October 19, 2017

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Options Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Related to a Comprehensive Risk Management Framework

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”), and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on October 10, 2017, The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by OCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

This purpose of the proposed rule change is to adopt a comprehensive Risk Management Framework Policy, which would describe OCC’s framework for comprehensive risk management, including OCC’s framework to identify, measure, monitor, and manage all risks faced by OCC in the provision of clearing, settlement and risk management services. The Risk Management Framework Policy is included in confidential Exhibit 5 of the filing. The proposed rule change does not require any changes to the text of OCC’s By-Laws or Rules. All terms with initial capitalization that are not otherwise defined herein have the same meaning as set forth in the OCC By-Laws and Rules.  

---

3 OCC’s By-Laws and Rules can be found on OCC’s public website: http://optionsclearing.com/about/publications/bylaws.jsp.
II. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. OCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.

(A) Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(1) Purpose

Background

On September 28, 2016, the Commission adopted amendments to Rule 17Ad-22\(^4\) and added new Rule 17Ab2-2\(^5\) pursuant to Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”){\(^6\)} and the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act of 2010 (“Clearing Supervision Act”){\(^7\)} to establish enhanced standards for the operation and governance of those clearing agencies registered with the Commission that meet the definition of a “covered clearing agency,” as defined by Rule 17Ad-22(a)(5){\(^8\)} (collectively, the new and amended rules are herein referred to as “CCA” rules). The CCA rules require that covered clearing agencies, among other things:

\(^{4}\) 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22.
\(^{5}\) 17 CFR 240.17Ab2-2.
\(^{7}\) 12 U.S.C. 5461 et. seq.
\(^{8}\) 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(a)(5).
“[E]stablish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to . . . [m]aintain a sound risk management framework for comprehensively managing legal, credit, liquidity, operational, general business, investment, custody, and other risks that arise in or are borne by the covered clearing agency, which . . . [i]ncludes risk management policies, procedures, and systems designed to identify, measure, monitor, and manage the range of risks that arise in or are borne by the covered clearing agency, that are subject to review on a specified periodic basis and approved by the board of directors annually . . .”

OCC is defined as a covered clearing agency under the CCA rules, and therefore is subject to the requirements of the CCA rules, including Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3). Accordingly, OCC proposes to adopt a Risk Management Framework Policy (“RMF”), as described below, to formalize and update its overall framework for comprehensively managing the Key Risks that arise in or are borne by OCC to promote compliance with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3).

Proposed Policy

OCC proposes to adopt a new RMF document. The purpose of the RMF is to describe OCC’s framework for comprehensive risk management, including OCC’s framework to identify, measure, monitor, and manage all risks faced by OCC in the provision of clearing, settlement and risk management services. Specifically, the RMF would establish the context for OCC’s risk management framework, outline OCC’s risk management philosophy, describe OCC’s Risk

---

9 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(3).
10 Id.
11 Under the proposed RMF, “Key Risks” would be defined as risks that are related to the foundational aspects of CCP clearing, settlement and risk management services.
12 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(3).
Appetite Framework and use of Risk Tolerances,\(^{13}\) describe the governance arrangements that implement risk management, outline OCC’s identification of Key Risks, and describe OCC’s program for enterprise-wide risk management, including the three lines of defense structure (discussed below), and describe OCC’s approach to risk monitoring, assessment and reporting. As a single risk management framework addressing risks across all facets of OCC’s business, the RMF would foster OCC’s compliance with the requirements of the CCA rules, and in particular the requirement of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3)\(^{14}\) that it maintain a sound framework for comprehensively managing risks.

**Context of OCC’s Risk Management Framework**

The RMF would begin by establishing the context for OCC’s risk management framework. Specifically, OCC is a Systemically Important Financial Market Utility ("SIFMU")\(^{15}\) that serves a critical role in financial markets as the sole central counterparty ("CCP") that provides clearance and settlement services for U.S. listed options and guarantees the obligations associated with the contracts that it clears. As a SIFMU, OCC recognizes its role in promoting financial stability for market participants, investors and the economy and that it must therefore maintain a sound risk management framework for comprehensively managing the risks that it presents.

