SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
(Release No. 34-67470; File No. SR-NYSEArca-2012-28)  

July 19, 2012  

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Order Instituting Proceedings to Determine Whether to Approve or Disapprove a Proposed Rule Change to List and Trade Shares of the JPM XF Physical Copper Trust Pursuant to NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201  

I. Introduction  


July 16, 2012, United States Senator Carl Levin submitted a comment letter on the proposed rule change.\(^8\)

This order institutes proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change. The institution of proceedings does not indicate that the Commission has reached any conclusions with respect to any of the issues involved, nor does it mean that the Commission will ultimately disapprove the proposed rule change. Rather, as described in greater detail below, the Commission seeks and encourages interested persons to provide additional comment on the proposed rule change to inform the Commission’s analysis of whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposal

The Exchange proposes to list and trade the Shares under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201, which governs the listing and trading of commodity-based trust shares. J.P. Morgan Commodity ETF Services LLC is the sponsor of the Trust (“Sponsor”).\(^9\) J.P. Morgan Treasury Securities Services, a division of JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, is the administrative agent of the Trust (“Administrative Agent”). Wilmington Trust Company is the trustee of the Trust (“Trustee”). The Henry Bath Group is the warehouse-keeper of the Trust


\(^9\) Additional details regarding the Trust are set forth in the Registration Statement for the Trust on Amendment No. 5 to Form S-1, filed with the Commission on July 12, 2011 (No. 333-170085) (“Registration Statement”).
Metal Bulletin Ltd., an independent, third-party valuation agent that is not affiliated with the Sponsor, is the valuation agent of the Trust (“Valuation Agent”).

The Trust’s investment objective is for the value of the Shares to reflect, at any given time, the value of the copper owned by the Trust at that time, less the Trust’s expenses and liabilities at that time. The Trust would not be actively managed and would not engage in any activities designed to obtain a profit from, or to prevent losses caused by, changes in the price of copper.

The Trust would invest in Grade A copper11 in physical form from a source refinery that has had its brand registered with the London Metal Exchange (“LME”) (an “Acceptable Delivery Brand”).12 The Trust would hold only copper and would not trade in copper futures. While the Trust would store its copper in both LME-approved warehouses and non-LME-approved

---

10 Each of Henry Bath & Son Limited, Henry Bath LLC, Henry Bath Singapore Pte Limited, Henry Bath Italia Sr1, and Henry Bath BV is a member of the Henry Bath Group of companies and a wholly owned subsidiary of J.P. Morgan Ventures Energy Corporation, and is an affiliate of the Sponsor. See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 23773 n.10

11 According to the Exchange, the LME trades, promotes, and maintains the standards of quality, shape, and weight of Grade A Copper, a commonly accepted standardized form of copper cathode. Grade A Copper currently must conform to the standard BS EN 1978:1998 (Cu-CATH-1), which specifies the allowed source, shape, and chemical composition of the cathode. Most copper cathodes are 99.95% to 99.99% pure copper. The chemical composition, and impurities, in the cathode depend largely on the source of the copper and whether the metal has been processed from copper sulfide ore or copper oxide ore. Copper oxide ore has a smaller number of residual chemical elements in the cathode. See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 23777.

12 Currently, there are 79 brands that are Acceptable Delivery Brands. Some refineries have more than one smelting and refining process, so a refinery may register more than one brand, reflecting, among other factors, the different chemical composition, size, origins, and bundling of the copper cathodes. The LME has the authority to deregister brands from the LME from time to time. Generally, copper that is not of an Acceptable Delivery Brand is worth less than copper that is of an Acceptable Delivery Brand because of the perceived lower liquidity associated with that brand of metal. See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 23777–78.
warehouses that are maintained by the Warehouse-keeper, none of the copper held by the Trust would be on LME warrant, and therefore would not be subject to regulation by the LME.\footnote{See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 23778.}

