SUBCOMMITTEE DISCUSSION DRAFT

Oct. 2, 2017

Of the Investor as Purchaser Subcommittee of the Investor Advisory Committee
Regarding Development of an Enhanced Notice Document for Delivery of Investment Company Shareholder Reports

Background

- A recent proposal by the Commission to allow mutual fund companies to default investors to electronic delivery of annual shareholder reports based on negative consent (30e-3) enjoyed strong support from the fund industry, but met with significant resistance from investor advocates.
- During discussions of the issue, including at a meeting of the IAC, both fund industry representatives and investor advocates voiced strong support for a layered approach to disclosure for annual shareholder reports, modeled on the summary prospectus rule, in which investors would receive a brief summary of key information from the annual report in a disclosure notifying them of the full report's availability.
- It has been suggested that such an approach could deliver many of the cost savings associated with the SEC's 30e-3 proposal without presenting the same concerns that disclosure effectiveness would be compromised.
- Since then, Broadridge has developed a model of summary notice document that
 combines prominent notice regarding how to obtain a copy of the full report with key
 items from the report itself, including information on fund costs, performance, and
 holdings.

Recommendation

The Investor Advisory Committee recommends that the Commission explore development of a summary notice document for annual shareholder reports that incorporates key information from the report along with prominent notice regarding how to obtain a copy of the full report. The summary document would be designed to be delivered, either by mail or by email depending on the investors' delivery preferences. It would also incorporate a layered disclosure approach, including the ability of those getting the document by email to click through to more detailed disclosure on a particular topic. The Committee recommends that the Commission seek public comment on the appropriate content and format of such a disclosure document, as well as on the advisability of the suggested approach more generally. The Committee further recommends that the Commission engage in investor testing of the proposed disclosure, possibly in the form of field tests, to ensure that it delivers the expected benefits of reducing costs for funds and distributors without sacrificing disclosure quality.

Discussion

In May of 2015, the Commission proposed a broad package of new rules and amendments to existing rules with a goal of modernizing investment company reporting. Included in the package was a proposed new rule 30e-3 under the Investment Company Act, which would have permitted mutual fund companies to satisfy their delivery requirements for periodic shareholder reports by making the reports accessible on a website and meeting certain other conditions. To satisfy the rule, the fund's report to shareholders would have to have been publicly accessible, free of charge, at a specified website address. The rule would have permitted funds to default investors to electronic delivery based on negative consent where the investor had received written notice, at least 60 days prior to the time the company planned to begin relying on the rule, notifying the shareholder of the fund's intent to make future shareholder reports available on the fund's website until the shareholder revokes consent. In addition, fund companies would have been required under the proposed rule to provide separate notice to shareholders each time a report was posted, alerting shareholders to the availability of the report online and providing them with information on how to obtain a paper copy if they wanted one.

The proposal received strong support from the fund industry. The Investment Company Institute wrote, for example, to express its enthusiastic support for adoption of rule 30e-3.² "Not only would the proposed rule satisfy investor preferences, it has the potential to save fund shareholders an estimated \$140 million per year on a net basis in the first three years of adoption," ICI wrote. ICI urged the Commission to extend the implied consent delivery approach to prospectuses and suggested other changes to eliminate certain aspects of the notice requirements, including, for example, the requirement to provide shareholders with a preaddressed, postage paid reply form to use if they preferred to receive the reports in paper. Similarly, the Independent Directors Council wrote to express its view "that proposed rule 30e-3 would deliver significant benefits to fund shareholders, without impeding investor protection concerns." The letter states that, "The benefits of online delivery of shareholder reports are straightforward and significant. The potential cost savings for funds and, ultimately, their shareholders is unequivocally the primary benefit."

The proposal was strongly opposed by certain investor advocates, who objected in particular to the rule's reliance on negative consent to default investors to electronic delivery via website posting of the reports. Consumer Action and National Consumers League, for example, wrote in opposition to the proposal, stating that "the true cost of Rule 30e-3 is decreased transparency and investor access to information." These consumer groups noted that, while consumers want to have access to information online, research suggests a majority of consumers prefer to receive important disclosure documents in paper. They noted that investors who prefer

¹ SEC File No. S7-08-15, Investment Company Reporting Modernization, May 20, 2015 http://bit.ly/1Pp1qwt

² August 11, 2015 letter from ICI General Counsel David W. Blass to SEC Secretary Brent J. Fields, regarding Investment Company Reporting Modernization and Amendments to Form ADV and Investment Adviser Act Rules, File Nos. S7-08-15 and S7-09-15 http://bit.ly/2vbAy10

³ May 10, 2016 letter from IDC Managing Director Amy B.R. Lancellotta to SEC Secretary Brent J. Fields, regarding Supplemental Comments on Investment Company Reporting Modernization; File No. S7-08-15 http://bit.ly/2uypzvv

⁴ April 12, 2016 letter from Consumer Action Director of National Priorities Linda Sherry and National Consumers League Executive Director Sally Greenberg to SEC Secretary Brent J. Fields, regarding Investment Company Reporting Modernization Proposed Rule; Release Nos. 33-9776; 34-75002; IC-31610; File No. S7-08-15; S7-16-15 http://bit.ly/2vFQk67

electronic delivery already have that option, and the rule "only increases the work investors [who prefer paper delivery] must exercise to preserve their choice." Consumer Federation of America also wrote in opposition to the rule, stating that the Commission had failed to make the case that the rule was "either needed or warranted." CFA wrote that, "we simply have not yet reached the point in this country where a sufficient percentage of investors prefer to receive disclosures electronically to justify a default to electronic delivery. While we feel certain that day will eventually arrive, a premature move to electronic delivery based on implied consent ensures that fewer investors will receive and review the important disclosures these documents are intended to provide."

The Investor Advisory Committee had a panel presentation and discussed the issue at its July 2016 meeting. While supporting views were also expressed, a number of IAC members voiced opposition to the proposal's reliance on negative consent to change the delivery default and suggested that potential savings to shareholders were insufficient to justify the proposed change. Over the course of the discussion, however, a number of participants expressed support for a layered approach to disclosure, using a summary document modeled loosely on the mutual fund summary prospectus.

Ultimately, the Commission approved the broader package of investment company reporting reforms in October of 2016 without including proposed rule 30e-3.

Since that time, Broadridge has explored development of a summary document along the lines discussed by the IAC. As conceived by Broadridge, the document would include prominent notice of the availability of the full report and information on how to obtain it along with key highlights from the report itself. The model developed by Broadridge with input from interested parties includes information on fund costs, performance and fund holdings. Broadridge estimates that its proposed approach would provide additional savings beyond those anticipated under the 30e-3 proposal.

Without endorsing the specific Broadridge proposal, the IAC believes the framework on which that proposal is based has the potential to deliver cost savings to industry without unduly compromising disclosure effectiveness. We therefore recommend that the Commission further explore this approach through investor testing, either through field tests other means. Based on the findings of that investor testing, the Commission should consider further rulemaking, with an opportunity for public comment on the proposed approach as well as the details of the content and format for the proposed enhanced notice document.

⁶ July 14, 2016 meeting of the SEC Investor Advisory Committee webcast http://bit.ly/2vGetJS

3

⁵ July 29,2015 letter from CFA Director of Investor Protection Barbara Roper to SEC Secretary Brent J. Fields, regarding Investment Company Reporting Modernization, File No. S7-08-15 http://bit.ly/2vGd463