\(^{13}\) Under the proposed RMF, “Risk Tolerances” would be defined as the application of risk appetite to a specific sub-category or aspect of a Key Risk, typically in quantitative form, used to set an acceptable levels of risk.

\(^{14}\) 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(3).

OCC’s Risk Management Philosophy

The proposed RMF would describe OCC’s risk management philosophy. As a SIFMU, OCC must be mindful of the public interest and its obligation to promote financial stability, reduce the potential for systemic contagion and support the smooth functioning of the U.S. financial markets. Furthermore, as a CCP, OCC concentrates financial risks for the markets it serves by acting as the CCP for all of the transactions that it clears. As a result of this concentration, OCC’s primary objective is to ensure that it properly manages the financial risks associated with functioning as a CCP, which primarily relate to potential clearing member default scenarios.

As a CCP, OCC’s daily operations, among other things, involve managing financial, operational and business risks. In managing these risks, OCC’s daily operations – which are guided by policies, procedures and controls – are designed to ensure that financial exposures and service disruptions are within acceptable limits set by OCC as part of its Risk Appetite Framework (“RAF”) as described below.

Risk Appetite Framework

The proposed RMF would describe OCC’s RAF and use of Risk Tolerances. The purpose of the RAF is to establish OCC’s overall approach to managing risks at the enterprise level in an effective and integrated fashion. The RAF establishes the level and types of Key Risks, described in further detail below, that OCC is willing and able to assume in accordance with OCC’s mission as a SIFMU. Under the RAF, Risk Appetite Statements16 would be used to express OCC’s judgment, for each of OCC’s Key Risks, regarding the level of risk that OCC is willing to accept related to the provision of CCP services.

16 Under the proposed RMF, “Risk Appetite Statement” would be defined as a statement that expresses OCC’s judgment, for each of OCC’s Key Risks, regarding the level of risk OCC is willing to accept related to the provision of CCP services.
willing to accept related to the provision of CCP services. These statements would be qualitative indications of appetite that set the tone for OCC’s approach to risk taking, and are indicative of the level of resources or effort OCC puts forth to prevent or mitigate the impact of a Key Risk.

Under the RMF, Risk Appetite Statements would be set annually by each department associated with a Key Risk in cooperation with OCC’s Enterprise Risk Management department (“ERM”) according to applicable procedures. OCC’s risk appetite levels would be classified into four categories:

1. No appetite: OCC is unwilling to deliberately accept any level of risk.
2. Low appetite: OCC devotes significant resources to managing risk but may choose to accept certain risks that do not materially affect core clearing and settlement because the level of resources that OCC would be required to put forth to mitigate the risks would be impractical.
3. Moderate appetite: OCC is willing to engage in certain activities that pose risks because those activities may bring longer-term efficiencies or result in business opportunities even though the activities or new businesses may pose new risks to OCC.
4. High appetite: OCC is willing to implement a new high-risk process or business opportunity; however, it is unlikely OCC would apply this level of appetite to a Key Risk absent a compelling, urgent business need.

Under the RMF, OCC’s Board would have ultimate responsibility for reviewing and approving the Risk Appetite Statements in connection with each Key Risk on an annual basis upon recommendation of OCC’s Management Committee.

The Risk Appetite Statements allow OCC to carefully calibrate the levels of risk it accepts for each of its Key Risks to be consistent with OCC’s core mission of promoting
financial stability in the markets it serves. Accordingly, the RAF helps to ensure that OCC has an effective and comprehensive framework for managing its Key Risks (e.g., legal, credit, liquidity, operational, general business, investment, custody and other risks that arise in or are borne by OCC).\(^\text{17}\)

In addition to Risk Appetite Statements, the RMF would require that OCC assign Risk Tolerances to the Key Risks contained within the RMF as approved by OCC’s Board. While the Risk Appetite Statements would be more high-level and principles-based, Risk Tolerances would comparatively be more granular and represent the application of OCC’s risk appetite to specific sub-categories or aspects of Key Risks. The purpose of the proposed Risk Tolerances is to ensure that OCC sets acceptable levels of risk within those specified sub-categories of Key Risks. Risk Tolerances would be stated in either quantitative or qualitative terms, depending on the nature of the risk and OCC’s ability to measure it.

