial decision has not yet been issued in this proceeding, I deem that the Motion is directed to this Office.

On November 15, 2013, the Division of Enforcement (Division) filed a Motion for Extension of Time to File Opposition to Motion (Extension Motion), requesting that its response deadline be postponed until November 22, 2013, because the Division was not served with the Motion and Division counsel was absent from the office on bereavement leave between November 6 and November 14, 2013.

ORDER

FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN and because such request will not substantially prejudice Crys*Tel, I GRANT the Extension Motion and ORDER that the Division file its opposition to the Motion by November 22, 2013. See 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.161(a)-(b). Crys*Tel shall file its reply, if any, by November 27, 2013. See 17 C.F.R. § 201.154(b).

The parties are reminded that all motions and other papers submitted in this matter shall be filed with the Commission’s Office of the Secretary and sent to the opposing party pursuant to Rules 150 and 151 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice. See 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.150, .151. In addition to the formal filing, courtesy copies may be sent to this Office at alj@sec.gov.

Cameron Elliot
Administrative Law Judge