U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Small Business Capital Formation Advisory Committee

> Wednesday, June 14, 2023 10:06 a.m.

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549

Page 2 1 **PARTICIPANTS:** 2 3 AMY REISCHAUER, Strategic Engagement Advisor 4 COURTNEY HASELEY, Special Counsel 5 JULIE ZELMAN DAVIS, Senior Special Counsel б COMMISSIONER GARY GENSLER 7 COMMISSIONER MARK UYEDA COMMISSIONER JAMIE LIZÁRRAGA 8 9 COMMISSIONER HESTER PEIRCE 10 11 SMALL BUSINESS CAPITAL FORMATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE: WEMIMO ABBE, Co-Founder and Co-CEO, Esusu; Los Angeles, 12 13 CA DONNEL BAIRD, Founder and CEO, BlocPower LLC, Brooklyn, 14 15 NY 16 WILLIAM M. BEATTY, Securities Administrator of the Washington State Securities Division; Olympia, WA 17 18 STACEY BOWERS, University of Denver Sturm College of 19 Law, Of Counsel 3Pillars Law, PLLC, Denver, CO 20 GEORGE COOK, Co-Founder and CEO Honeycomb Credit, 21 Pittsburgh, PA VINCENT CORDERO, Partner, Co-CEO and Chief Business 22 23 Officer, Mucho Mas Media; Miami FL 24 MARCIA DAWOOD, Venture partner, Mindshift Capital; 25 Charlotte, NC

Page 3 GREG DEAN, Senior Vice President of the Office of 1 2 Government Affairs, FINRA; Washington, D.C. 3 BAILEY DEVRIES, Associate Administrator for the Office 4 of Investment & Innovation; U.S. Small Business 5 Administration, Washington, D.C. 6 BART DILLASHAW, Founder, Enterprise Legal Studio; Omaha, 7 NE HERBERT DRAYTON III, Founder and Managing Partner, 8 9 HI Mark Capital; Charleston, SC ERICA DUIGNAN, Founder & General Partner, Reign 10 11 Ventures; New York, NY 12 DIEGO MARISCAL, Founder, CEO, and Chief Disabled Officer, 2Gether-International; Washington, D.C. 13 14 LAURA NIKLASON, Founder, President, and CEO, Humacyte; 15 Durham, NC 16 DAVYEON ROSS, Co-Founder and President, DDSports/ShotTracker, Overland Park, KS 17 JASMIN SETHI, Founder and CEO, Sethi Clarity Advisers; 18 19 Philadelphia, PA AREN SHARIFI, Attorney, Kutak Rock LLP; Denver, CO 20 21 MARC OORLOFF SHARMA, Assistant Director and Chief 22 Counsel of the Office of the Investor Advocate, 23 U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission; Washington, D.C. 24 25

	Page 4
1	DENNIS SUGINO, Founder, Kansa Advisory LLC; Huntington
2	Beach, CA
3	SUE WASHER, Founder and Former CEO, Applied Genetic
4	Technologies Corporation; Gainesville, FL
5	
б	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Г

		Page 5
1	CONTENTS	
2		PAGE
3	Introduction	6
4	Amy Reischauer, Strategic Engagement Advisor	
5	Opening Remarks	
6	Commissioner Gary Gensler	8
7	Commissioner Mark Uyeda	13
8	Commissioner Jamie Lizárraga	17
9	Advisory Committee Introductions	22
10	Afternoon Remarks	
11	Commissioner Hester Peirce	65
12	Roundtable Discussion	72
13	Closing Remarks	
14	Amy Reischauer, Strategic Engagement Advisor	120
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page б

PROCEEDINGS

INTRODUCTION

MS. REISCHAUER: Good morning, everyone. It's been nice to meet those of you I had a chance to chat with and I look forward to meeting some of the others. Welcome to today's meeting of the SEC's Small Business Capital Formation Advisory Committee. This meeting is called to order. Courtney, do we have a quorum?

9

1 2

MS. HASELEY: We do.

10 MS. REISCHUER: Excellent. Thank you. For 11 those I haven't met yet, I'm Amy Reischauer. I'm the 12 Acting Deputy-Director of the SEC's Office of the Advocate for Small Business Capital Formation. 13 Our 14 small business advocacy team, as we shorten it to, takes 15 the lead in supporting this committee as you guys carry 16 out your very important work.

As you'll likely hear throughout the meeting today, the insights and the expertise that the SEC gets from this group is so, so valuable. And so we, in the office, really consider our opportunity to work with you to be quite a privilege so thank you and thank you for being here.

A little bit of background for those of you tuning on SEC.gov. The Commission recently appointed 14 new members of the committee. That's quite a new group.

As you no doubt will hear throughout the day, the new members are joining us from states all across the country. They are entrepreneurs, they are investors, advisors, advocates. And above all, they are champions for small business.

6 We really appreciate their participation here. 7 We are thrilled to welcome those of you who are new to 8 the committee and appreciate you all arranging your busy 9 schedules on a relatively short notice to be here today 10 and so many in person.

As was already the case with our continuing members whom we appreciate so much, we really look forward to learning from all of you and benefitting from the diverse insights and perspectives that you'll share with us.

Today's agenda, this morning we're going to focus on really getting to know the new members and hearing what all the members are seeing with respect to small business capital formation in your various geographic regions and your very sectors of the marketplace.

The committee will break at 11:30 for an administrative executive session. That will be over lunch. During that time, the committee will elect new officers who can steer the committee both with respect

1 to the agenda and leading these meetings so that will 2 allow our team to return to more of a support role 3 behind the scenes. We'll get out of your way, so to 4 speak.

5 This afternoon, the agenda will include a 6 discussion of ways to remedy funding gaps for 7 underrepresented founders and start-ups, a topic near 8 and dear to our office's heart. So we look forward to 9 hearing what it is that you all have to share on that 10 topic.

To wrap up the day, the committee will 11 consider potential ideas for future areas to focus on. 12 But of course before we begin any of that, we are 13 pleased to start with opening remarks form the Chair and 14 15 the commissioners. But before I turn it over to them I 16 will give the standard disclaimer for any SEC staff who speak today -- this may sound familiar. Any views that 17 the SEC Staff express today are made in each staff 18 19 member's official capacity and do not necessarily reflect the view of the Commission, any of the 20 21 Commissioners of any of our colleagues on the staff of 22 the Commission. 23 So with that, Chair Gensler, I will turn the 24 screen over to you. 25 OPENING REMARKS

1 CHAIR GENSLER: Thank you so much and good 2 morning, everyone. Good morning, everyone. It's a 3 pleasure to speak with the Small Business Capital 4 Formation Advisory Committee. And as was just said, 5 I'd like to note that my views are my own as Chair of 6 the SEC but not speaking on behalf of my fellow 7 Commissioners or staff.

8 I really pleased to welcome the Advisory 9 Committee's new members, 14 as is said, and I can't 10 thank each of you each enough for raising your hand to 11 serving this capacity. You're making a contribution to 12 a critical part of our work at this agency and our work 13 serving the small businesses that make up such an 14 important part of our economy.

15 I say this out of personal experience, having 16 grown up in a family with a small business. And as I mentioned and was mentioned for us all, we each speak 17 today on own behalf, but I think I -- I think I can do 18 19 this Commissioner Uyeda, I see there. Commissioner Lizárraga and I all have shared this experience of 20 21 growing up in families with great traditions of small 22 businesses. But again, I can only speak for myself. 23 But all Americans really have seen the role 24 that small businesses play in their local communities 25 but as well as across our great nation and across our

whole broad economy. And it's often through a small business that those who have a dream or want to take a risk, can make a go at it. And that's why it is so important that the capital markets help facilitate capital formation for businesses ranging from small to large.

7 I think Congress recognized that in many ways.
8 In our statutory framework and how we approach our work
9 and our three-part mission. But I think it also
10 recognized it seven years ago when, through an act of
11 Congress called the Small Business Advocate Act, in
12 2016, it established this advisory committee. It's one
13 of our two statutory advisory committees.

14 For ongoing members and new members alike, you 15 perform an important bit of work to help share advice 16 and recommendations to the Commission on matters relating to small businesses, including smaller public 17 companies. And it's helpful to hear from you all, I 18 19 would say both in your formal role as a member of the committee -- and you'll do reports and you'll have input 20 21 and you'll meet quarterly and those Commissioners that 22 are able to will be listening in or reviewing what your 23 discussions are.

24 But I'd also say it's important as well, 25 individually. You see, we benefit whether you weigh in

as a group with your thoughts or individually with 1 2 comment letters, with meetings with the staff or with 3 commissioners and otherwise. That input, formal and 4 informal, helps to advance our mission, including with regard to capital formation for small businesses. 5 6 I'm glad you're also going to get a chance to 7 talk about a couple topics today. We benefit from 8 hearing your perspective on the state of small business capital raising. I'd just note kind of two things on 9 your first topic about small business capital raisings 10 that at least I'm interested in hearing. 11 12 First is the context of our current economy, given the rising interest rates -- and we all live 13 14 withing that. The rising interest rates and the challenges even of some regional banks earlier this 15 16 I'm interested as to whatever thoughts you have year. 17 with regard to that perspective in the current economic 18 environment. Second, it would good to hear your thoughts --19 20 and this might be over many meetings -- but your thoughts on the increasing use of predictive data 21 22 analytics, including artificial intelligence, in the 23 capital markets and by financial companies, fintech companies, of large companies in the underwriting of 24 25 loans or elsewhere.

I just ask the committee members for your 1 2 thoughts regarding how the growing use of these technologies -- predictive data analytics and the 3 4 allocation of credit or otherwise -- has changed funding 5 practices or availability or pricing with regard to 6 small businesses. A lot going on there, of course. 7 I'm also glad your agenda includes a 8 discussion on addressing funding gaps for 9 underrepresented founders and startups. All companies, 10 from small businesses to high-growth startups to corporations, deserve access to our capital markets to 11 12 fund their entrepreneurial ideas and innovations, regardless of the founders or the leading members of 13 that company's race, gender, geography, sexual 14 15 orientation, whether they have disabilities or otherwise -- just any other factors. Access to capital should be 16 17 there regardless. And at the SEC, we work every day to protect 18 19 investors and facilitate capital formation across the spectrum of communities that make America strong, 20 including underserved communities. With respect to the 21

21 Including underserved communities. With respect to the 22 middle part of our mission, to maintain fair, orderly, 23 and efficient markets, you see it's protecting 24 investors, facilitating capital formation. But that 25 middle part -- maintaining fair, orderly and efficient

1 markets -- fairness literally is embedded in our 2 mission.

3 So I welcome the committee's thoughts on how 4 we might continue to advance this fairness on behalf of 5 small businesses that you are advising us on. After 6 all, our mission ultimately is to ensure that the 7 markets serve the interests of investors and issuers 8 alike, and not the other way around, that you all serve 9 the interest of the markets.

I thank you, I give it back to you, I look forward to hearing my fellow commissioners as well that might be speaking.

Thank you, Chair Gensler. 13 MS. REISCHUER: Ι 14 will lean the mic things in. Commissioner Peirce has a scheduling conflict this morning so she can't be here. 15 16 But she will be joining us this afternoon to provide her 17 remarks so we look forward to hearing from her then. Unfortunately, Commissioner Crenshaw is 18 19 traveling for another engagement and can't connect. Ι know she was disappointed to miss the opportunity to 20 21 meet and to hear from -- at a later meeting though. So 22 with that, I will turn the mic over to Commissioner 23 Uyeda.

24 COMMISSIONER UYEDA: Well, thank you very25 much, Amy and welcome, everyone. If it looks like we're

struggling over the microphones it's because I think 1 2 these are new. And the old microphones were green when they were live and red when it was muted. 3 So I think --4 so again, keeping in mind the cautionary disclaimer that 5 we each speak on our own behalf, I can tell you as 6 somebody who's been with the SEC for a while, to see the 7 red light on here you generally think, "Okay, the mic's 8 off." So we're live.

9 But anyway, good morning, and I would like to 10 welcome the 14 new members of the committee and thank 11 you in advance for your contributions towards creating a 12 regulatory structure that allows small businesses and 13 smaller public companies to raise necessary capital, 14 while at the same time, protecting their investors

15 I would also like to thank Donnel Baird and 16 Sue Washer, as well as the committee's representatives 17 from the Commission's Investor Advocate, NASAA, the Small Business Administration, and FINRA, for their 18 19 continued service on the committee. Today's agenda includes discussion on the state of small business 20 21 capital raising, and the funding gaps for 22 underrepresented founders and startups.

23 On the first topic, I am interested in your 24 views on whether small business owners, especially those 25 located in more rural areas, have access to the quality

legal services and other financial services necessary to 1 2 help them understand their capital raising options. Has 3 the Securities Act's regulatory framework for 4 registration exemptions become too complicated, and if 5 so, how can it be simplified? What are the common foot 6 faults in compliance, before, during, and after the 7 offering? The Commission's registration exemptions 8 should not be a game of "gotcha" that require \$1,000 per 9 hour lawyers to navigate.

10 On the second topic, challenges for 11 underrepresented founders have been discussed in the 12 Office's last three annual reports to Congress. This is 13 a widely-recognized problem. As we search for ways to 14 address these challenges, how can the Commission ensure 15 that its future regulations do not exacerbate the 16 problem?

For example, would increasing the income and 17 net worth thresholds in the accredited investor 18 19 definition make it even more challenging for underrepresented founders to obtain funding? 20 Would greater regulation of the private markets 21 22 disproportionately affect underrepresented founders? 23 If the Commission engages in rulemaking on these topics without addressing their potential impact 24 25 on underrepresented founders, then the funding gap may

only widen and remain a perennial issue for this
 committee to address. So I look forward to your
 thoughts on these two topics and look forward to
 engaging with you during the course of your service on
 the committee.

6 So I've been only Commissioner for a year but 7 on the staff since 2006, and I think this is the fourth 8 iteration of a small business committee that I've had 9 the privilege of working with. So we had two versions 10 before we got the statutory authority that Chair Gensler 11 just referred to.

And I feel that with the significant turnover here and the new views, we have sort of a renewal of the thought with this committee. What I've taken from each of them is I learn something new because of the constant turnover and influx of ideas. And we're got an awful lot on our plate at the SEC but the one that I've always been personally interested in is small business.

19 It's something that as a lawyer in private 20 practice I've had to think about. "Well, do we do a Reg 21 A? Do we do a Reg D?" Oh, there's -- "we can do a 22 504." And then as a former states security regulator I 23 then became very, very entuned to the intrastate 24 exemption.

25

So I look forward to seeing and hearing your

Page 17 recommendations over the next couple of years. 1 So thank 2 you again for your service. 3 MS. REISCHUER: Thank you, again, 4 Commissioner. Next, I'll invite Commissioner Lizárraga 5 to provide his remarks. COMMISSIONER LIZÁRRAGA: 6 Good morning, and 7 thank you, Amy, for managing these proceedings and for 8 your introduction. It is a pleasure to welcome the new members of the Committee. Today, you take on the 9 important responsibility of advising the Commission on 10 11 small business capital formation. 12 Nearly one-year ago, my first public remarks as a newly-sworn SEC Commissioner were delivered to your 13 14 predecessors on this Committee. To reiterate my message from that time, by offering your insights to this 15 16 Committee, you will have a unique opportunity to improve the quality of our decision-making. While you will 17 devote much of today to organizational matters, it is 18 19 encouraging that you will hit the ground running with a discussion of remedying funding gaps for 20 21 underrepresented entrepreneurs. To me, this is an 22 important priority that warrants increased and sustained 23 attention, especially in light of the unique challenges that are involved. 24 25 We share the common goal of promoting capital

formation in the broadest, most inclusive way possible. 1 2 Your service on this Committee is an opportunity to 3 advise us on how best to leverage our resources and 4 authorities to meaningfully achieve this goal. Fresh, 5 thoughtful perspective that you bring to the table can 6 help us think in more creative, proactive ways about how 7 our agency's authorities can be best deployed to empower 8 millions of entrepreneurs in our most disadvantaged 9 communities.