Under the RMF, each department would be required to establish Risk Tolerances at least annually for sub-categories of Key Risks that are within their relevant domains of responsibility and would be responsible for managing applicable risks within established tolerance levels. ERM staff would monitor Risk Tolerances through quantitative metrics, where applicable, and compile such monitoring in a report that the Chief Risk Officer shall present to OCC’s Management Committee and Board (or a committee thereof) at least quarterly. In addition, the RMF would require that OCC’s Board evaluate its Risk Tolerances at least annually, and more frequently if necessary as a result of changes to products, processes, market conventions or other changes to OCC’s material risks.

\(^{17}\) OCC’s Key Risks are described below in the discussion covering OCC’s identification of its material risks.
Identification of Key Risks

The proposed RMF would identify risks that could affect OCC’s ability to perform services as expected, and the process for identifying such risks would take a broad view to include: (i) direct financial and operational risks that may prevent the smooth functioning of CCP services, (ii) reputational risks that could undermine the perception of OCC as a sound pillar in the financial market and (iii) the risks OCC faces from third parties, such as custodians and settlement banks, that are critical to the design and operation of OCC’s infrastructure and risk management. Identifying Key Risks in this manner would facilitate OCC’s ability to comprehensively manage the legal, credit, liquidity, operational, general business, investment, custody and other risks that arise in or are borne by it. Based on this identification process, the RMF would define OCC’s Key Risks as described below.

Financial Risk

The RMF would indicate that financial risk encompasses many aspects of risk at OCC, including the risks that a Clearing Member will be unable to meet its obligations when due or that OCC will not maintain sufficient financial resources to cover exposures (i.e., credit risk), the risk that OCC will not maintain sufficient liquid resources to meet its same day and, where appropriate, intraday and multiday settlement of payment obligations (i.e., liquidity risk), the risk that OCC will incur losses on overnight investments (i.e., investment risk), and the risk that financial models are inaccurate (i.e., model risk).

The proposed RMF would require OCC’s credit risk management framework to encompass policies and procedures for maintaining sufficient prefunded resources in the form of margin and Clearing Fund deposits, accepting collateral from participants that is low risk and high quality, monitoring the creditworthiness and operational reliability of all counterparties,
including participants, custodians, settlement banks, liquidity providers, and linked financial market utilities (“FMUs”), and maintaining a waterfall of resources to be used in the event of participant default and a process for replenishing resources.

In addition, the RMF would require OCC’s liquidity risk framework to encompass sizing liquidity resources to cover liquidity needs in the event of the default of the largest Clearing Member Group, forecasting daily settlements needs under normal market conditions, maintaining liquid resources in the form of cash and committed facilities, maintaining a contingency funding plan and periodically reviewing the size of liquidity resources, maintaining liquidity resources at creditworthy custodians and monitoring the financial and operational performance of financial institutions and committed liquidity facilities, and investing liquidity resources in safe overnight investments or at a Federal Reserve Bank.

Moreover, the RMF would require OCC to address investment risks by maintaining an account at a Federal Reserve Bank, which bears no investment risk, and investing funds not held at the Federal Reserve Bank in high quality liquid assets. The RMF would also require OCC to manage model risk through a model development program, independent model validation and strong governance arrangements for the approval of new models or models with material changes in accordance with relevant policies.

Operational Risk

The RMF would define operational risk as the risk of disruptions in OCC’s CCP services due to: (i) deficiencies in internal controls, processes or information systems, (ii) human error or misconduct, or (iii) external events or intrusions. The definition of operational risk would also cover deficiencies related to information technology (“IT”), such as data security and IT systems
reliability. To reflect the importance OCC assigns to managing IT risks, the RMF would also categorize IT risk as a separate Key Risk, discussed below.