Initially, the permitted warehouse locations would be in the Netherlands (Rotterdam), Singapore (Singapore), South Korea (Busan and Gwangyang), China (Shanghai), and the United States (Baltimore, Chicago, and New Orleans). Although the Trust may hold copper in warehouses in any of these locations (or other locations that may be determined by the Sponsor from time to time), the locations at which copper actually is held would depend on (i) the warehouse locations at which authorized participants have actually delivered copper to the Trust and (ii) the warehouse locations from which copper is or has been delivered pursuant to the Trust’s redemption procedures.\footnote{Similar to other exchange traded products that hold physical metals, the Sponsor, the Trust, and persons or entities engaging in transactions in Shares would need to seek exemptions from, or interpretative or no-action advice, regarding Rules 101 and 102 of Regulation M under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in order to create or redeem Shares. See, e.g., letters from James A. Brigaglio, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation, (i) to Kathleen Moriarty, Esq., Carter Ledyard & Milburn, dated November 17, 2004, with respect to the trading of StreetTRACKS Gold Trust, (ii) to David Yeres, dated January 27, 2005, with respect to the trading of the iShares COMEX Gold Trust, and (iii) to David Yeres, dated April 27, 2006, with respect to the trading of iShares Silver Trust.}

The Administrative Agent will calculate the net asset value (“NAV”) of the Trust as promptly as practicable after 4:00 pm EST on each Business Day.\footnote{A Business Day is a day that the Exchange is open for regular trading and that is not a holiday in London, England. See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 23775, n.18.} As part of this calculation, the Administrative Agent will determine the value of the trust’s copper using the LME Settlement Price\footnote{The “LME Settlement Price” is, with respect to any Business Day, the official cash sellers price per metric ton of Grade A Copper on the LME, stated in U.S. dollars, as determined by the LME at the end of the morning’s second ring session (12:35 p.m.} and information provided by the Valuation Agent.\footnote{The “LME Settlement Price” is, with respect to any Business Day, the official cash sellers price per metric ton of Grade A Copper on the LME, stated in U.S. dollars, as determined by the LME at the end of the morning’s second ring session (12:35 p.m.) and information provided by the Valuation Agent.}
NYSE Arca anticipates requiring that a minimum of 100,000 Shares be outstanding at the start of trading, which represents 1,000 metric tons of copper. The Trust seeks to register 6,180,000 Shares, which represents 61,800 metric tons of copper.

The Exchange states that it intends to utilize its existing surveillance procedures applicable to derivative products (including commodity-based trust shares) to monitor trading in the Shares, and represents that such procedures are adequate to properly monitor Exchange trading of the Shares in all trading sessions and to deter and detect violations of Exchange rules and applicable federal securities laws. In discussing its ability to obtain information relevant to trading of the Shares on its facilities, the Exchange states that it is able to obtain information: (1) regarding trading in physical copper, the Shares, and other copper derivatives by ETP Holders registered as Exchange market makers, pursuant to NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201(g); (2) from the LME, with which the Exchange has a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement; and (3) London time) for copper on each day that the LME is open for trading. The LME Settlement Price is made publicly available in real-time through third-party vendors such as Bloomberg and Reuters (on Bloomberg, it is currently displayed on Bloomberg page “LOCADY <comdty>”). It is also made publicly available on a delayed basis on the LME’s website at approximately 10:00 p.m. London time. See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 23775 n.17.

The value of copper depends in part on its location, i.e., copper stored in a location that is low in supply and high in demand carries a higher premium than copper that is stored in a location where supply is high and demand is low. To assist in valuing the Trust’s copper, by 9:00 am EST, the Valuation Agent will provide the Administrative Agent the locational premia for the locations at which the trust is permitted to hold copper. The locational premium for a warehouse location for a Business Day will be calculated as an amount expressed in U.S. dollars that is equal to the average value of copper per metric ton in such location minus the LME Settlement Price of copper on such Business Day. See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 23779.

See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 23786.

See Registration Statement, supra note 9.

See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 23787.
via the Intermarket Surveillance Group (“ISG”) from other exchanges who are members of the ISG, such as Commodity Exchange, Inc. (“COMEX”).