10 Historically, these authorities have benefited a range of well-resourced companies and start-ups, the 11 12 so-called "unicorns," quite well. But underrepresented entrepreneurs haven't fared as well and could be better 13 served by these same tools. Some of the findings in the 14 15 latest Annual Report by the Small Business Advocate 16 illustrate the unique challenges these entrepreneurs confront today. 17

Seventy eight percent report that access to 18 19 capital is limiting their day-to-day operations. 89 percent say that barriers to accessing capital limit 20 21 their business growth potential. 73 percent of owners are looking for support in preparing to apply for and 22 23 use business financing. Bridging gaps between entrepreneurs and investors remains the biggest 24 25 challenge for early-stage startups when raising capital,

with 40 percent of entrepreneurs finding it challenging
 to find a lead investor.

3 All of these findings are concerning and speak 4 to the unique challenges in this space. Many small 5 businesses, particularly those from underrepresented 6 founders that operate outside of established 7 entrepreneurial ecosystems, struggle with navigating our 8 securities laws. Or, with navigating an exempt offering framework that's so often written in impenetrable legal 9 10 jargon.

11 This tends to favor the many market 12 participants that are already well-resourced and well-13 supported by the current system; that is, those who need 14 our help and attention the least. It may be one reason 15 why, according to the same Annual Report, only 6 percent 16 of small businesses seeking external financing sought 17 out equity investments.

I witnessed this challenge first-hand, growing 18 19 up in an immigrant household with highly entrepreneurial parents who ran a Mexican food business out of our home. 20 21 Chair Gensler made some reference to our shared 22 experience with family small businesses. And some of 23 you may bring similar perspectives to this discussion, from your own personal experience or that of your 24 25 family's.

1 My family's business growth potential was 2 substantial but remained unrealized. It was a time when 3 the barriers to business growth all too common for many 4 hard-working immigrant families were difficult, if not 5 impossible, to overcome.

Though some of these barriers and challenges 6 7 persist for many entrepreneurs today, the optimist in me 8 believes in the power of innovative thinking and of creative solutions to these difficult challenges. The 9 10 same spirit of entrepreneurship that is the hallmark of American ingenuity and that is represented on this 11 committee can help us better fulfill our capital 12 formation mission on behalf of the investing public. 13

Because of the insights you will bring to this discussion, our country will be better off. New and innovative solutions can help address funding gaps for the 94 percent of small businesses that are in need of access to growth capital, but have not sought to do so through equity investments.

And of course, while many of the solutions may take time to develop, there is also low-hanging fruit that can easily be harvested right now. Like improving the availability of Commission information about how disadvantaged businesses can benefit from our securities law framework and thereby reduce barriers to accessing

1 growth capital.

2 The Small Business Advocate recently developed a helpful online educational resource called "Building 3 4 Blocks," which helps explain the process of capital 5 raising in our markets. This type of resource has the 6 potential to narrow the knowledge gap between well-7 resourced and underrepresented entrepreneurs. I've 8 already recommended some modest steps to the Small Business Advocate Office that could be taken to improve 9 the visibility and reach of this type of Commission 10 11 resource.

Your insights on how to communicate more effectively with underrepresented entrepreneurs and in ways that meet their unique needs will also be very valuable to the Commission. Our effectiveness in making our capital formation process more inclusive is tied directly to our effectiveness in communicating and marketing the value of our resources.

19 In this spirit, it is my hope that the 20 Committee's work in the near future will also result in 21 direct recommendations to the Commission on the best 22 ways to maximize access to capital for disadvantaged 23 entrepreneurs more generally. Because in the absence of 24 broad-based access to growth capital for our nation's 25 smallest, most underserved businesses, the fulfilment of

our capital formation mission will fall short of its
 full potential.

3 Thank you again for your service and for your4 contributions to today's discussion.

5 MS. REISCHUER: Thank you, Commissioners. We 6 appreciate your remarks. As I mentioned earlier and 7 you've heard from our commissioners, we're delighted to 8 welcome the many new committee members today all around the table and virtually -- I believe we have someone 9 10 online. But given the number of new faces, we thought it would be helpful to have everyone share just a little 11 12 bit about themselves and their professional experiences as they relate to small business capital formation. 13 Ι 14 think that will help inform today's and future 15 discussions.

16 I think we can go around the room if you want 17 to take a couple of minutes. Wemimo, do you want to 18 start with you?

ADVISORY COMMITTEE INTRODUCTIONS MR. ABBE: Absolutely. Hi everyone. I'm the co-founder and Co-CEO of Esusu, Inc., and Esusu is a financial technology company that captures on-time rental payments data, transforms that information and report it into the consumers rating agencies so everyday renters can get credit for paying their rent on time.

1	What draws me particularly to this committee
2	is during my sort fundraising process, in addition to my
3	co-founder as a minority, we had over 320 investors say
4	no to us during the fundraising process. And despite
5	those rejections, we've gone on to build a business that
6	now has unlocked over \$5 billion in additional financing
7	for folks that otherwise wouldn't get financing and beat
8	the odds. And now raised over \$145 million and it's now
9	valued at a billion dollars.
10	So I really want to contribute my own humble
11	quarter to make sure that folks that look like me and
12	others can get a fighting chance and really build
13	something formidable and really, you know, fulfill their
14	true mission and vision of what we're trying to
15	accomplish here. Thank you.
16	MS. REISCHUER: I think Donnel might be
17	online. If you are and want to chime in and introduce
18	yourself, I welcome you.
19	MR. BAIRD: Good morning. My name is Donnel
20	Baird. I'm in Seattle meeting with Microsoft who is one
21	of our largest investors. I am the president of the (mic
22	interference) Wemimo fan club and I'm the vice-president
23	of the Gary Gensler fan club.
24	I am honored to continue to serve on this
25	committee, which I joined 6 or 7 months ago. I run a

clean energy start up called BlocPower, that's raised about \$250 million, mostly debt but also some corporate equity, to invest in financing clean energy infrastructure in low income American communities by leasing green energy equipment in affordable pay-as-yougo fashion over 15 years.

7 Like Wemimo, I've met with well over 400 8 venture capital investors who declined to invest in our business but have been able to generate over \$100 9 10 million in top line revenue within the last few years to serve our financially-underserved consumer segment. 11 We 12 also won a contract from the U.S. Department of Energy to use (mic interference) exemptions to raise project 13 finance capital to invest in solar projects in low 14 15 income communities. And I've raised about \$5 million 16 through Regulation CF exemptions.

17 We've explored intrastate exemptions in New York State, which have been quite difficult to navigate, 18 19 and we have also spent about three or four years working on a Regulation A offering that we hope will allow 20 21 American retail investors to participate in owning a portion of the financial returns from the clean energy 22 23 economy. So delighted to continue to serve. Thank you. MS. REISCHUER: I'll let you guys take back 24 25 the table.

My name's Stacey Bowers and MS. BOWERS: Hi. 1 2 I actually have two hats here today. I am a professor 3 at the University of Denver Sturm College of Law. Ι 4 head up our corporate and commercial law program there. 5 So in my role there, I teach in the corporate arena. In б particular, I teach a class about raising capital 7 through exempt offerings. So I spend a lot of time 8 exploring the rules and regulations and have a lot of that background knowledge, passing it along onto 9 10 students. I'm also a nerd. I teach accounting for 11 12 lawyers, so I bring a little bit of that to the table. In my role as a practicing attorney I'm of counsel for a 13 14 small firm outside of Denver and we really operate in a 15 very niche market. So we represent clients doing real estate 16 syndications. And typically not -- don't think REIT. 17 My clients aren't REITS, doing that kind of work. 18 Our 19 clients are predominantly raising capital anywhere from a million to maybe 30 to \$40 million to purchase a self-20 21 storage unit, to purchase a multi-family complex. 22 So I work with a lot of very small 23 entrepreneurs who are just -- some of them are just It's their first time doing this. 24 getting started. 25 They've been investors previously in these kind of deals

and now they're transitioning into doing their own kind
 of real estate syndication.

And I also have clients who have been doing this for quite a while and are on their 30th selfstorage unit that they're purchasing and renovating and doing that kind of work.

7 So I think I bring that perspective of working 8 with some small clients and they run the gamut from, you 9 know, being under represented as well to being more 10 traditional. So I think I can bring that perspective and 11 I look forward to sharing that.

MR. COOK: Hello. My name is George Cook. I am the co-founder and CEO of Honeycomb Credit. My mission in life is to enable purposeful entrepreneurship. My whole career has been around it. I come from a line of six generations of community bankers. My family's been running a small correctly bank in rural Appalachia for a 130 years.

I grew up in the family business and I became really passionate about commercial lending but I saw that the banking industry was changing and that commercial lending was changing. I spent most of my career at Transunion doing big data credit analytics, basically helping large lenders make faster, smarter lending decisions.

-

I took those skills over to a start-up called 1 2 Zest AI, where I got into the machine learning side of 3 the house and learned a lot about that. And then I 4 started Honeycomb to really address the capital gap for 5 main street small businesses. 6 So Honeycomb is a regulation crowdfunding 7 funding portal. We really allow brick and mortar main 8 street small businesses to access capital that they can no longer get perhaps from traditional lenders, but to 9 raise that from their own customers and fans and 10 community members. So building local community wealth 11 12 while unlocking that growth capital for businesses. We've worked with about 300 businesses across 13 14 30 different states at this point. We've moved over \$20 million across our platform. And, you know, of the 15 16 businesses we've been able to work with at this point two-thirds of them are women owned businesses. More 17 than half of them are by BIPOC-owned businesses. 18 And 40 19 percent of them are in low to moderate income 20 communities. 21 So we're proving we can get money to work 22 through new fundraising strategies into communities that 23 are often overlooked by traditional lenders. I'm excited to join the committee. 24 25 MR. CORDERO: Hi, everyone, Vincent Cordero.

To the commissioners and to the chairman, thank you for giving me and all of us an opportunity to join the committee. To everybody, I look forward to working with you for the next four years and hopefully having a big impact on the market.

6 A little background on myself, I've worn 7 different hats, different journey to get here. First of 8 my family to go to college so definitely was looking 9 through the annual report and just, you know, reflecting 10 on it. It's a little bit of a story.

11 Undergraduate, UCLA, and law school, 12 University of Chicago. Joined media, get into the media industry really on the epiphany that media shapes ideas 13 14 that shape the world. Ten years at Univision, 15 corporately for five. Five years running TV stations in Chicago, then sports networks at Fox nationally. And 16 17 then running the biggest international business for Warner media, HBO, and HBO Lat M, about a billion annual 18 19 revenue business, almost -- over 800 employees across 20 Lat M.

And really similar to the epiphany that led me to media, very much kind of was drawn to the venture space for exponential return but also exponential impact in the marketplace. And two wins to date in the last few years. One, helped launch the first -- worlds first

de-extinction company, Colossal Biosciences. I don't 1 2 know if you -- some of you maybe heard about it --3 bringing back the Wooly Mammoth, amongst others, and a 4 lot of compelling tech to go along with it 5 The company just announced a 150 million 6 Series B raise at a 1.5 billion post-money valuation, so 7 we're all really excited about that. 8 And then also the co-CEO and chief business 9 officer for the leading Latino content and talent company in Hollywood, Mucho Mas Media. Our first film, 10 we were number two worldwide on Netflix in May 2021, 11 Blue Miracle. Please, everybody should go home and 12 watch today or when you get home with your families. 13 14 We put out three movies this year. One that's 15 out in theaters, Black Demon starring Josh Lucas, 16 written and directed by two of our talent who happen to 17 be Latino. Another one, The Long Game, starring Dennis 18 19 Quaid, Cheech Budding Marin, and Jay Hernandez, also written and directed by one of our talent who happened 20 21 to be Latino. That actually won the audience award at 22 South by Southwest, really happy about that. And that's 23 out now in the marketplace in a bidding. And then we have -- we're doing a biopic on 24 25 Jenni Rivera, essentially the Mexican American Tina

Turner. That will be out later this year in theaters
 and on the big streaming platform in the U.S. and Lat M.
 And actually we haven't announced it yet but publicly -- but we just secured a plus-20 million

5 Series A lead for the company so I'm really excited
6 about that. That's going to help catalyze the next two
7 to three years of the company.

8 Separately, very involved in the venture 9 standpoint from a mentor. I mentoring at the MIT 10 Climate and Energy Incubator. Also the Polsky Center at 11 the University of Chicago, and then Capital Factory in 12 Texas.

13 The reason why I'm so drawn to this committee 14 and, you know, submitted my application with enthusiasm 15 and then was super excited when I heard that I was 16 accepted into it, is the fact that for myself, as a 17 Latino, first person in my family to go to college, 18 looking at the Latino community overall and having been 19 such a part of my career and my passion in life.

Latinos, you know, 25 percent of Gen Zers and Millennials in the U.S. today. Over 20 percent of the U.S. population. Yet from your own data, you know, one to two percent of early stage capital invested and severely undercapitalized from a debt standpoint.

25

I would tell you that for the U.S. economy and

1 for the world the U.S. Latino marketplace is the big 2 subside opportunity and I look forward to working with 3 the committee and the committee members and helping 4 unlock and tap the potential.

5 Good morning, everyone. MS. DAWOOD: I'm 6 Marcia Dawood. I am an angel investor. I'm involved 7 with several groups and several fans as a venture 8 partner, investment committee. Several of the groups 9 and funds that I work with invest in only women-led 10 companies or in people of color. I have about a few hundred personal investments through funds and direct 11 12 investments in my personal portfolio and about 75 percent of that is women of color, so I'm very 13 14 passionate about that.

15 I also served as the chair of the board of the Angel Capital Association, which is the professional 16 association of angel investors here in the U.S. and we 17 also have some global members. I host a podcast called 18 19 "The Angel Next Door," because I'm extremely passionate about getting more information to people about why they 20 21 should be an angel investor and how everybody can be an 22 angel investor, especially now with Reg CF. So super 23 passionate about that.

I did a Ted Talk in October 2022, called "Do Good While Doing Well," to help people learn about why

they should help entrepreneurs and why it's so important 1 2 to get capital into small businesses. I actually get frustrated sometimes because I feel like there are --3 4 I've seen companies that have just run out of money. 5 And a lot of it is because they just didn't have the 6 right support financially and they didn't have the right 7 mentorship in order to get them where they need to go. 8 And they had a great idea and they had, you know, a lot of good things that they could give to the world. 9 So we 10 all want to be the change we want to see in the world. So passionate about helping with that. 11

I have also in my arsenal two rap battles, where I made some funny videos to explain what angel investing versus venture capital is. And then the latest one that just debuted at the ACA Summit in May was priced equity versus convertible notes versus -- so just fun things in order to educate people.