The RMF would also assert that OCC manages operational risks in number of ways, including that OCC: (i) maintains an Enterprise Project Management Program that performs initial assessments of proposed projects and manages project execution, to ensure that proper oversight exists during the initiation, planning, execution and delivery of OCC corporate projects, (ii) maintains a Business Continuity Program to support continuance of critical services in the event of a catastrophic loss of infrastructure and/or staff (including a Crisis Management Plan, which outlines OCC’s processes for decision-making in crisis or emergency circumstances), (iii) maintains a comprehensive third-party risk management program which includes requirements for onboarding and ongoing monitoring of third parties on which OCC relies (such as vendors, settlement banks and FMUs with linkages to OCC) performed by various areas of the organization, including National Operations, Collateral Services, Credit Risk, and ERM, (iv) provides training and development through its Human Resources Department to ensure staff maintains and develops the necessary knowledge and skills to perform their jobs, and (v) conducts training on business ethics and OCC’s Code of Conduct.

Operational Risk - Information Technology

The RMF also would address operational risks specifically related to IT as a distinct Key Risk. Operational risk related to IT would be defined as the risk that inadequate levels of system functionality, confidentiality, integrity, availability, capacity or resiliency for systems that support core clearing, settlement or risk management services or critical business functions results in disruptions in OCC services. In addition to the ways described above that OCC manages operational risks generally, the RMF would also provide that OCC manages IT
operational risks by maintaining a: (i) Quality Standards Program, which includes targets that set performance standards for systems operations, (ii) cybersecurity program, and (iii) program to maintain system functionality and capacity.

Legal Risk

The RMF would define legal risk as the risk that OCC’s by-laws, rules, policies and procedures do not provide for a well-founded, clear, transparent, and enforceable legal basis for each aspect of its activities in all relevant jurisdictions. The RMF would also provide that OCC manages legal risk by: (i) maintaining rules, policies, and contracts that are consistent with applicable laws and regulations and (ii) maintaining legal agreements that establish counterparty obligations regarding the material aspects of its clearing, settlement and risk management services, including, but not limited to, settlement finality, vendor performance, exchange performance, options exercise and cross-margining obligations.

General Business Risk

The RMF would define general business risk as the risk of any potential impairment of OCC’s financial condition due to declines in its revenue or growth in its expenses arising from OCC’s administration and operation as a business enterprise (as opposed to a participant’s default), resulting in expenses that exceed revenues and losses that must be charged against OCC’s capital.

The RMF would provide that OCC manages general business risk by: (i) maintaining a target capital level of liquid net assets funded by equity equal to the greater of six-months’ operating expenses or the amount sufficient to ensure a recovery or orderly wind-down of OCC’s operations as set forth in OCC’s recovery and wind-down plan, and a plan that provides for capital replenishment in the event of non-default losses in excess of target capital, (ii)
maintaining a corporate planning program to manage new business activity, and (iii) actively managing the public perception of OCC.

Risk Management Governance

The RMF would describe the governance arrangements through which OCC implements its risk management philosophy. These governance arrangements would include the responsibilities of the Board, the Board’s committees and management in establishing and executing OCC’s risk management framework. These responsibilities are described in further detail below.

The RMF would provide that OCC’s risk governance framework follows a hierarchical structure that begins with the Board, which has ultimate oversight responsibility for OCC’s risk management activities. The Board performs an oversight role to ensure that OCC is managed and operated in a manner consistent with OCC’s regulatory responsibilities as a SIFMU providing clearance and settlement services. The Board also is responsible for ensuring that OCC has governance arrangements that, among other things, prioritize the safety and efficiency of OCC through the proposed risk management framework. Moreover, under the RMF, the Board is responsible for overseeing OCC’s risk management policies, procedures and systems designed to identify, measure, monitor and manage risks consistent within the Risk Appetite Statements and Risk Tolerances approved by the Board. The RMF also provides that the Board is responsible for overseeing and approving OCC’s recovery and orderly wind-down plan (consistent with OCC’s Board of Directors Charter).