And I have a book that will be coming out back next year called "The Imperfect Investor," that will be talking about why people should be --. So I'm super excited to be here.

22 MR. DILLASHAW: Hi. I'm Bart Dillashaw. 23 Increasingly giving me a tough act to follow. So I am 24 just a corporate securities lawyer. I've got a small 25 boutique firm in Lincoln, Nebraska, where we specialize

in working with early-stage companies and angel investors. So I have a little bit of perspective of being on the ground floor and helping companies sort of sort through a lot of these rules and, you know, often counseling them and drafting the documents on how to raise and a hopefully compliant manner.

7 In addition to that I am also a member of the 8 Nebraska Angels, which is a member of the ACA and has 9 been for a really long time. And for anybody affiliated 10 with an angel group I highly recommend the ACA. It's 11 been extremely influential and helped that group grow.

12 I think I joined in 2006, when we were 17 members and have now grown the group to over 110 and are 13 deploying about 8 million a year and are between seven 14 new companies. And generally, will have about seven 15 16 sort of follow-on ones as well and have deployed -- I think we're up to 65 million in that, which is -- which 17 is exciting because I first moved to Nebraska, Nebraska 18 19 ranked 52nd in terms of United States venture activity. We're a little behind Puerto Rico and Washington D.C. 20 21 And so in that time it's been really fun to

22 watch that community grow and develop and be a part of 23 that. And that's included working with the University 24 to get an entrepreneurial program going, working with 25 the law school there. We've got an accelerator that's

now there, also sort of affiliated with some of the more
 regional Midwest accelerator groups.

So super excited to be on this committee,
honored to be here, and very, very excited to meet
everyone continue the conversation.

6 MR. DRAYTON: Well, good morning everyone. I 7 guess I get the honor at being at the midway point in 8 listening to this incredible group of folks to my right 9 here. So thank you all for sharing your story.

I'm Herbert Drayton. I'm from Charleston South Carolina. I have the distinction of being both a United States airmen as well as a United States Marine. Past25 years I have started, bot, and salt, a dozen companies primarily in the fast space, corporate professional development and healthcare management.

16 I currently serve as the managing partner for 17 HI Mark Capital and our investment thesis is committed 18 BIPOC and women entrepreneurs running tech-enabled 19 companies in the Southeast.

I will say that following George Floyd's death -- at the time we had six operating businesses and when George Floyd was murdered, a lot of my colleagues reached out and said that they wanted to do something for the black community in particular. And when I challenged them, they all wanted to go down this -- I

call it a normal narrow corridor of familiarity, where
 they wanted to use charity or philanthropy in the hopes
 of creating wealth in the black community.

4 I challenged them to invest in black-owned 5 Like many of our corporate partners in the businesses. 6 country today, the public narrative did not match the 7 actual work that was being done on the ground. And when 8 I took them some businesses to invest in, they all gave the familiar reasons. "It does not fit within our 9 investment thesis." "It's outside of our geographic 10 region." And so that led me to wonder well, who's 11 actually investing in BIPOC and women entrepreneurs? 12 This group knows those numbers, the appalling 13 14 rate of VC dollars going into BIPOC and women 15 entrepreneurs. I thought I would find one in the state 16 of South Carolina and I realized that there was no black VCs in the state of South Carolina, so I made that my 17 journey. And at the time we had clients and 38 states, 18 19 the British Virgin Isles, and UK.

20 We sold all the companies. As the majority 21 owner, I simply told my partners we were selling the 22 companies so we sold those companies and I launched HI 23 Mark Capital. Bank of America actually was my first --24 they were the lead investor, which helped pull in some 25 additional capital from other resources as well.

And finally, I will say that I'm at the chair 1 2 of the Coastal Community Foundation in South Carolina.. 3 It's a \$454 million Community foundation. A couple of 4 our pillars are focused on economic mobility for 5 underserved and under-represented folks. And we play a 6 major role in helping folks access capital working with 7 CFIs and some other grant-making organization throughout 8 the region.

9 One of the things that excites me about this -10 - and I'll go into my philanthropic background to pull forward and present to this group. It's an acronym 11 called SMIRF -- S-M-I-R-F -- and it's something that I, 12 as well as all of you I think, bring to the table and 13 it's five forms of capital that we all have. 14 We have 15 social capital, moral capital, intellectual capital, 16 reputational capital and financial capital.

17 So I'm excited to bring all those forms of 18 capital to bear as we have discussions about how we can 19 help small businesses across the region and I invite you 20 to do the same as well.

MS. DUIGNAN: Okay, thank you. So first of all, honored to be here and be part of this committee and just to have a chance to meet everyone. I can already see a lot of amazing things that we have in common and can support each other on. My name is Erica

Duignan. I am co-founder and general partner at Reign Ventures. We're a seed-stage venture capital firm that is motivated to basically make an impact on the funding gap for under-represented founders. So I'm glad we're going to be talking about that today. That's one of our firm's top priorities.

7 I've also been a founder. I've spent a 25-8 year career in finance and during that time have founded three companies, the first two of which were firms that 9 basically helped me get towards my eventual goal of 10 launching my own venture capital firm. At Reign, my co-11 founder and I really prioritize spreading the message 12 that inclusive investing can out-perform in the market 13 14 and showing other VCs why this style of investing can 15 generate outsized returns.

16 In our portfolio we have 75 percent female-17 founded companies and 70 percent BIPOC-founded companies and we're one of probably about five or six black women-18 19 founded VC firms in the country. So it's really important for us to sort of show by leadership and by 20 21 doing. Of the 15 companies that we have in Fund 1, they 22 have generated an incremental \$200 million in annual 23 revenue for the U.S. economy, so we're really proud of 24 that.

25

I've spent a 25-year career in finance. I also

graduated from UCLA, where I studied -- go Bruins --1 2 business economics and accounting. I spent the first 3 eight years of my career on Wall Street doing 4 traditional corporate finance and I was really 5 frustrated by the fact that the financial products were all so interesting but I felt that there was negative 6 7 impact with a lot of the things that we were involved 8 with.

And I was very fortunate and 2006, to have an 9 10 opportunity to become executive director of one of the very first gender-lends investing angel institutions. 11 So getting my start with, you know, investing in female 12 founders a few years later started another network that 13 was really formed with a mission of bringing more 14 15 African American and high net-worth people of color to 16 the table to invest in minority founders. And proving that we could, you know, really get great returns with 17 that as well confirmed our thesis for my partner Monique 18 19 and I that, you know, there was substantial opportunity investing in these folks or women to receive, you know, 20 21 less than 2 percent of venture capital and BIPOC 22 founders that receive about one percent.

23 So our mission is really to help shift the balance there, bring more capital to the table for these 24 25 founders. And we do it at the seed stage because that's

the point, I think, at which it's really about underwriting people risk. And because of the vast majority of asset managers in this company, you know, are male, you know, are not folks of color, it's a little bit harder to underwrite risk for, you know, folks that maybe you don't, you know, have and experience with.

8 So, you know, by becoming investors, bringing our perspective to the table, making sure that we can be 9 there to write checks, for folks like a Esusu. I wish I 10 had invested in your company. I don't think we had our 11 12 fund up yet when you were doing your seed fund. Darn on that one. But, you know, to be there for others and 13 14 really do the work, which I think is so important at the 15 professional level

You know, a lot of folks will have to cobble together money from angel investors, individuals. But to actually have professional investors that are there with you that can come in with a check really quickly, you know, can reliably bunch of company as a grows, we think it's so meaningful and, you know, why we're really focused on doing this work as VCs.

23 So lived in New York for the last 25 years, 24 really happy to be here, and looking forward to working 25 with you all.

MR. MARISCAL: I'm think we're in the middle of the table, here we go. Can everybody hear me? I think the mic's a little far -- there we go. Hello, everyone. My name is Diego, Diego Mariscal. I am originally from Mexico, so really glad to see a number of people here also from Latin background.

7 But yeah, so I work on leading 2-Gether-8 International, which is the leading accelerator for founders with disabilities. And the reason why this 9 10 work is so important is because in the United States one 11 in four people have a disability, have some sort of 12 disability. That is about 25 percent of the U.S. population, the largest minority in the world second to 13 14 women, obviously.

15 And the reality is that there's no capital 16 focus - there's no data around capital for founders with disabilities. In fact, there was a recent report that 17 looked at under-represented founders across the board. 18 19 And obviously, women and underrepresented founders from a priority of minority groups were reported to receive 20 21 three to one percent. While there were 200 institutions surveyed, zero of those - zero percent of those 22 23 responses said that they invested in founders with disabilities. 24

25

So it's a critical issue that isn't even being

reported or studied. And the fact is as populations are getting older, the prevalency of disability is much higher than it was before. Anyone is going to require a disability if they live long enough. I often joke that we just happen to be the early adopters into the community.

So I'm really happy to be here. I think, you
know, we made a lot of progress, like I said, becoming
the leading accelerator for founders with disabilities.
We support over 600 entrepreneurs from all around the
world. Collectively, they've raised over \$50 million.

12 You know, as a pretty young accelerator, I'm really proud of that work but there's more to come. 13 And we're actually working around building the first-ever 14 15 fund for founders with disabilities. So I might be 16 tapping into many of you guys with your expertise on 17 that. But really proud and honored to be here and I 18 can't wait to see what we can do. Thank you.

MR. ROSS: Good morning, everyone. It's really a privilege to have a seat at this table. So I come to you just, you know, honored, grateful. I feel blessed. "Blessed" is the word that I think really exemplifies, you know, my feelings.

24 My name is Davyeon Ross. I'm originally from 25 Trinidad Tobago. (Mic interference.) I came to the U.S.

in 1996. I was playing for the Trinidad Tobago national
 basketball team and went to a small college in Kansas.
 As you can imagine Trinidad, Kansas -- yeah, kind of the
 same, except for the cold.

5 But, you know, I went to Kansas, played 6 basketball there for four years, had some opportunities 7 to go overseas. But I studied computer science and math 8 and I was very fortunate that Sprint offered to pay for 9 my green card, which gave me the privilege of, you know, 10 staying in the U.S. and work in the U.S.

11 Well, since then I've been, you know, deep in 12 tech, 20-plus years. I was a software developer. Ι don't write much code anymore. But, you know, I am an 13 14 entrepreneur and a tech entrepreneur, so I've been able 15 to start a few companies, you know, some successful, 16 some not as successful. And my current company is 17 called DDSports/ShotTracker. If you've seen data being delivered on ESPN for collegiate sports, we have a 18 19 sensor-based technology that tracks statistics and analytics in real time. 20

So we place a sensor on the player. We have a sensor in pretty much every basketball manufacturer and we capture that location data within two to fourcentimeter accuracy. And then we actually have an algorithmic layer that sits on top of it that delivers,

you know, insights and statistical data from a broadcast
 perspective and, you know, the coaches players, et
 cetera.

4 The pandemic was a - as you know, what 5 happened with the pandemic, especially in sports, was a б really, you know, incredibly tough time for start-ups, 7 especially start-ups in sports. But, you know, we're 8 fortunate to have been able to just survive through and 9 came back even stronger with now we -- instead of just doing basketball we also do football. So we have some, 10 you know, deals at a professional level and, you know, 11 12 just starting to get into the collegiate space.

I'd say over the last 10 years I've been doing quite a bit of angel investing and I think that one of the things that I am excited about here is just democratizing access to capital for, you know, entrepreneurs of color. And, you know, I've seen the work that -- and relates to VC dollars.

But, you know, the thing that I get more excited about is, you know, founders of color don't necessarily have, you know, the dad or the uncle or someone to go to to really get started. And I think that that's something that I'm pretty passionate about because, you know, what I've seen from our community is that we're innovative, we're smart, we're creative. But

sometimes, you know, we're not hang by the same rules
 that others are playing by.

And it's something that I'm passionate about. It's something that, you know, I've tried to solve. And, you know, I will say, you know, we've seen quite a bit of, you know, traction happening on the different coasts.

8 But you know in middle America, you know, they call it the Silicon Prairie now, which is kind of funny. 9 But, you know, in the Midwest, you know, I think it 10 still continues to be, you know, just a challenge. And 11 12 it's not necessarily - we always say, "Look, if you have a good company you can get funded," you know, for the 13 14 most part. But what we seen is just people who want to start companies and they're balancing between, you know, 15 having to work because they don't have the resources to 16 17 start something. And I think it's a pretty significant 18 opportunity.

19 There are several things that are happening in 20 Kansas right now that excite me with, you know, just 21 state dollars, whether it's tax credits or whether it's, 22 you know, grants that are providing opportunities for 23 individuals who traditionally wouldn't have, you know, 24 the funds are the access to start something -- to be 25 able to start something. So that excites me.

So I'm hoping that -- you know, we have a 1 2 really esteemed group here. It's incredible just 3 listening to the bios. Again, I'm really honored and 4 I'm hoping that, you know, together we can really change 5 the world for you know my kids coming up, others' kids 6 and folks of color, you know, minorities, and really 7 democratize access to that capital and hopefully put, 8 you know, the different rules and the different things in place that can make that much, much easier. 9 Because there's a lot of ingenuity and creativity and innovation 10 to be unlocked, you know, within some of these 11 12 communities. So again, I am very appreciative and I look 13 14 forward to getting to know each and every one of you and, you know, hopefully, you know, solving some 15 16 incredible tasks together. 17 MR. SHARIFI: Good morning, everyone. Α pleasure to meet every one of you. I'm excited to serve 18 19 with you for the next four years. My name is Aren Sharifi. I am an attorney at Kutak Rock. 20 The general 21 corporate group. Kutak Rock is a national firm but in 22 the Denver firm -- in Denver, what I focus my practice 23 on is general corporate work as well as M&A, with a little bit of real estate. 24 25 On the M&A side we help companies, usually

smaller midsize companies, acquire and sell. On the buy side we help companies buy capital and, you know, source funding in their new venture. On the general corporate side of my practice we help companies, whether it be start-ups, emerging growth companies, small businesses or existing companies, we help them with all stages of their company.

8 We help in the formation of companies as we 9 also help with anything. We help across-the-board. We 10 review contracts. We help get financing in place. And 11 on the real estate side, we help with acquisitions, 12 dispositions, and commercial leasing.

13 So the reason that this committee was of such 14 interest to me is because I come from of entrepreneurs. 15 My dad is a business owner, a small business owner, and 16 never really had access to capital, you know, it was all 17 self-funded.

I think it's -- it's an important task that we allow small businesses and people in the community to be able to access the capital that they need to grow their business and you know, make a meaningful impact. But on the other side, it's also important, I think, to protect the investors and make sure we are raising capital responsibly.

25

So I'm excited to present -- you know, sort of

She

on the committee with everyone. I should say that any 1 2 views that I express while I'm serving on this committee 3 on my own and not reflect those of Kutak Rock. But that 4 I'm super excited to work with all of you and serve for 5 the next four years. Thank you. 6 MS. SETHI. Good morning. I'm Jasmin Sethi, 7 CEO of Sethi Clarity Advisors. Definitely an honor to 8 be here with this wonderful group. So I am going to 9 begin by saying that my background is as a lawyer and an economist and I'm just going to give the quick story 10 behind that because that's irrelevant why I'm here 11 12 today. My parents immigrated from India and they were 13 My dad basically had a dollar in his pocket 14 poor. 15 coming over by ship in those days. And they, you know, raised me and my older sister, who's nine years older. 16 My sister and I are both blind. She was obviously 17 diagnosed first since she was the older one, and my 18 19 father passed when I was five. 20 So my mom was left as a widow without money, 21 without financial acumen, raising two blind daughters. 22 And her solution to getting financial security, 23 education. She decided we needed to be super educated so that we would have the opportunities to do what we 24

wanted to do. And my sister set the bar very high.

25

went to the University of Pennsylvania for college and
 then Stanford for --

3 So in a good Asian dragon mother fashion, my 4 mother said nothing less would suffice than going to 5 Harvard so I wasn't really allowed to go anywhere else. And then when I couldn't decide between a JD and a PhD 6 7 in economics, she decided I should just get both of 8 them. And so, you know, people say I'm a masochist work 9 education but there is a story there as to all that all 10 came about.

So because of this past, I have long been very interested in the inequity and I think I would go a little broader than what people have talked about here today. So yes, there's access to funding but I would say more broadly, access to opportunities -- and to build a financially secure wealth in one's family. And that's really driven my whole career.

I studied inequality during my PhD. I was very interested in policy. I was here at the Commission from 2011 to 2014. I read and summarized many of those comment letters that Chair Gensler mentioned that he encouraged us to write. I was the staff reading those and summarizing those.

And then since then, I've been on the other side. I was a counsel at Black Rock and I was working

on policy advocacy there, as well as other -- matters. 1 2 I did try my hand at a start-up myself a little while. 3 I founded a start-up, Build Up Capital, that was aimed 4 at helping gig workers build retirement savings. And it 5 did not work out for funding reasons so I have 6 experienced the funding gaps firsthand that we're going 7 to talk about today. But I'm very deeply interested in 8 that.

9 And I was basically losing money on the start-10 up and making money on the consulting so at some point 11 it was a no-brainer. And I thought, you know what? I 12 can help make this happen some other way. I'm still 13 very -- getting our financial system to better serve 14 this underserved communities.

And so now in my consulting firm I do a variety of things. I do work with the larger companies that we've all heard of. I have written comment letters to the Commission on behalf of the large companies such as Morningstar, which cares a lot about investor protection issues.

And I've also worked with start-ups that are on AI and building, you know, data and machine learning solutions -- clients challenges with this sort of growing body of regulation, as well as working on investor education and helping start-ups navigate this

1	complex world of regulation in business.
2	So my hope here is to really kind of get into
3	some of these issues and how do we make things easier
4	and more or inclusive, you know, across Society in
5	terms of access to capital information (mic
6	interference.)
7	MR. SUGINO: I feel so inadequate. You guys
8	are just terrific and so thanks so much for sharing this
9	table with me. I am Dennis Sugino no jobs as well.
10	I'll go through that a little bit. I started out my
11	career at this small company called the city of Los
12	Angeles. I spent seven years as a legislative analyst
13	so I know somewhat some of the work that side. And
14	coming into this building brought back some memories of
15	experience back home.
16	I spent seven years also as a chief investment
17	officer pension firms at the city of L.A.
18	Distinction of my first month as CIO of losing 20
19	percent of the fund. That was October 1987. Some of
20	you would've experienced it. Some of you so that was
21	fun as well.
22	I spent 14 years at the city total, then
23	decided to leave for a company called Wilshire
24	Associates money manager and consulting firm and more
25	institutional investors. Spent 14 the largest

revenue producer -- consulting side was there. 1 After 2 that I went to a -- I started a firm called Cliffwater. 3 I was the president there for about -- consulting there 4 -- 4 employees to 45 -- institutional clients. 5 Decided to retire at -- ready to retire. Ι 6 thought the price point had gotten to where I --7 maximize my earnings -- on maximizing my earnings -- I 8 wasn't right in thinking I wanted to retire. So eight months later -- friend who started a new -- today has 68 9 -- somewhere around \$60 billion in size. 10 Have nothing to do with the growing -- opportunity. 11 12 I also learned also what it was like to be a second-tier investor, as opposed to being a lead 13 investor -- decided to strike out on my own. 14 I tried for two years to -- struck out. My first actual thing 15 16 that I -- consequence or nature that I'd struck out at. 17 I was so disappointed -- process I started a firm called Kansa Advisory -- 2017. It's a niche business within 18 the institutional -- officer -- investors search for --19 so I have a -- doing that. Thank you very much and it's 20 21 nice to meet everyone. 22 MS. WASHER: Well, good morning everyone. Ι 23 guess I'll close it out here at the W end of the alphabet. I am very honored to be returning to this 24 25 advisory board. I did serve on the previous advisory

board but I joined that board late, only about a year, 1 2 so I guess that my time had not completely expired. I 3 have found this, in the year I was on the previous 4 group, to be very engaging, very professionally 5 rewarding, being able to meet different people from 6 across different spectrums, hearing different ideas. 7 And so I hope that myself and Donnel, who couldn't be here in person today as another returning member, can 8 help you get to that point where you're really engaging 9 10 with each other because I think that's really drives really good discussions and recommendations. 11

My background is that I have a degree in bio chemistry and an MBA and entrepreneurship. I am in that rarefied world of the life sciences and biotech. And in the biotech industry I would say that it's even more challenging for minorities to get funding.

I remember when I was first raising money for 17 the company I just sold, AGTC, I went on that 18 19 traditional nine months, hundreds of meetings, venture capital tour and I saw two people that looks like me and 20 21 all of those meetings and all of those rooms large conference rooms with all the partners. So it is very 22 23 daunting. And I think, Erica, as you said, it's hard for investors to invest in someone that they don't feel 24 25 a connection to or don't have experience with.

And I think that that has gotten tremendously 1 2 better. Many VCs in the biotech world now, their firms 3 have many more women but they're all in junior positions 4 still. They have not risen to the level of being a 5 partner who makes really final decision-making. 6 So I think that that access to capital, that 7 access to a diverse world view about who people are 8 going to invest in is still very important in biotech. 9 And I'm quite passionate about it because it's really 10 the life sciences, health tech, biotech, you know, even still small molecules. These are things that are going 11 to help people survive their lives, live better lives, 12 live healthier lives, have many more opportunities for 13 them as individuals. And I think we need to continue to 14 15 innovate in this area. As I mentioned, I did sell AGTC and I am now 16 in - about six months ago. And in that period of time 17 as I've been trying to work out my next thing and which 18 19 boards I'm going to be on, I've been doing some 20 mentoring. And one thing that I'll just touch on that 21 has happened during this time period is I get called on 22 a lot to mentor women and people of color because I am a 23 minority myself and I had been in the business world and growing companies -- AGTC was the third company that I 24 25 was associated with.

And what I have found is that the community of 1 2 entrepreneurs who are women and people of color, they 3 are crying out and desperate for mentors. And it's one 4 thing to get assistance from investors or incubators are 5 accelerators, but the connection they feel to having someone who's done it before, that has their experiences 6 7 to be able to talk to them and just be there for them 8 and give them a little, you know, even a five-minute conversation about something, is really incredible. 9

10 So I'm really starting to kind of get on the 11 bandwagon to get all the people I know in the space and 12 who care about diversity in investing and access to 13 capital, to just spend that time with people that are 14 trying to do it. And it makes a world of difference to 15 them.

So I am incredibly grateful I had this little time-out to figure that out. And even when I get back into my own company again, I will still do this because of the difference I see it making in their lives. And as I said, I'm really happy to be here and to be able to work with this new group.

22 MR. DEAN: Good morning and thank you very 23 much. My name is Greg Dean and I'm with FINRA. We are 24 the regulators of the broker-dealer industry. I am an 25 observer, a nonvoting member of this particular advisory

committee. We really appreciate the SEC, the 1 2 Commission, and the office of the Small Business 3 Advocate for recognizing FINRA and the vital role that 4 we play in the capital formation process. So I'm here 5 as a resource for you and also to offer anything that we б may have. 7 As you know, we oversee the broker-dealers 8 that they do sales of small business offerings to investors. We also oversee broker-dealers as part of 9 the IPO process. And we also oversee crowdfunding 10 portals that are registered as broker dealers 11 12 themselves. So welcome to all the new delegates. Ι really appreciate working with all of you 13 14 On a personal note, I started my career at the 15 Small Business Administration in the Office of Advocacy 16 working on policy work and exactly -- the policy for capital formation. And I spent my early career working 17 with the SEC and the Division of Corporation Finance in 18 19 helping small businesses gain access. So I know where you're coming from and the challenges you're facing. 20 21 So again, welcome, and I look forward to 22 hearing everything that you have to say and how we can 23 help. 24 MS. ZELMAN DAVIS: Hello. I'm Julie Zelman 25 Davis, and we -- the three of us are with the SEC but

usually we try to stay behind the scenes during these meetings. But since it's our first meeting, we'll introduce ourselves as well because obviously, as you already know, we're working with you a lot over email and the phone. So I think it's important that we, you know, at least set the stage and understand kind of what it is that our office is and does here at the SEC.

8 So I was one of the first people in this 9 office. We were founded by a bipartisan bill from 10 Congress and we were established in 2019, so we still 11 think of ourselves as a start-up even, you know, within 12 the agency that has been here since 1934.

But yeah, so we're a small team but we work 13 14 very closely with a lot of the divisions at the SEC, 15 working with them on policy, bringing in feedback that 16 we get from the outreach that we do to make sure that as 17 rules are adopted by the Commission or being considered by the staff, that the input of small businesses that 18 19 might not otherwise think to write comment letters to the SEC. You know we -- the SEC gets a lot of comment 20 21 letters and appreciates all of them, but sometimes it's helpful to go out and try to bring in more feedback from 22 23 folks who may not even know that the SEC is relevant to 24 them.

25

So our office does a lot of that and so we're

working externally to bring in the feedback and then internally to make sure that feedback is relayed and, you know, folks are aware of the concerns and things that are working that we're hearing. So that is a little bit about her office and I'll let Courtney explain the rest.

MS. HASELEY: Great. Thank you so much. I'm
Courtney Haseley. I am so honored to be here with you
all and so impressed after hearing everyone's personal
experience and passion come across earlier.

11 Quickly, a little bit about me. I'm a 12 corporate securities and governance attorney. I've 13 spent seven of my nearly two decades years of 14 professional experience at the SEC. I love this place. 15 I've also been in private practice where I've worked 16 with companies, public and private, of all sizes.

But here in our office, further to what Julie was saying, one of the things that we do to fulfill our mission is a lot of outreach. And what we hear frequently is that folks wish that they knew more sooner about the capital raising process.

22 We also are acutely aware of how complex 23 federal securities laws are and the rules pertaining to 24 capital raising. They're full of jargon. So what we've 25 tried two -- one of the things we prioritized in our

office is helping to demystify capital raising and
 prepare and continually expand upon educational
 resources that can help, you know, the rules and the
 language of capital raising be more accessible.

5 So we have a suite of capital resources available on our website. I won't take too much time 6 7 going into them but I wanted to highlight a few of my 8 favorites and crowd favorites, really. We have a glossary. It's a cutting through the jargon glossary, 9 10 which is basically a dictionary of geeky securities law terms, right? And it just breaks them down into more 11 12 plain language

13 And we also have these building blocks. 14 They're one pagers and they take a fundamental 15 securities law concept or a question and try to break it 16 down to again, make it more in plain language and unpack 17 the complexity little bit. So those are some of the 18 favorite resources that we have. Amy?

MS. REISCHUER: Thank you. We already heard, I am Amy Reischauer. I first came -- well, I too, have spent a considerable chunk of my professional career at the Commission bouncing around from position to position, but consistently migrating toward small business, whether it's -- policy and the Division of Corporation finance are here in the Advocate's office.

When I first joined the advocacy team I came 1 2 in to spearhead those outreach and engagement efforts 3 that we've been talking about. And we try to approach 4 that outreach with the goal of engaging with those who 5 aren't otherwise likely to interact with the SEC. And that could be simply because they're 6 7 focusing on their day job. As we all know, running a 8 small business is more than a full-time gig. Or it may be as Julie alluded to earlier; that they don't even 9 realize that the SEC is relevant to the, you know, the 10 sandbox in which they are playing. 11 12 So we really do try to reach those groups and share, you know, information as soon as we can in their 13 business life cycle so that that they don't reach that 14 point where they're saying like, "Oh gosh, I wish I had 15 16 known this earlier." So that's kind of our goal. And one of the things I heard today -- Diego 17 mentioned -- we really do try to reach various pockets, 18 19 like all of the pockets across the small business ecosystem. And one of the things we rely heavily on 20 21 from the public and from members of this committee and 22 greatly appreciate is feedback. Whether it's you look 23 at our tools and you think, "I could suggest something better." Or you look at our data and you think, you 24 25 know, "There are sources here that you are not finding."

We love that feedback. So selfishly, I look forward to
 hearing that from all of you around the table today or
 over the next four years.

4 So one of the things we do, as I was saying, 5 is just trying to make sure we reach all those groups, whether it's through our educational resources, also 6 7 through our outreach events, and they really run the 8 gamut. Sometimes they're just really coming off of Covid, sometimes they're virtual, and sometimes, 9 10 increasingly now that's things are opening up, sometimes 11 they're in-person.

12 So just in the last few months alone, we were 13 in New York at the Women of Color Capital conference. 14 Last fall I was in Atlanta at a so ambitions HBCU tour, 15 meeting with young, really inspiring entrepreneurs, 16 early stage. You know, some had a little bit of 17 traction. But just learning as much from those 18 audiences as I could possibly offer them.

We also do bite-size virtual events with a number of groups. Courtney and I did an event with a group called Patriot Boot Camp that focuses on veterans and kind of second-career entrepreneurship. We met with, you know, groups -- there are a number of groups that do these sort of monthly coffees on a Wednesday or Friday morning huddle. In fact, the Miami Dade Mayor's

Office does a Miami Dade small businesses let's gets 1 2 together and have a phone call on Fridays and learn a 3 little something. And so we'll jump in and just share 4 what we have to offer and then meet groups like that. 5 Again, with my shameless requests for support 6 from the committee, if there are groups like that that 7 you think would benefit from hearing about what we do 8 and hearing about the Commission, we're always happy to engage with them as well. So that's a little bit about 9 10 who we are and what we do. 11 I am looking at the time. I know we are still 12 setting up lunch upstairs but I'm going to call a little bit of an audible here. When we were first setting up 13 the agenda we thought, "Oh. Well we'll go around the 14 room and we'll have everybody introduced themselves and 15 16 then we'll separately go around the room and see if 17 people have observations that they want to share." Well obviously, who we are, where we come from, and what 18 19 observations we have about the ecosystem are so 20 intertwined and we've learned so much from this go 21 around already. 22 But I do want to save some time for folks to -23 if you had an observation that you didn't get to share 24 or you had something that you wanted to react to 25 somebody else's commentary, I want to provide that

information - that opportunity. But I think we'll do it after the break so you can maybe give us some thought while we're - while you're in the executive session for a while we're having lunch. But I will sort of start off with just example of - little nugget that might be useful.

7 So one of the additional resources that a 8 couple folks have mentioned today that our office produces is our annual report, and that's the shiny 9 10 printed copy that you all have at the table here. Anyone who's online or anyone who's joining us on the webcast 11 12 can find our annual report on the office webpage. That's at SEC.gov/oasb. If you scroll down you'll see a full 13 picture of it and click and find it. 14

But what this represents is what we hope is a fairly comprehensive snapshot on what's been happening over the past year in the small business ecosystem. We monitor that data throughout the year, data and studies, on really what's happening and what we can -- what we can tell about the story in our annual report.