To carry out these responsibilities, the RMF would indicate that the Board has established Committees to assist in overseeing OCC’s Key Risks. These Committees are: (i) the Audit Committee, (ii) the Compensation and Performance Committee, (iii) the Governance and
Nominating Committee, (iv) the Risk Committee, and (v) the Technology Committee. The responsibilities of these committees to manage OCC’s Key Risks are outlined in their respective committee charters.\(^{18}\)

The RMF would also provide that OCC’s Management Committee is responsible for annually reviewing and approving the RMF – and the Risk Appetite Statements and Risk Tolerances established thereunder – and recommending further approval thereof to the Board. The Management Committee would also review reports related to metrics for assessing Risk Tolerances to determine whether OCC’s Key Risks are behaving within established tolerances and take or recommend action as needed to return Key Risks to their appropriate levels and escalate exceptions to Risk Tolerances and Risk Appetite Statements to relevant Board committees. The Management Committee would also be permitted to establish working groups to assist it in the management of Key Risks.

Risk Management Practice

The RMF would describe OCC’s program for enterprise-wide risk management. The internal structures for risk management described in the proposed RMF are intended to follow programs generally accepted in the financial services industry, including the “three lines of defense” model (\textit{i.e.}, front line employees, enterprise risk/compliance functions and internal audit) and a program for internal controls that includes risk assessment and reporting.

\textit{“Three Lines of Defense”}

To maintain a resilient risk management and internal control infrastructure, the RMF would formalize OCC’s "three lines of defense” model, which allows OCC to manage its control infrastructure with clarity of ownership and accountability. The first line of defense consists of

\(^{18}\) OCC’s Board and Board committee charters are available on OCC’s public website: \url{https://www.theocc.com/about/corporate-information/what-is-occ.jsp}.
OCC’s operational business units, including Financial Risk Management, National Operations, technology, legal, regulatory affairs and corporate functions such as human resources, finance, accounting and project management. The first line is responsible and accountable for designing, owning and managing risks by maintaining policies, procedures, processes and controls to manage relevant risks. The first line would also be responsible and accountable for internal controls and implementing corrective action to address control deficiencies.

The first line is supported and monitored by the second line of defense, which consists of the ERM, Compliance, Security Services and Model Validation Group functions. The second line is an oversight function and is responsible for designing, implementing and maintaining an enterprise-wide risk management and compliance program and tools to assess and manage risk at the enterprise level. The second line would also work with the first line to assess risks and establish policies and guidelines, and advise, monitor and report on the first line's effectiveness in managing risk and maintaining and operating a resilient control infrastructure. The second line reports to OCC’s Management Committee and Board (or committee thereof) on the first line of defense's effectiveness in managing risk and compliance and an assessment of whether OCC’s services are being delivered within Risk Appetite Statements and Risk Tolerances.

The third line of defense consists of OCC’s internal audit function. The third line reports to the Audit Committee of the Board and is accountable for designing, implementing and maintaining a comprehensive audit program that allows senior management and the Board to receive independent and objective assurance that the quality of OCC’s risk management and internal control infrastructure is consistent with OCC’s risk appetite and Risk Tolerances. The RMF also would require that OCC’s Internal Audit department maintains a diverse and skilled team of professionals with a variety of business, technology and audit skills, and perform all of
its activities in compliance with the Institute of Internal Auditors' standards found in the International Professional Practices Framework.

The three lines of defense model is designed to provide for a robust governance structure that distinguishes among the three lines involved in the effective and comprehensive management of risk at OCC: the functions that own and manage risks, the functions that oversee and provide guidance on the management of risks, and the functions that provide independent and objective assurance of the robustness and appropriateness of risk management and internal controls.

**Risk Assessments**

In furtherance of the three lines of defense model, the RMF would provide for risk identification and assessment programs described below to identify, measure, and monitor current and emerging risks at OCC. Findings or recommendations that result from the assessments would be documented, monitored and escalated through the appropriate governance according to applicable OCC policies and procedures.

One such assessment – the Enterprise Risk Assessment – would be conducted by OCC’s first line of defense in conjunction with ERM. The Enterprise Risk Assessment would analyze risks based on: (i) Inherent Risk,\(^\text{19}\) (ii) quality of risk management, and (iii) Residual Risk\(^\text{20}\) to provide OCC information on the quantity of risk in a certain functional area or business area, and provide a mechanism to prioritize risk mitigation activities. ERM would use analysis of Residual Risk in conjunction with metrics related to Risk Tolerances to develop a risk profile.