And to give you an example of some of the nuggets that you might find in here if you haven't already explored, if you - I have my notes but if you want to turn to page 13 in the book, you'll find a bubble chart, bubbles and blobs. And this is our

1 attempt to provide kind of a digestible snapshot of how 2 businesses raised capital from investors in 2022. And 3 you'll see both registered offerings and exempt 4 offerings there.

5 You can see, obviously, that far more capital б was raised in the Reg D and other exempt offerings than 7 say, some of the other pathways, including registered 8 offerings. So it's the large dark blue blobs on the one side versus -- I think they're a like lighter teal --9 registered offerings on the other side. So that's sort 10 of almost like a table of contents. 11 That's kind of the 12 big picture of what's happening across the marketplace.

As we go through the annual report, you'll see 13 we break that down by lifecycle of company, so early 14 stage, later stage, smaller public companies. 15 We also 16 break it down by the various demographics on which we 17 focus. So you'll find data on minorities, women, rural communities, and businesses affected by natural 18 19 disaster. So again, feel free to browse, turn to it later if it's a full data source for you. And as always 20 21 and as I said before, if you have suggestions or sources 22 or comments or feedback on the report, we're always 23 happy to take that.

We are in the process of working on our next annual report so we're very early in pulling data. Some

of it we're still waiting on because our reporting tends 1 2 to go June to June so we're still waiting on some early first half of 2023 data. So we don't really have 3 4 anything definitive to report on the current situation. 5 But obviously, we see what everyone's seeing. We see 6 interest rates, we see -- so some of the early reports 7 that we're saying suggest that after a couple of kind of 8 banner years in '21 and 22. We're seeing some -- but not surprising -- a little restriction and that's 9 10 whether we're looking at kind of VC deal counts, values, 11 things like that.

We see those numbers shrinking a bit. It's probably too soon to tell whether we think that's just kind of a blip back to the 2019-2020 phase or if it's a different kind of trend. We're just curious to see how that plays out.

But a little nugget that we've seen that I But a little nugget that we've seen that I thought might be helpful for the group. If folks want to maybe think about if they had anything else that they want to share after the lunch break -- I don't want us to get too hungry -- we'll take some time in the early afternoon to return to that.

So with that, we will break for the administrative executive session. For those watching on SEC.gov the committee will return at about 1 p.m. Thank

you for tuning in and we look forward to having you back 1 2 in the afternoon session. And thanks to everyone here. 3 (Whereupon, at 11:30 a.m., a lunch recess was 4 taken.) 5 AFTERNOON SESSION MS. REISCHUER: Well, welcome back. 6 Welcome 7 back to those of you joining us online. During the 8 executive session the committee successfully elected for It is my pleasure to introduce the new 9 new officers. chair is Erica Duignan. Our new vice chair, or the 10 committee's new vice chair -- excuse me -- is Sue 11 And the 12 Washer. The secretary will be Jasmin Sethi. assistant secretary will be Davyeon Ross. So thank you 13 14 to all of you for agreeing to serve in those roles and 15 we look forward to where are you all take the committee. 16 As promised, while I'm happy to turn the mic over immediately I will help out and continue to 17 facilitate today's meeting and then going forward, I'll 18 19 just get out of your way. So thank you. 20 Before we launch into the afternoon agenda, 21 I'm pleased to welcome Commissioner Peirce to provide 22 some remarks to the committee. Commissioner Peirce, I 23 know you weren't able to join us this morning but we really appreciate you're making time to join us this 24 25 afternoon. So the floor and the mike are yours.

COMMISSIONER PEIRCE: Thank you, Amy, and 1 2 congratulations to all of the new officers. You're 3 checking on a lot of work so I hope you don't have 4 second thoughts or cold feet. But I appreciate everyone 5 attending today and this really is a remarkable day, not 6 only because we're largely in person, but also because 7 we are welcoming 14 new members. And I think this will be a wonderful opportunity to restart a committee that 8 already has worked very well and I look forward to 9 10 working with all of you.

And I do want to thank the members who stepped 11 off recently and who worked so hard and supporting us at 12 the Commission and helping us to think about small 13 businesses, which sometimes we have a tendency not to 14 15 think about. So you all have a big job to keep 16 reminding us. Keep reminding us of the importance of small business. Keep reminding us of how they matter 17 18 all across the country.

19 I've already been able to work, to at least 20 see a little bit of what some of you are doing and I 21 have appreciated what I've heard already from some of 22 you. So I have high hopes for what I'll hear from the 23 rest of you.

24 One of the things I've been trying to do is, 25 especially post-Covid, is to go out across the country

and talk to people in the small business -- I'm not a 1 2 big fan of the term "ecosystem," but I think it works 3 here in terms of talking about investors, talking about 4 founders of companies, and people who are supporting 5 them -- lawyers, other professionals supporting them. 6 And it's been really nice for me to meet with 7 people all over the country because I'm someone who 8 comes from the Midwest. I think it's really important 9 that we not just have capital centers on the coasts but that they're being vibrant communities raising and 10 using, deploying capital all across the country. 11 12 So for example, Laura hosted me at Humacyte, where I was able to see and actually touch the materials 13 14 that her company is creating. Don't worry, I didn't touch ones that are actually going to be put into 15 16 someone's body. 17 And I was able to meet Herb as well on another trip to South Carolina, where I'm at with people in the 18 19 South Carolina small business community. And also met We had the opportunity to speak in person and 20 Marsha. 21 also on her aptly-named podcast The Angel Next Door. We 22 talked about the joys of early stage investing. 23 And one of the things that I really learned in all of these meetings is that there are lots of 24 25 failures, yes, the successes are not maybe as frequent

as we all would help. But the failures often form the
 basis for future success and failure is not the same
 thing as fraud.

4 And so I think we need to really bear in mind 5 the fact that we can't just write off the space because 6 it's one that's hard to work on or doesn't always 7 produce immediate overnight success and it requires a 8 lot of blood, sweat, and tears. That's part of the joy 9 of it too. And I really have felt that in talking with people all across the country. I think I'll hear that 10 11 from some of you.

I just wanted to go quickly around and mentioned something about each of the new members because I think it does give you an idea just how rich the experience is on this committee and why it's so important.

Wemimo, we just met. An immigrant who founded a real leading fintech platform, whose goal is to help investors -- to help renters build credit and eliminate a barrier to financial stability for millions of Americans, namely a credit score can be so important and building peoples' lives.

23 Stacy Bowers, professor at the University of 24 University Sturm College of Law, and also someone who 25 not only has academic experience, but practical

experience in working with businesses. 1 2 George Cook leverages the power of local 3 communities and loyal customers. His company, 4 Honeycomb, provides the opportunity for neighbors to 5 invest in their neighbors' businesses. Vincent Cordero, co-CEO of a multimedia 6 7 company, and also an entrepreneur and seasoned media 8 veteran, and also a mentor of other companies. I love 9 seeing how people who have themselves made it as entrepreneurs love to pour into other entrepreneurs. 10 And I think we see that in our members today. 11 12 Bart Dillashaw, a Longhorn, who's a dedicated mentor in cornhusker country and a passionate advocate 13 14 for entrepreneurs throughout the Midwest, which is where 15 my heart is. 16 And Erica Duignan champions access to capital 17 and making sure that everyone can participate in our capital markets. And you'll be very busy so we 18 19 appreciate that. 20 Diego Marsical. In addition to championing 21 the construction of a accessible training center for 22 swimmers in his hometown of Monterey Mexico, has 23 continued to advocate for people to be included and to be able to contribute their talents to the economy, 24 25 regardless of disabilities. And I think that is so

important. There's so much talent out there to be
 captured and it's wonderful to see people trying to help
 others be able to contribute to the talents to the
 economy.

Davyeon Ross, another Midwesterner, has
revolutionized sports analytics but also has been very
involved and entrepreneurship.

Jasmin Sethi, a lawyer, professor, economist,
entrepreneur, Commission veteran, and founder. That's a
lot of academic and practical experience.

Aren Sharifi, another alumnus of the Sturm College of Law, experienced corporate attorney and someone who's navigated businesses through complex transactions. I think that legal knowledge in this area is so important because it can be quite a minefield.

Dennis Sugino, the founder of Kansa Advisory, with decades of experience and also someone who's worked to create greater awareness of the contributions of Japanese Americans.

20 So I just wanted to give everyone a little 21 shout out. All of you will help the Commission fulfill 22 its mission as we write our rules. We need to have 23 insight and experiences and you will help us to share 24 those, your insights and experiences, with us. We'll 25 keep that in the back of our minds as we write our rules.

1

And I hope that we'll use those experiences and insights to make our roles appropriately scaled, appropriately tailored, and balancing our objectives as the Commission, one of which is to promote capital formation, a key objective, and I know you all will help us to do that better so thank you all very much. MS. REISCHUER: Thank you for those very

9 thoughtful remarks. Eventually I will learn the 10 microphones.

11 COMMISSIONER PEIRCE: And I will make just one 12 more comment. I often will not stay on the day to watch 13 the whole thing but I love your committee meetings as 14 I'm baking over the weekends, making bread and cookies. 15 You all keep me company so please really be assured that 16 your conversations -- I love being a fly on the wall in 17 our conversations. So thank you.

MS. REISCHUER: Thank you. So before we move on to the agenda, I believe that Bailey and maybe others have been able to join us online, that weren't able to join us earlier in the morning. I wanted to give her or them an opportunity to introduce themselves as well. So Bailey, are you on?

24 MS. DERVRIES: I am. Thank you so much. And 25 I am so disappointed that I'm not there with you in

Page 71

person today apologies. I had a commitment out in Massachusetts. But I just wanted to say how excited I am to meet everybody, how wonderful I think this committee is, and this caucus is just an incredible team and the work you're doing is so important.

I service the associate administrator at the 6 U.S. Small Business Administration for the Office of 7 Investment and Innovation, which is responsible for a 8 9 \$40 billion program where the federal government provides funding to private equity, venture, private 10 credit funds, who deliver capital to small businesses 11 exclusively in the U.S., as well as Federal innovation 12 programs of small business innovation, research, and 13 14 tech transfer programs.

But I'm also serving as the acting associate administrator for the Office of Capital Assets at the administration, where we provide \$140 billion in loan guarantees through depository/non-depository institutions that provide working capital loans and real estate and property and equipment loans.

I come to this role after 19 years in the industry and both public and private market investing and capital formation. I think that this is an amazing committee and the work is just so important. And I look forward to meeting everybody and working with you.

	Page 73
1	ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSION
2	MS. REISCHUER: Thank you, Bailey. Is there
3	anyone else who has joined online? Okay, great. Well
4	with that, we can start shifting back to some of the
5	content for the afternoon. And that was to discuss
6	remedying the funding apps for underrepresented founders
7	and start-ups.
8	To set the stage a little bit I thought it
9	might be helpful to the committee and for those joining
10	us online to call up some of the data from the annual
11	report. Earlier this morning I mentioned that we kind
12	of gave you the overview snapshot with the blue and teal
13	bubbles showing with a whole market place looked like.
14	But I thought it might be helpful to see some
15	of the data that demonstrates the funding gaps that
16	exist among those women and minority founders and also
17	shed some light on some of the reasons those gaps
18	persist. I'm interested in hearing what others have to
19	say as well.
20	But starting out with minority founders, if
21	you want to follow along at home in the annual report,
22	on page 58 there are a number of infographics there that
23	might be worth highlighting. Essentially, the number of
24	minority entrepreneurs that were seeking angel capital
25	increased in 2021, but those founders remain

1 underfunded.

2 So minority founders were closing fewer deals. 3 Specifically, African American and black entrepreneurs 4 made up about 5 percent of pre-seed, seed, and Series A 5 by deal count. Hispanic and Latino entrepreneurs made 6 up 11 percent. Native American/Hawaiian Pacific 7 Islander entrepreneurs made up 2 percent, as examples, 8 compared to white entrepreneurs who made up 35 percent. 9 So you can see a definite skew.

They're also -- minority founders are also 10 11 raising less on average per deal. So we talked about 12 deal count, but in terms of dollars raised, African-American black firms reserved about 1.2 percent of the 13 14 overall share of seed and early stage funding in 2021. 15 Hispanic Latino, that percentage was 1.9 percent. All Native American/Hawaiian Pacific Islander was .7. 16 of that compared to white firms received 46.6 percent. 17 So again, a considerable difference there. 18

Oh I'm sorry. The ones I had flagged were African-American black, Hispanic, -- or the other -- I'm sorry. I have to remember the footnote on that one. It's - I'm going to ask Julie to look up the footnote while I --

24 MS. ZELMAN DAVIS: In the footnote -- and it's 25 a -- Entrepreneurial Center stat, but I'd have to go

1 into the report to see. Sometimes we say what "Other"
2 is but from that particular one, we did not include it
3 in that.

MS. REISCHUER: And I was trying to remember even from crafting the footnotes because I know we had a discussion about it, but I don't remember. But we can -- we have folks listening who might be able to answer that question before the end of the day. Thank you.

9 And as Dennis aptly pointed out, there's 10 obviously more of a full breakdown in the report, but we 11 wanted to just sort of flag how different the numbers 12 are that we are talking about here. So that's sort of a 13 picture of the state of what is.

Some of the potential reasons for that that we've seen, we see that minority entrepreneurs are less likely -- and some of this is on page 55 if you're following along. We see that minority entrepreneurs are less likely to have access to credit, less likely than white entrepreneurs to receive all of the financing that they're seeking.

Another place we look at are the capital allocators. Who's making the decisions? Who's writing the checks? Because that's the space where we start to see things like unconscious bias and pattern matching and investing -- folks investing in people who either

look like them or look like people they think look like a potentially successful entrepreneur. And so all of that bakes in. We start to look at who the capital allocators are and where those capital allocators are sending their funds.

6 So if you look at page 60 in the annual 7 report, you'll see that minorities remain vastly under 8 represented among asset managers but they're more likely 9 to invest or to fund minority founders. So 1.4 percent 10 of the total assets under management are in minority-11 owned funds and those are 3 to 4 times more likely than 12 a non-minority fund to fund minority entrepreneurs.

We're seeing growth in some groups. 13 We've 14 seen some growth in African-American and black capital 15 allocators, but clearly we need to see more. 27 percent of African-American or black VC partners launched funds 16 within the last two years, barely keeping pace with the 17 overall growth. African-American and black-led first-18 19 time funds were nearly half the size of first-time funds raised by essentially everyone else. 20

Funding challenges aren't limited to race. We see them with women founders as well. That data you'll find looking on page 45 and the report. You'll see data on how women businesses are faring. About 43.2 percent of small businesses are women-owned, representing 13 1/2

1 million businesses. 14 percent the founders that 2 created businesses and received VC funding in 2021 or 3 women. 28 out of 10,000 women became new entrepreneurs, 4 compared to 45 out of 10,000 men.

5 So looking at capital-raising trends for 6 women-owned business, as we've mentioned earlier VC deal 7 values and counts were trending up in '21 and '22. I'm 8 not sure what's happening in '23 yet but they were 9 certainly up in the last couple of years. Women-only 10 founders continue to receive a very small share of that 11 overall funding though.

12 So if you flip ahead to page 57, you'll see data showing that despite more investor meetings for all 13 women-led teams, all men and mixed teams continued to 14 15 receive more funding. All-women teams with minority 16 members had 57 percent more meetings with VC investors in '21 than in 2020, so increasing, but raised less 17 money than any other demographic. So in the first half 18 19 of 2022, VC deals with women-only founders received about 2 percent of overall capital, so a very small 20 21 slice of the pie.

22 So similar to the narrative earlier on 23 minority founders, we look again to kind of understand 24 those funding apps and we look at, again, the decision 25 makers and check writers. So the last page I'll

reference is on page 53 of the report. You'll see that
 women remain underrepresented in leadership roles among
 VC-backed companies. And they remain under represented
 among VC check writers, with only 40 percent of VC firms
 having a woman check writer.

6 Meanwhile, women VCs -- this is going to be 7 another familiar theme -- are three times more likely to 8 invest in start-ups with women CEOs and they often 9 yielded superior results.

10 So we are keen to have the committee continue 11 to explore these challenges and potential remedies. You 12 know, here's some of the data. I know there's a lot more 13 to explore there. So I invite the committee to take 14 over and open the floor for discussion. And I'm looking 15 forward to hearing what do you have to say.

16 MR. MARISCAL: I have a question. MS. REISCHUER: Yes. 17 MR. MARISCAL: So when you look at minority 18 19 groups, I am assuming disability was not -- yeah. 20 MS. REISCHUER: the data sources that we have 21 currently don't have it broken out as a separate 22 category. 23 MR. MARISCAL: Okay. MS. REISCHUER: To your point earlier, I know 24 25 it's -- and we have tried to find that data.

1 MR. MARISCAL: Right. 2 MS. REISCHUER: So again, I welcome direction. 3 If you know of groups that are doing the studies and 4 have that data I welcome it, we welcome it. 5 MR. MARISCAL: Yeah. We've done some work 6 with a Small Business Administration, so thinking about 7 the challenge around -- I mean, this is for all 8 committee members. The challenge around disability --9 and I'm sure you're all agree with me just seeing it -is around the stigma, because a lot of disabilities may 10 be invisible or hidden disabilities. 11 12 So a lot of the times they can be underreported. And so there is some -- and I can -- you 13 know, we can figure out as a committee or I can be 14 helpful in providing some suggestions on language and 15 16 sort of, you know, the narrative around disabilities so that we can reduce some of the stigma. Because the 17 challenge is that disability is often underreported 18 19 because of the stigma attached. 20 MS. REISCHUER: And I'm sorry. I was flipping 21 -- while listening to you I flipping. I know that we 22 have come across some data and I couldn't remember if we 23 had included it in a prior annual report or if we've just done it in one-off presentations where we have 24 25 we've been focusing on different groups.

But again, it's been very piecemeal so we'd be 1 2 very interested -- and this is really with all groups --3 seeing a consistent study of data year after year 4 because that's where we can see trends. That's where we can possibly explain gaps and potentially suggest 5 6 solutions. 7 MR. MARISCAL: Great. 8 MS. DUIGNAN: I have some comments on this, 9 just some thoughts. Whoops, just press it once. Ι 10 don't have to keep holding it. I will also get used to 11 how the microphones work. 12 So, you know, you pointed out some really important statistics that I think it was 1.4 percent of 13 VC firms are black-owned and 1.2 percent of VC dollars 14 15 went to black founders. And so, you know, I think it's so interesting that the numbers actually tracked so well 16 17 and I actually also did a Ted Talk in the -- were you at Boston or somewhere else? 18

19

MS. DAWOOD: Charlotte.

MS. DUIGNAN: Oh, Charlotte, okay. I was at Boston -- on how inclusion venture-capital can actually help address some of our environmental and economic instability in the country. And the main sort of thesis that, you know, I discussed in that talk is that we need to see -- you know, in order to actually move this, we

have to move the needle at the checkwriter stage, right?
 I think that the data supports that.

And a lot of folks may not necessarily appreciate is how we get our funding, right? And so where I think the system can really be broken sometimes in terms of who evaluates risk and sort of who gets benefit is that the LPs that invest in these VC firms are not particularly incentivized to take more risk than they need to.

10 So what they like to do is, you know, give their money to large VC firms that, you know, are 11 12 already managing billions of dollars, that are already kind of, you know, have been around for a few decades, 13 and are really not doing things differently. 14 And there's no incentive at the LP level really to actually 15 16 change that. But in order for that LP money to ever flow down to a minority founder, it kind of needs to go 17 through this pathway where, you know, the way that the 18 19 risk is a evaluated is a bit broken.

There's really no reason for an LP to particularly desire to take a chance even, you know, on investing in a first-time seed-stage fund and that's really where this change needs to happen.

24 So, you know, one of the issues is how do we 25 sort of monitor and, you know, maybe even have these LPs

take more seriously objectives and goals around perhaps moving allocation in a way that more reflects the diversity of our country and the diversity of opportunities -- could be done with some governmental influence.

6 But, you know, as I think about ways to do it, 7 I also note that the vast majority of these LPs are not 8 taxable institutions so they might not be motivated in 9 the same way that other investors might be.

10 But the vast majority of how we're going to actually be able to influence this is sort of at that 11 massive LP endowment, pension fund, retirement fund 12 level, having some sort of incentive that would maybe 13 not normally be there for them to fund smaller funds, 14 15 you know, not just spin outs of excel and Sequoia and 16 other big firms. Because when we think about the new firms that are getting funded, it's generally somebody 17 who's just spinning out of one of these firms. 18

19 The other thing too is because venture-capital 20 at the early stage -- which is really sort of enabling 21 the later stage founders of color to even exist -- tends 22 to be really a business that doesn't have a lot of 23 layers of employees, right? It's like the owners that 24 are you know, sort of a check-writers and then maybe 25 some support.

1 So also kind of considering that as we look 2 at, you know, the number of people that are in the 3 industry versus, you know, who really actually kind of 4 has ownership and can, you know, sort of make that 5 decision to direct mark capital, whether it's to women 6 or black founders or Latino founders or, you know, other 7 underrepresented segments.

8 MS. WASHER: I would just add to that. Erica, 9 I agree with everything you just said. I think that there's a whole slice of data that could be helpful to 10 drive that. And that is, I know at least in the women-11 12 owned area and the women management team area there's considerable data and evidence that says that women-13 owned, women-led, women-managed companies actually do 14 better financially, actual financial metrics. 15

16 And so if we can drive to LPs that you can put 17 your money in women-led, women-owned businesses because it's financially going to be in your best interest. 18 And 19 I haven't seen yet -- and I'm wondering if there's a way for us to get at -- can we get those same kind of 20 21 metrics for minority-owned businesses and be able to put 22 out there, this isn't just to feel good and to expand 23 the marketplace. This is good for you financially. 24 MS. DUIGNAN: Well, that's such a great point, 25 Sue, and I love that you say that. And what I was just

1 saying from, you know, my experience in the LP market 2 and talking to LPs, is that even though that data is 3 kind of out there for women-led businesses, it doesn't 4 really compel their investment decision as much as you 5 think it would.

6 And number two, there is similar data -- not 7 specifically on, you know, all minority teams -- but 8 that teams with diversity actually due to perform teams 9 that like diversity. So there is a bit of support 10 there.

MS. SETHI: So on that point, one thing I'd like to see is the broader availability of data across groups. So I've kind of worked with some entrepreneurs on this but I think it's going to take a bigger effort to get something off the ground. And I don't know that this is something, you know, that the SEC could do as such but this is a great forum to talk about it.

There are so many start-ups with different 18 19 types of founders, different types of needs. And on the flipside, there's so many different types of investors, 20 21 angels, seed funds and so forth. There's all these different, you know, separate databases, right? 22 ACA. 23 We've talked about different angel groups -- there's nothing standardized, there's nothing centralized. 24 25 And what would be amazing is kind of, you

know, a national -- and I'm not saying this means it has 1 2 to be government run. It might not make sense to have a 3 government run. But just a centralized, standardized 4 database where, you know, every start-up could be 5 entered, every kind of seed. You know investors up to a б certain size. Let's say maybe not all investors but 7 ones that could be funding for businesses up to a 8 certain revenue. And you have just standardized 9 profiles.

10 And then you could use data to match, right? 11 You could actually use the artificial intelligence, use 12 algorithmic matching and say, "Okay, I'm looking for 13 women founders." "I'm looking for minority founders who 14 fit these financial metrics." And you know, there's 15 very many benefits to this.

16 One is that you do increase diversity of 17 people being funded. Your level the playing field for 18 information, which right now is so driven by peoples' 19 personal networks. But also you counter biases as so 20 much of funding for start-ups is based on storytelling, 21 right? Who are the good storytellers? Who are the good 22 pitchers.

And, you know, in a lot of cases that's let us to founders that look very much the same and sometimes who have told great stories that have turned out to be

disasters and highly illegal, as we've seen in recent 1 2 cases. And, you know, something different. Maybe 3 harnessing the power of AI to counter human bias and 4 say, "Well, okay what if we don't start with the story? 5 What if we actually just start with the data and just 6 look at who passes these metrics. Take out all the 7 demographics and let's just see where that gets us and 8 go from there." I'd be really interested to see an experiment like that and see what kind of funding that 9 10 leads to and what kind of returns that leads to.

MS. DAWOOD: To that point, there's a group in 11 12 Cincinnati that has created a tool that they put all of their companies through when they apply and all of the 13 decision-making is done through the machine learning. 14 15 And they found that they invested in companies where 16 their portfolio now is 63 percent women and minorities because all the biases take it out of it. And it took 17 them like four years to get all of the algorithms to 18 19 work, I guess, and for it to like learn what they really But it's really interesting what they're doing. 20 wanted. MR. ROSS: One of the things that I think is 21

22 really interesting and, you know, I hope we can 23 consider, is the true definition of an LP. And the 24 reason I bring that up is because I think that if we can 25 find a way to expand that true definition of an LP, and

if we can actually - I mean, there's one way to acquire 1 2 wealth, right? There's being a founder, a bit of 3 behavior of a successful company. Another way of 4 finding wealth is to be an investor or an angel 5 investor. 6 But I think historically what has happened is 7 that maybe it's the minimums or the levels have made it 8 not feasible to, you know, people of color who have the ability to maybe invest or maybe they don't have the 9 relationships that are necessary. So maybe they're able 10 to do angel investment but they can't get in a certain 11 12 fund that gets access to the deals. So I'm curious if there's a way for us to look 13 at like that definition of an LP and how do we not only 14 -- I know that getting the capital to the fund - to the 15 16 founders is very important but I think that there's another side of it. Because historically what I've 17 seen, you know, as an angel investor is I've been able 18 19 to, you know -- I am investing in a couple firms, you know, and I've been able to facilitate introductions to 20 founders of color only because I had a small seat at the 21 table with some of these funds. 22 23 And I saw it another time where I was pulled into a deal on the Harvard campus where they're building 24 25 -- and this was more real estate. They're building a

whole new entrepreneurial center and a hotel. 1 And 2 Harvard said to Tishman Speyer, who is the large builder -- Harvard said to them, "You know what? I know that 3 4 you own in the deal, but 30 percent of this money has to 5 come from folks of color." And that was as a result of 6 what happened with George Floyd. And I probably would 7 not have gotten access to be part of that deal if it 8 wasn't for them saying, Tishman Speyer, I don't care 9 what you do but you have to go get 30 percent of the 10 money from, you know, individuals of color."

11 So I do think that there's, you know -- and 12 this is by no means mutually exclusive. I do think that there's a path that we should be exploring in regards to 13 how do we make it available and accessible, you know, to 14 15 individuals to not only get access to capital for their projects and their start-ups, but also how do we, you 16 know, bring more, you know, investors of color to the 17 table. Because that's just another alternative path to 18 get access to the right founders and to make sure those 19 founders potentially get connected to capital. 20

21 MR. CORDERO: I would like to go back to 22 Erica's point about incentive because it's -- you know 23 it's -- coming from media and now being in venture, it's 24 very similar from the standpoint that it's about being a 25 network, right? Having access, being aware of, and kind

1 of being in that work.

2 Generally speaking, people tend to - inertia. 3 People tend to do what they've been doing with the 4 people they've doing it with and they continue to do it 5 in the same way. And I found that very much the case 6 when many of these same conversations about diversity 7 come up in media, whether it's people in the executive 8 rings, people on both sides of the camera, the types of 9 stories being told. Same - it's almost like you can cut 10 and paste with the venture marketplace.

And so it's really about kind of how do we, 11 12 you know, truth be told, break open a door that would otherwise not even be opened. And it's not - it doesn't 13 14 have to be because of bias in a strong way or prejudice. It could just be because I've kind of inertia. And, you 15 16 know, so if there's -- you know, to the point of 17 incentive, kind of incentive puts it -- align peoples' 18 interest so that they, you know, want to open a door. 19 So that they want to invite new people into the ecosystem, to the deal flow. 20

21 So one thing I would ask is if we could -- you 22 know, we're kind of talking around things. If we could 23 -- is there a way for us as a committee or for you to 24 look at, what are the types of options, as a committee, 25 that we could recommend such that there could be

incentives in place? Either from the standpoint of when 1 2 these various investment vehicles, platforms, companies, 3 are organizing themselves or are putting out their 4 investments or from a reporting standpoint, whatever it 5 Understanding that there's some that there's some is. 6 that are registered and unregistered, et cetera, et 7 But within each of those, there's requirements. cetera. 8 That there's a requirement around doing, you know, 9 meeting a certain threshold. You talk about Harvard 10 meeting a certain threshold.

11 Separately, is there an opportunity from a tax 12 incentive standpoint? We see this in many cases with 13 opportunity zones, et cetera. From a tax incentive 14 standpoint that if you do A, B or C, that there's a 15 benefit from a tax standpoint.

So that would be something I would ask is you know, if I can officially ask it -- if you could see like -- you know, when we get together in September, maybe to put on the table, "Hey guys. If you really want to make an impact and you really want to talk about incentivization, these are the kind of things as a committee that we can look at doing."

And it doesn't have to be just within the SEC parameters but as a committee within the SEC. Advisory committee making recommendations either to the SEC or to

other agencies with the SEC's name. You know, we stand 1 2 behind this in order to promote a more robust, a more 3 open, a more dynamic, a more successful small business 4 and venture community. These are the types of policies 5 that are important because we want to open some doors 6 out otherwise not be opened. So that's number one. 7 Number two is -- again I had referenced it when I first spoke -- was about, you know, for me very 8 much for being a champion of the venture marketplace and 9 founders in general and wanting to align with that, but 10 in particular with Latino founders. 11 12 So if I look at page 58 that you referenced, Amy, you talk about 1.9 percent going to Hispanic and 13 14 Latino -- or, you know -- founders, in terms of earlystage funding in 2021. One thing I would ask if we can 15 16 add to this, which is not -- you know it's not a heavy It's an easy lift. You could just go to Census -17 lift. - is to really understand what that gap is. 18 And 19 Chairman Gensler referred to a funding gap. What is a I think we need to have a context of within what -20 qap? - what's the context, demographics context of this? 21 22 So I would tell you, Census will tell you, 25 23 percent of all Millennials and Gen Zers in the United States today are Latino. So when I look at that 1.9 24 25 percent it's not 1.9 percent in a vacuum. Oh yeah we

1 know diversity's important. We know you know, Latinos 2 are an important community. Well, how important are we? 3 Well, we're 25 percent of Millennials and then Gen Zers. 4 That's how important we are today. 50 percent of the 5 population growth in the United States in the last 10 6 and 20 years has been Latino. That's how far we are.