---

\(^{19}\) Under the Policy, “Inherent Risk” would be defined as the absolute level of risk exposure posed by a process or activity prior to the application of controls or other risk-mitigating factors.

\(^{20}\) Under the Policy, “Residual Risk” would be defined as the level of risk exposure posed by a process or activity after the application of controls or other risk-mitigating factors.
and determine whether a Key Risk is within in appetite and provide OCC’s Management Committee and Board (or committee thereof) information on the quantity of risk in a certain functional area or business area, which would provide a mechanism to prioritize risk mitigation activities.

Another such assessment – the Scenario Analysis Program – would be a method for identifying risks that may not be otherwise captured in OCC’s risk statements. ERM, in cooperation with the first line of defense, would design simulations of potential disruptions, and business unit staff would be able to identify risks that may not have been previously uncovered or identify weaknesses in current controls. ERM would include potential risks identified through the Scenario Analysis Program in its analysis of, and reporting on, the quantity of risk within a certain Key Risk and whether the Key Risk is within appetite.

A third assessment – the IT Risk Assessment Program – would be conducted by OCC’s Security Services department prior to the procurement, development, installation, and operation of IT services and systems. This assessment would be triggered by certain events that may affect the nature or level of IT risks OCC faces, such as evaluation or procurement of a new system or technology, changes in OCC business processes that affect current services and systems, and the emergence of new threats that subvert existing controls and that require a new technology mitigation. OCC would also conduct periodic assessments.

A fourth assessment would be conducted by OCC’s compliance function to identify and measure regulatory compliance risks. The assessment would also provide OCC’s compliance function with a basis for prioritizing testing and training activities.
Risk Reporting

Under the RMF, ERM would be responsible for completing a review and reporting process that provides OCC’s Management Committee and Board (or committee thereof) with the information necessary to fulfill their obligations for risk management and oversight of risk management activities, respectively. This reporting would be designed to assist OCC’s Management Committee and Board (or committee thereof) in understanding the most significant risks faced by OCC from a process perspective and determining whether Risk Tolerances are being managed in accordance with Risk Appetite Statements. On a quarterly basis, ERM would provide a risk report with a summary analysis of risk appetite and risk profile that includes analysis of Residual Risks from the Enterprise Risk Assessment program, reporting on Risk Tolerances and recommendations for prioritization of risk mitigation activities. The reporting process would indicate procedures for escalation in the event of a breach of Risk Tolerance.

Control Activities

Under the RMF, the Compliance Department would be responsible for maintaining an inventory of all business processes and associated controls. OCC would also provide guides to assist staff in documenting their control activities in a consistent way and periodically conduct training on the importance of a strong risk and control environment. In addition, on at least an annual basis, the Compliance Department would be required to conduct training to assist OCC staff in understanding their respective responsibilities in implementing OCC’s risk and control environment.
(2) **Statutory Basis**

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act requires, in part, that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible, and in general, to protect investors and the public interest. As described above, the RMF is designed to formalize, clarify, and streamline OCC’s overall framework for comprehensively managing risks. Specifically, the RMF would describe OCC’s overall framework for comprehensive risk management, including OCC’s framework to identify, measure, monitor and manage all risks faced by OCC in the provision of clearing, settlement and risk management services. In particular, the RMF would establish the context for OCC’s risk management framework, outline OCC’s risk management philosophy, describe OCC’s Risk Appetite Framework and use of Risk Tolerances, describe the governance arrangements that implement risk management, outline OCC’s identification of Key Risks and describe OCC’s program for enterprise-wide risk management, including the three lines of defense structure and OCC’s approach to risk monitoring, assessment and reporting.