7 So for me I would ask that as we move forward 8 and we talk about these different breaks, if we have 9 some context from a demographics standpoint so we can 10 understand, what does 1.9 percent actually mean versus what -- then I would kind of cross reference that with -11 - you know, when I looked at your pages on the maps, 12 when you talk about most of the investment -- which I 13 14 have learned both as an investor as well as, you know, 15 kind of leaning in in some of the companies that I've invested in or partnered with -- is that a lot of that 16 17 deal flow is regional, right?

It's not just within network within a given 18 19 geographic area. And you look at the epicenters of early-stage total investment, you know, and clearly it's 20 21 California, New York, Massachusetts. You know, then you got a little bit of Texas and Florida. Well again if 22 23 you look at it that way, you know, California is 40 percent Latino. L.A.'s 50 percent Latino. 24 Texas is 40 25 percent Latino. You know, I mean, I could just --

And so I would be interested in kind of --1 2 again, because what it does is it really forces that 3 conversation, which is let's really look at -- if we're 4 starting to look at this and seriously looking at this, then what's the context? Well, the context is whether 5 6 you look at a national standpoint when you look at that 7 1.9 percent, or you look at it from a geographic 8 standpoint by state or city. Pick the, you know, top 5, top 10, you know, early-stage, multi-stage investment 9 marketplaces -- Latino -- from my standpoint. 10 So one of particular area of concern and 11 interest for me and I believe should be for the 12 committee, given the fact that Latinos are such an 13 important or this country and will be, even more so 14 moving forward. That would be something -- that that 15 kind of context, added context be added. 16 And then the last thing, again I'll go back to 17 18 Erica. She mentioned emerging managers. It's 19 absolutely the case that again, because it goes to the deal flow and network, right, that people of color, 20 21 founders of -- you know, investors of color are more 22 open to looking to in network, you know, aware of. In 23 some cases it's just knowledge of right? I mean, I know 24 people. I know this group. I know that person because 25 so much of this to its risk management.

It's -- you know, you're kind of betting on 1 2 people that, you know, that you're comfortable with because maybe they delivered for you in the past or 3 4 you've done it with him in the past. So I would say at 5 the same time that we look at -- you know, I think we 6 equally have to prioritize to the extent that we can 7 look at incentives, whether that's on a requirement basis, or that's on an tax benefit basis for people and 8 9 I would say the macro level funds.

10 And while I appreciate the crowdfunding, you know, that one slide that you said kind of outlays the 11 12 whole -- you know, that kind of -- it's not a pie chart but it's like a -- it's a color chart. You know, really 13 14 tackle where are the big dollars are. How do we --15 appreciate crowdfunding but the big dollars, you know, 16 we've got to break those big dollars. We've got to open 17 those doors to those big dollars to flow.

And we talk about incentives both into 18 19 companies again within the context and founders of color, but also in terms of emerging fund managers as 20 21 well. Because I -- you know, one, I've gotten to know a couple people here but I -- you know, I have met several 22 23 people in the past several years that I've been doing this and these are such exceptional people. 24 Many of 25 them coming from, you know, very pedigree backgrounds

and baking as many fund managers do. But they're not on
 their third or fourth fund.

Page 95

3 And even with everything that's going on the 4 last two or three years in the country and people 5 focusing on this, you'll still find many of them, you 6 know, very challenged to be able to raise the capital 7 mega funds and mega sources of financing. So I would 8 say as much as we are talking about founders of color, 9 we should be talking about find managers of color as 10 well.

I am going to throw my comments 11 MR. DRAYTON: 12 in -- to where the big money is. I think we're missing an opportunity when we don't make it easier for 13 foundations with resources and also financial 14 15 institutions in the Community Reinvestment Act to commit 16 resources to VC. What's not in the report is how much 17 money is actually allocated towards capacity building. I think someone said it earlier. Minority -18 19 well BIPOC and women founders, we don't have that invisible ecosystem that the white founders have. 20 There 21 is not a lawyer in my family, an accountant, someone 22 with marketing. But those types of services are needed. 23 And if you think of a foundation, they call these things technical assistance or capacity building. 24 25 While they do all of that work in the nonprofit space,

1 that body of work is still needed in the for-profit
2 space but there is no easy way for them to get those
3 resources to VCs to pay for those services.

4 So if there were an easier way to work with, 5 say, a Bank of America, their foundation, or Wells 6 Fargo's foundation to make it easier for them to commit 7 resources to firms for capacity building. It's no 8 different than having a donor-advised fund at a 9 foundation. You set up the same mechanism

10 To get those resources now, we have to have a 11 fiscal sponsor. So they will give that money to a 12 nonprofit who typically will take 5 to 10 percent of the 13 committed resources. When in fact, if they give those 14 resources to VC firm and we charge our standard 15 management fee of 2 1/2 percent, more resources would go 16 into the companies that we plan to invest in

17 So I would -- and we -- you know, I face this 18 with the Coastal Community Foundation. We've got an 19 impact investment fund and we have to jump through hoops 20 to get resources to some of the businesses in our 21 community. And the same thing with banks.

If you dig deep you can see why they could commit those CRA dollars to VC firms but it's innovative to them and they are not going to lean into it because -I don't know if we have any bankers here but they're

just risk-averse people, right? They're not going to 1 2 have those conversations with us. So I think that those 3 are low hanging fruits that the SEC could take a look at 4 And the other thing that I would ask that we 5 give some consideration to, CDFIs. While they were 6 historically created to serve underserved and 7 underrepresented folks, particularly in the rural 8 communities, there needs to be more aggressive attention 9 paid to ensure that they're moving those resources into the communities that need them because they typically 10 11 will have the same requirements that your big banks 12 would have.

I mean, how do you tell a rural entrepreneur that I can get your capital but you have to have some assets. They don't have assets. So there's got to be a much easier way for those folks to get access to those resources

And the ones that I'm having conversations 18 19 with and our community is that okay, if you are - you've got someone with a great business idea but they have a 20 400 credit score because they ran their credit score 21 22 into the ground trying to get their business going. Ι 23 will commit \$250,000 to your financial institution if 24 you will fund at those businesses where the entrepreneur 25 has a 400 credit score. It's like I'm from Mars. They

don't want to have those conversations. 1 2 So I think we need to look at some of those 3 types of things. And those are innovative solutions 4 that I think we can move quickly into practice. 5 Yeah, I think I'd also like to MR. ABBE: 6 comment, particularly on the point of opportunity zones 7 and tax incentives. I operate in a real estate space 8 and a lot of my clients leverage this and sort of invest in it also. But if we just look at the numbers quickly 9 10 they are roughly 8764 census tracts in the U.S. with 11 opportunity zones. 12 You have 31.5 million people that live there, over 59 percent of them are non-white, and over \$29 13 billion have been poured those zones. 14 That's -- you 15 know, compared to what's been poured into sort of minority founders today, that's in infinitesimal, right? 16 So we have a framework that we can build on and I would 17 really like for us to potentially look at this, you 18 know, at the next meeting there's something really 19 productive we could explore. Because the numbers in 20 this case are irrefutable. 21 22 There's no doubt that we human beings sort of 23 invest in folks that looked like us or folks we hang out with. But what happens if we include incentives and in 24

this case tax incentives? I think the story of the

25

opportunity zones essentially gives us a good case study to write on.

1 2 Page 99

3 Another thing that's important to highlight --4 and I think you talked about this, Vincent -- is this 5 matter of emerging fund managers. I think sequel to 6 George Floyd's death, we've seen a lot of emerging fund 7 managers sort of get to fund one, fund two, and we see 8 them graduating from the classification of emerging fund 9 managers with the large institutions and pension funds and endowments. And those folks sort of are in no man's 10 land. As we think about small businesses I think we 11 12 shouldn't, you know, narrow our scope.

And it's all about people getting access to 13 14 capital in the first place. It's like building a mansion on the sinking sand, right? You know, you get 15 16 access to capital, you're out performing, and then where 17 are you going to get your fund two, fund three, fund four from? Meanwhile, the large institutions in Silicon 18 19 Valley are on, you know, fund 14, 20, spits, and carving outs and, you know doing other things. 20

21 So we need to really think about the 22 sustainability long-term, not just the short-term, quick 23 wins. We need to build the mansion on a solid 24 foundation not a sinking sand. 25 And the last point I will sort of highlight is

the opportunity - and I can speak to this particularly 1 as an entrepreneur. The matter of accredited investors. 2 When we raised our first round of financing I said 326 3 4 people said no to us. The folks that took bets on us 5 earlier on, you know, when our company was valued at 5-6 \$10 million and some of them put you know \$3000, 7 \$10,000. Some of them even hold off on putting on a down payment on their homes, just invest in us. 8 And it 9 was a matter of proximity.

10 I tell a joke that, you know, when I rest \$130 million and I called my mother and I said, "Oh, 11 12 something is about to change," my mother said, "Oh I am proud of you, but how is the wedding planning going?" 13 14 It's a matter of proximity. And those folks decided to take a bet on us and invest because of our story. 15 And no imagine the person that invested \$25,000, when the 16 company is valued at a billion dollars today? 17

But those are some of the people. And we have 18 19 probably, you know, 70 of them on our cap table, which is unusual, but it's because a founder that look like 20 21 this that can accommodate them and believe in their 22 stories.

23 So I really want us to find ways to re-think the current construct of accredited investors and let 24 25 others participate on the journey or with the caveat,

1 right? At the end of the day we have a responsibility 2 to give the right disclosures, talk about that this is a 3 risky asset. You can lose it all. None of them would 4 be the story of Esusu. It's still a mortgage. It's not 5 fully realized yet. 6 But we need to create more opportunity like

6 But we need to create more opportunity like 7 that so a large amount of people can participate because 8 seeing is believing. But when you're actually in the 9 game and you can actually speak to examples, that's how 10 you have a huge class of people being involved.

11 So those are some things I think we should 12 really potentially consider as we think about the next 13 meeting if we really want to have really impactful 14 change in the status quo today.

MR. MARISCAL: So I just wanted to jump in really quickly. I just wanted to say that I really appreciate that tangible actions are coming, you know, from this conversation. It sounds like a lot of conversation around supporting fund managers and some tax ideas.

But I'm curious from Amy and the rest of the team from recommendations that have been made previously, what type of recommendations have you found to be most successful in creating change? Because I think a lot of examples are coming up now in this

1 conversation.

And so I'm just curious, are we thinking about things in the right path? Have you found those type of deas to be successful? What type of recommendations are you found to be most successful?

6 MS. ZELMAN DAVIS: So I will say it's the 7 easiest for the SEC if you say on, you know, "On Rule X, 8 change this." That's a pretty obvious recommendation. 9 That said, you know, you guys are thinking big and I 10 think that's really important.

11 So you can make recommendations to the 12 Commission. You can make recommendations to Congress. 13 You can make any recommendations you want. You know, if 14 you start making recommendations to the IRS, I'm not 15 sure -- you know, I mean we can send them over but it's 16 not -- you know, you're not an IRS advisory committee.

17 That said, you know, if you wanted to do 18 something big and broad that had a big umbrella, we 19 think incentives that encourage these things - I think 20 if you can lay out what it is that you're trying to 21 achieve, that tends to be very well-received.

That doesn't necessarily mean it's going to happen within the next month, you know. I mean, these things -- obviously, you're -- are big. These are big ideas. But I think, you know, in some sense laying the

groundwork may take a long time but you saw the Jobs Act 1 2 happen. You know, you've seen things happen over time. 3 But it takes many years of lying the groundwork. 4 So I don't think that you should be confined 5 by feeling like you need to just comment on one б particular rule. If you have a particular rule that you 7 think is an impediment, then by all means -- or a great success -- by all means, comment on that. But I don't -8 my advice, for what it's worth -- and all those 9 disclaimers certainly apply here -- are, you know, it's 10 fine to think big and to talk about what kinds of 11 12 incentives would be helpful because then, you know, Congress and regulators can start to think about where 13 might that fit. And if you have ideas of where that 14 15 might fit, that would be even better. 16 MR. ROSS: Julie, specifically on -- you know when you have big ideas, it normally comes at the 17

17 when you have big ideas, it normally comes at the 18 intersection of multiple committees. How have you seen 19 that being successful, taking the information that we 20 have and that our recommendations that are going to 21 require other committees, to also either get aligned or 22 the champion or just to, you know, traditional 23 intersection? 24 MS. ZELMAN DAVIS: I mean, I think that the

25 recommendations of this committee are often paid

attention to by Congress. They have the authority to 1 2 tell any agency what to do. So from that perspective I 3 think that, you know, thinking big -- it depends on how 4 you define success, I guess. I don't have a good answer 5 for you in terms of, you know, "X" percent would be 6 adopted if you do this route or fewer if you do this 7 I still think that that shouldn't keep you from route. 8 thinking broad.

9 I'll just jump in. MS. WASHER: Yeah, I'll just jump in with a comment. I think that it's 10 important when you have a big idea - and I think big 11 12 ideas are great to discuss -- to put it in context. "Here's what we're trying to do." "Here's what the goal 13 14 is." "And here's why we think it aligns with what the 15 SEC should be doing." "It aligns with small business 16 support." And, "This is what our long-term goals would 17 be."

And then, say, under that contextual umbrella, "Here's some things that we're recommending." If we have some very specific things, as Julie says, things like, "We think the definition of accredited investor should be changed to be blah." That's easy for them to understand and whether they want to take it up or not it's then up to them.

25

There also can be some things we want to get

to ask. "We're not quite sure." "We don't have that granular of an idea, but here are some things we would throw on the table." But I think you have to kind of set the stage and say, "Here's why we want to go this way and it's very consistent with what you want us to do and we agree with you that this is what should be done." And then your ideas underneath that.

8 MS. SETHI: So I think the capital hub, I 9 actually did play around with it. I think it's a great resource. Others may have played around with it or may 10 want to. And I do think a lot of us here might have 11 12 concrete suggestions and that's something that doesn't require a rule, as I understand it. That's something 13 that maybe the staff could take back as feedback to make 14 it more user-friendly or, you know, do some continuing 15 16 improvement.

17 So I wonder if we had sort of technical 18 suggestions on that, what would be the best way to 19 proceed? Would some of us bring those to the next 20 meeting or, you know, what would make sense?

21 MS. REISCHUER: If you have feedback, like 22 specifically on the annual report or to our resources, 23 shoot us an email or give us a call or, you know, 24 however you want to discuss that. Those are the things 25 that the staff can do and, you know, happy to take

those. You know, once you move into world changes,
 obviously, those have to go a different route.

3 But when it's things like -- even to the 4 extent that it's a question of, you know, you'd like to 5 have more guidance on "X" that probably straddles or 6 could be a recommendation to the Commission. But it 7 could also be something that we're happy to share with 8 colleagues and other divisions. Again, how quickly someone can respond to that, we're happy to pass it 9 along. We can't ensure what our colleagues in other 10 11 divisions are doing.

But one thing I was thinking of, speaking of ways that the office supports the committee, is as you're thinking of the sort of big ideas -- and I think of that sort analogy of, "How do you eat an elephant and you want to do it one bite at a time."

And so if you have big ideas and you're not really sure how to break them down, to the extent it's within the scope of what the SEC does, we might then be able to contact -- to bring in speakers. Because that's the other thing. As returning members will attest, we can help to identify folks to come in and share information on a particular topic.

24Those tend to be -- and Courtney and Julie can25-- those tend to be more within the scope of what the

1	CTC deep. This sector has been a first a backet in
1	SEC does. It's going to be tough for us to bring in,
2	you know, tax experts to tell you how to incentivize and
3	how to overlap. But we can talk to the committee, we
4	can talk to officers about, "We want to explore," you
5	know, "how to take the bite. What might we be able to
6	do?" and find resources to help - kind of help you break
7	it down. Or that's something that the (mic
8	interference.) "We're going to maybe pin this
9	discussion for this next meeting but we want to learn
10	more about X, Y, or Z."
11	MR. CORDERO: I mean, if I could offer to the
12	committee, you know, everyone here no one's a novice.
13	You know, everyone is, you know, decades of seasoned
14	experience building businesses, investing in companies,
15	launching businesses. I don't think - I don't think we
16	should be - I think we should embrace the opportunity if
17	we're truly interested in making a difference and really
18	thinking big and not be not feel that we're bound to
19	a box.
20	Because none of us, again, are novices here
21	from the standpoint of, you know, we're all practical
22	people. We're all smart people. We're all business
23	people. We're all trying to enact change. I don't
24	think we should shy away from trying to think big about
25	what that looks like and work our way backwards.

Instead of, you know, working incrementally, let's think 1 2 transformatively and then we can work our way back 3 through that maze.

4 MS. DUIGNAN: Well, in order to, you know, 5 sort of accept this challenge about thinking big, you 6 know, one of the things that I would just love to point 7 out to the committee and get everyone to sort of start 8 thinking about over the course of our meetings is one of the structural challenges that I think has resulted in 9 10 this inequitable distribution of capital.

11 So if we think about the stages of fundraising 12 which are most likely to be influenced by unconscious bias and to really serve as roadblocks for people to get 13 to those later stages, it's obviously the friends and 14 15 family/angel, pre-seed, seed, and even very often Series Post those rounds, you know, there's a lot of data, 16 Α. there's a lot of evidence, there's a lot of traction and 17 that bias is less likely to exist. 18

19 And because -- you know obviously, crowdfunding is great. You know individual investors 20 21 are great. But really, the capital industry is sort of driven by this, you know, financial/industrial complex 22 23 that is largely dominated by large endowments and institutions and the public employee retirement and 24 25 pension systems.

The issue there is that because these 1 2 industries are so large, they're often completely 3 disinclined to invest in funds that are, say, smaller 4 than 250 million. And an early stage fund really functions best at a fund size of about a hundred 5 6 million. 7 So we've sort of got this complete 8 misalignment that -- I would guess that of the public employees, you know, probably 50 percent of them are 9 women, so 50 percent of that money is coming from women. 10 And a good deal of them are the black and Latino, you 11 12 know, other underrepresented founders. So we've got a system in which the savings and 13 14 capital of these underrepresented groups are actually 15 going into a system where they're not allowed to 16 actually have a capital deployed to them. And it's very difficult for our us to fix it because at the pension 17 fund level, it feels too expensive for them to invest in 18 the smaller funds. But the only way to actually, you 19 know, sort of get that early money into eventually great 20 21 founders of this type at the later stage, is for those 22 funds to have the ability to exist. 23 So I would just love everybody here -- you know, I don't have a solution but I know that it is 24 25 structurally one of the biggest problems in the system.

And it would be great if we can all work on ideas of how
 to fix that or, you know, improve it.

3 MS. DEVRIES: Hi. This is -- oh, sorry. This 4 is Bailey DeVries. Since I'm not on video and on the 5 phone. And I just wanted to, you know, echo that point 6 and say, you know, I do think it warrants conversation 7 about the importance of manager-manager programs and 8 fund-to-fund and support for those. Because those are 9 typically the way for the large public pensions to 10 access emerging managers and smaller funds, given that many of them by policy are not permitted to be, you 11 12 know, a certain percentage of a particular fund. They may have a limit where they can't be more than 25 13 14 percent of the fund. And when you are a small fund, 15 that's pretty restrictive.

And the way that they are able to remain supportive of emerging managers for smaller funds is by working with manager-on-manager programs where they can write the larger checks then and work with an entity that has specialization in identifying due delicensing and monitoring emerging managers and smaller funds.

22 So it might be, you know, worth more 23 conversation around how to provide frameworks around 24 where fund-to-fund structures and manager-on-manager 25 programs can be very useful and helpful and move the

dialogue away from fees, and one to outcomes and the necessary rule that these intermediaries play in the ecosystem to ensure support for these emerging managers and first-time funds.

5 MR. DILLASHAW: Yeah, I think that's a great 6 point. I would also encourage everyone to go back and 7 look at some of the recommendations from the past 8 committees, because they covered a lot of -- or they talked about a lot of the stuff. And it looks like, 9 from what I've said, that they've drilled it down to 10 some more sort of tactical recommendations, oftentimes 11 around limitations on fund-to-funds. Limitations on the 12 ability of, you know, small venture capital companies to 13 raise from a limited number of folks. 14

I don't know if those that are running funds, I don't know if you've sort of run into, you know, the number of investor limitations. But I feel like in past committees they have some pretty good tactical recommendations for that.

20 Wemimo, giving sort of a shout out to one of 21 the points you said and in case any legislators are 22 listening, you know, I will say there is a, you know, an 23 act that just passed the house that is recommending 24 basically a test for accreditation. I think it's a 25 really good idea that would go a long way towards

winding the ability to, you know, become an accredited
 investor through a means other -- through sophistication
 means rather than just a net worth of meetings.

I know that's not in the SEC's hands yet. But again, in case anyone's listening -- Senate -- it would be great to pass that and then we'd have something really meaty to talk about. So that's --

8 MR. ABBE: I think one thing I'll add from an 9 SEC perspective though, is just additional guidance and 10 disclosures. To folks that would have exposure to that 11 particular asset class to understand that anything you 12 invest in could also go away. To not just focus on the 13 upside there's also a downside risk.

MR. DILLASHAW: Sure. I will put - I'll put my practitioner hat on. You know, any time that we start talking about additional disclosure obligations and, you know, increasing regulatory burden on the private sector, that increases transaction costs and has the effect of, you know, creating a lot of friction.

20 So when I have my sort of transaction hat on, 21 I definitely think we want to be careful about sort of 22 increasing the regulatory burden.

23 MR. ABBE: It's more to the effect of 24 additional information. It could just be a caveat 25 emptor, right? In this case it would just be like,

"Look, you're investing in this particular asset class. 1 2 It could go to zero." Because what we don't want -- and 3 for it to go back to square one -- is for folks that are 4 taking money from their 401(k) and putting money in this 5 particular asset class. That's not what we want. 6 So that's just a simple caveat emptor, not 7 necessarily multiple disclosures. There is probably an 8 educational component that also goes with that that I think somewhat mitigates some of the things that you're 9 talking about precisely. 10 11 MS. DUIGNAN: Yeah. I think that's a really 12 good point. You know, as somebody who's run a lot of angel investor networks and, you know, did that for 13 14 decades to build my track record for a fund, people are generally less interested in doing education than you 15 16 would think. 17 But one of the things that I've consistently 18 see, even amongst, you know, sophisticated accredited 19 investors, is that people don't realize that even when the investment has not gone to zero, that there is zero 20 21 liquidity. And that's something that, you know, that I 22 think is very serious. 23 Because you could be like "Oh, the company is doing great," but you still can't see -- you know, 24 25 you're going to get the money whenever, right? Like no

predict ability. So I think that's an important thing
 to make sure people know.

3 MR. COOK: If I may, I think a lot of the 4 conversation here has been very much on the equity side, 5 which is obviously an incredibly important piece of the 6 puzzle. Most of my work is on the debt side and still 7 in securities. And I think there's some really 8 interesting parallels.

9 But one of the things we've actually seen -10 and, you know, obviously they're not LPs in the debt side -- but we have started to see large foundations and 11 impact investors and others, really eager to come off 12 the sidelines and put money to work in minority-owned 13 businesses. But they don't have the wherewithal. 14 They 15 don't have the ability to write 10,000, \$20,000 dollar 16 checks. They have the ability to write million dollar 17 checks.

And so one of the things we're experiencing within regulation crowdfunding is we have these people lined up who want to put money to work, but there's no vehicle because we can't, as a funding portal, hold money for them. There's no vehicle to take the millions of dollars and put it to work into the small businesses.

24 So to some degree that LP problem is almost 25 solved on the debt side, but there's no vehicle, for

.

regulation crowdfunding at least, to be a part of the 1 2 solution to start to level that playing field, because 3 it's very hard to get that money into some third-party 4 intermediary who can actually deploy the capital. MR. DEAN: 5 I would like to just chime in for a quick moment. At FINRA, and overseeing some of the 6 7 crowdfunding portals and things like that, we've taken a 8 lot of feedback we received as well as working with --9 SEC rules -- crowdfunding. 10 But also, recently FINRA has undertaken a request for comment to look at all of our capital 11 12 formation across the board that's been on our books and seeing how we can make them more efficient. How we can 13 14 reduce the barriers, as well as the overregulation, so 15 to speak.

16 So I recommend everybody take a look at that. 17 Our comment period's open until August and please spread 18 the word. So if you know others within stakeholders or 19 in in the industry and communities that was to that wish 20 to comment, we encourage it. We really appreciate the 21 dialogue with that.

MS. REISCHUER: Well, we are now at 2:31 so to start to wrap us up, that was a very robust conversation. And I almost feel like my last item on the agenda is maybe who took the best notes, because we

wanted to take just a couple of minutes to figure out if
 we wanted to identify topics for upcoming meetings.

If anybody had a comment or a topic that they didn't kind of share as part of the conversation, if you wanted to flag that now and we can - we can have the officers have those handy when they chat with us about scheduling upcoming meetings.

8 So for example -- and I think as Bart alluded 9 to -- in the past, committees have focused on - the 10 prior committee or prior committees have focused on 11 themes like the AI definition and things we can do. 12 There's obviously a wealth of prior recommendations in 13 the space.

14 We have focused on things like how to support 15 smaller funds and smaller fund -- ideas that bubbled up 16 out of this conversation that have been discussed 17 previously. But there are other topics and if this is a 18 good time for people to talk about, I welcome that.

MS. WASHER: One thing we talked about that I don't think we spent -- have spent historically as much time on -- and I think that Erica and others brought it up -- is the idea that part of the solution is to try and drive -- somehow look at driving more diverse people into management and to figure out a way, as Davyeon said, to connect those to diverse managers to diverse

companies so that you're matching that up. 1 2 So I would think a good topic of conversation, 3 since I don't think we historically have focused on that 4 side, is maybe an agenda topic about, well, that's 5 Maybe we all agreed those are things we should great. do. But how can we drill down -- taking Vincent's, you 6 7 know, the big idea. But then how can we drill that down 8 to what can - what can the SEC do? 9 And I think as an SEC advisory committee we have to think about, what are the things we can 10 recommend to the SEC to make a difference? So to have a 11 conversation about how can we address that? What are 12 some of the ways to address that? 13 14 And then I do think Bart had a really good 15 idea and that is for those of us to kind of pull up some 16 past recommendations and just see what all that was 17 about, and what things have changed or not changed based on those past recommendations. And decide which we want 18 19 to repeat, because repetition might make a difference. 20 But I think this idea of focusing on the other 21 side of the equation is something we've never really 22 taken it up in detail at the committee and would be a 23 really good agenda topic. MS. SETHI: It sounded like there was some 24 25 interest around looking at crowdfunding and kind of the

issues around sort of holding money for potential future investments. I want to dig into that a little deeper because I don't think I'm summarizing it correctly. But maybe we need to look more about how well is regulation crowdfunding working and is it allowing that kind of available capital to get to the places where it might work best?

8 MR. SHARMA: One thing I would add -- and this 9 is Diego -- is if we can add - and I think Vincent also 10 talked about it briefly -- something around how are we 11 looking at language, specifically in terms of reporting, 12 right? I talked about it from a perspective of 13 disability but Vince talked about it from the 14 perspective a Latino, right?

And so I'm not saying we need to come with a recommendation specifically, but I do think I would suggest some sort of discussion on how are we equipping the committee and the office in general on the different definitions of diversity.

20 MR. SHARMA: Amy, if I could ask a question? 21 To what extent should the committee have an eye, like 22 one eye, on the SEC's Reg Flex Agenda and the list of 23 topics we know the SEC will be addressing, versus 24 originating their own ideas? That's always a challenge, 25 I think, and what's to split? I would be interested in

1 feedback on that.

MS. REISCHUER: Yeah. I guess I wish I could tell you it should be 60/40 and you should do it every you know, I wish I had a formula for it, an algorithm fyou're will. But, you know, obviously latest Reg Flex Agenda, we'll flag for you what conversations the Commission plans to have and what issues they will be considering.

9 So to the extent you want to get your 10 recommendations in while you know there is something 11 coming up on the table that could improve the -- more 12 appetite to hear what you're saying. You can gauge that 13 appetite a little bit bye what's on the Reg Flex Agenda.

And then as far as a reference as, you know, the kinds of things that are coming from the Hill and what folks are considering -- what folks are considering with back to the accredited investor definition. So, you know, if that was something you were interested in commenting on, that might be the time, purely as an example. I am not suggesting an agenda item.

But so it is helpful to keep in mind that there might be a timing reason to address some issues before others, just because you get in while they would be responding to comments and recommendations. MR. CORDERO: Well, I heard Chairman Gensler.

When he opened his comments he said underrepresented 1 2 founders in the funding gap, with regard to that, was 3 one of the key pillars on the agenda. And then I also 4 heard him say that -- his words -- "fairness" is 5 embedded in the mission. 6 MS. REISCHUER: Right. Well, I just want to 7 really echo the thanks that a lot of people have 8 expressed today. 9 But I want to thank you personally for 10 the conversation, for your service today, and future service over the coming hours. I cannot -- value of the 11 12 feedback that we got from -- in our office as the small business advocate -- "We," as the broader Commission. 13 14 So thank you. Thank you for bringing your 15 thoughts to the table. 16 And if there's anything we can 17 do to support the committee further, please reach out. And with that, thank you for the great day. 18 19 The next meeting is -- will be in September at a yet-tobe-determined-to-nail-down a date, but we'll keep 20 21 everybody posted. And with that I will adjourn. 22 (Whereupon, at 2:39 p.m., the meeting was 23 adjourned.) 24 25

			Page 121
1	PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	In the Matter of:	SMALL BUSINESS	CAPITAL FORMATION
4	ADVISORY COMMITTEE		
5	Witness:	N/A	
6	File No.:	OS-0001	
7	Date:	Wednesday, June 14, 2023	
8	Location:	Washington, D.	С.
9			
10	This is to certify that I, Christine Boyce,		
11	(the undersigned), do hereby certify that the foregoing		
12	transcript is a complete, true and accurate		
13	transcription of all matters contained on the recorded		
14	proceedings of the meeting.		
15			
16			
17			
18	(Proofreader's Nam	e)	6-20-2023
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	Page 122			
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE			
2				
3	I, Lisa Sirard, reporter, hereby certify that			
4	the foregoing transcript is a complete, true, and			
5	accurate transcript of the meeting indicated, held on			
6	Wednesday, June 14, 2023, in the matter of			
7	SMALL BUSINESS CAPITAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE, 454012-OS			
8	I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by			
9	me, and the foregoing transcript has been prepared under			
10	my direction.			
11	6-20-2023			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				