The proposed rule change would formalize the risk management framework OCC currently employs in a single document and would therefore serve as a guide for readers to understand OCC’s comprehensive framework for managing risk and its universe of risk management policies. Moreover, by describing some of the ways that OCC manages its risks, the RMF would serve as a basis for the processes, policies, procedures and other documents that OCC may develop and maintain to facilitate those risk management activities. As a result, OCC believes the proposed rule change is designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and

---

settlement of securities transactions, assure the safeguarding of securities and funds in the
custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible, and in general, to protect
investors and the public interest in accordance with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.\textsuperscript{22}

Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3)\textsuperscript{23} requires, in part, that a covered clearing agency “establish,implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to . . .
[m]aintain a sound risk management framework for comprehensively managing legal, credit,
liquidity, operational, general business, investment, custody, and other risks that arise in or are
borne by the covered clearing agency, which . . . [i]ncludes risk management policies,
procedures, and systems designed to identify, measure, monitor, and manage the range of risks
that arise in or are borne by the covered clearing agency, that are subject to review on a specified
periodic basis and approved by the board of directors annually . . .” OCC believes that the
proposed rule change is also consistent with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3)\textsuperscript{24} because the RMF describes
OCC’s comprehensive framework for identifying, measuring, monitoring and managing the risks
that arise within OCC or are borne by it, including legal, credit, liquidity, operational, general
business, investment and custody risk. For example, the RMF describes OCC’s framework for
identifying its Key Risks and the relevant policies that OCC maintains to address those risks.
Moreover, the RMF would establish a foundation of OCC’s risk management practice by
describing OCC’s enterprise-wide risk management framework. This framework incorporates
established principles employed across the financial services industry, such as the “three lines of
defense” model for enterprise-wide risk management, to ensure that OCC maintains and operates
a resilient, effective and reliable risk management and internal control infrastructure that assures

\textsuperscript{22} Id.
\textsuperscript{23} 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(3).
\textsuperscript{24} Id.
risk management and processing outcomes expected by OCC stakeholders. This framework also
describes how OCC’s second line of defense monitors the risks that arise in or are borne by OCC
through a variety of risk assessment, risk reporting and internal control management activities,
consistent with the requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3).25

The RMF also describes OCC’s RAF and use of Risk Appetite Statements and Risk
Tolerances to ensure that OCC sets appropriate levels and types of Key Risks that OCC is
willing and able to assume in accordance with OCC’s mission as a SIFMU. For example, the
use of Risk Appetite Statements ensures that OCC can carefully calibrate the levels of risk it
accepts for each Key Risk in a manner consistent with OCC’s core mission of promoting
financial stability in the markets it serves. In addition, the use of Risk Tolerances helps to ensure
that OCC sets acceptable levels of risk within specified sub-categories of Key Risks, and which
may also be used to set thresholds for acceptable variability in risk levels and to provide clear
and transparent escalation triggers when the thresholds are breached. As a result, OCC believes
the RMF is reasonably designed to provide for a sound, comprehensive framework for
identifying, measuring, monitoring and managing the range of risks that arise in or are borne by
OCC in a manner consistent with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3).26

The proposed rule change is not inconsistent with the existing rules of OCC, including
any other rules proposed to be amended.

(B) Clearing Agency’s Statement on Burden on Competition

Section 17A(b)(3)(I) of the Act27 requires that the rules of a clearing agency not impose
any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

25 Id.
26 Id.
OCC does not believe that the proposed rule change would impact or impose any burden on competition. The proposed rule change would formalize the framework OCC uses internally to identify, monitor and manage its risks in a more transparent and understandable way. While the proposed rule change would update OCC’s internal risk management framework document, this update does not affect Clearing Members’ access to OCC’s services or impose any direct burdens on Clearing Members. Accordingly, the proposed rule change would not unfairly inhibit access to OCC’s services or disadvantage or favor any particular user in relationship to another user.

(C) Clearing Agency’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

Written comments on the proposed rule change were not and are not intended to be solicited with respect to the proposed rule change and none have been received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) by order approve or disapprove the proposed rule change, or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:
Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-OCC-2017-005 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2017-005. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of OCC and on OCC’s website at http://www.theocc.com/components/docs/legal/rules_and_bylaws/sr_occ_17_005.pdf. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to
make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2017-005 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated Authority. 28

Eduardo A. Aleman
Assistant Secretary