The Notice and in the Registration Statement include additional information about: the Trust; the Shares; the Trust’s investment objectives, strategies, policies, and restrictions; fees and expenses; creation and redemption of Shares; the physical copper market; availability of information; trading rules and halts; and surveillance procedures.21

III. Summary of the Comments Received and the Exchange’s Response

The two commenters on the proposal (collectively, “Commenters”) oppose the proposed rule change.22 According to the Commenters, the issuance by the Trust of all of the Shares covered by the Registration Statement within a short period of time would result in a material reduction in the immediately available supply of global copper.23 They also assert that this reduction in short-term supply would increase both volatility in the copper market and the price of copper, which would in turn significantly harm the U.S. economy.24 The Commenters further state that the decrease in copper available for immediate delivery would make the physical copper market more susceptible to manipulation.25

In its response letter, NYSE Arca states that V&F’s arguments either are based on incorrect information or are unsubstantiated.26 The Exchange’s response, as discussed in further detail below, addresses in particular V&F’s conclusions about the impact of the Trust on the

---

21 See Notice and the Registration Statement, supra notes 3 and 9, respectively.
22 See supra notes 4, 7, and 8. One of the Commenters, V&F, identified itself as a U.S. law firm that represents an international copper merchant and four U.S. copper fabricators. See supra note 4.
23 See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 3, 6 and Sen. Levin Letter, supra note 8, at 1, 4.
24 See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 5–7 and Sen. Levin Letter, supra note 8, at 1, 7.
25 See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 1, 10 and Sen. Levin Letter, supra note 8, at 7.
26 See Arca’s Response, supra note 6, at 1.
price of physical copper. In its second letter, V&F responds to the Exchange’s arguments by reiterating some of its positions and providing additional information.

A. Adverse Copper Market Impact

1. Impact on Supply of Copper Available for Immediate Delivery

V&F states that almost all of the copper produced worldwide is delivered pursuant to long-term contracts to copper fabricators, and that at any given time, there is only a limited supply of copper available for immediately delivery. V&F further states that this copper, which generally is stored in LME warehouses, usually is deposited by producers with excess supply or by copper merchants looking for purchasers and is sold to traders seeking to close out short positions or to fabricators in sudden need of additional supply.

V&F states that the only “visible” copper available to satisfy the Trust’s requirements for copper to be delivered to the Trust to create shares is copper stored in LME warehouses. V&F estimates that, if the Trust sells all of the 6,180,000 Shares it seeks to register, creation of the Trust could result in as much as 61,800 metric tons of copper being removed from LME warehouses, which is more than 30% of the 200,000 metric tons currently available for immediate delivery.

V&F believes the Trust is likely to acquire copper from locations with the lowest premiums. According to V&F, based on the present level of demand, locational premiums for

---

27 See id., at 4-5.
28 See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 3.
29 See id.
30 See id.
31 See id., at 1, 3.
32 See id., at 4.
copper in the U.S. are at least ten times lower than they are in Europe and Asia.\textsuperscript{33} Accordingly, V&F predicts that much of the copper used to fund the Trust will come from the immediately available supply in the U.S.\textsuperscript{34}

In response to these concerns raised by V&F, the Exchange points out that the Trust will hold only copper that is not under LME warrant.\textsuperscript{35} NYSE Arca states that the Sponsor of the Trust does not believe that “huge quantities” of LME warranted copper will be removed from the LME system, as V&F predicts, because of: (1) the cost and time that would be required to take copper off warrant; and (2) the availability of large supplies of non-warranted physical copper to create Shares.\textsuperscript{36} NYSE Arca provides data from the Sponsor of the Trust indicating that the amount of non-warranted copper is approximately ten times larger than the amount of LME warranted copper.\textsuperscript{37}

NYSE Arca further states that the Trust will not immediately remove from the market as much as 61,800 metric tons of copper.\textsuperscript{38} According to the Exchange, the Trust seeks to register 6,180,000 Shares but, like the other physical metal exchange-traded products, the Trust seeks to

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{33} See id.
\item \textsuperscript{34} V&F states that the total amount of copper available in New Orleans and Chicago (two of the three U.S. warehouses proposed to be used by the Trust) is 45,000 and 25,000 metric tons respectively and, as mentioned above, the Trust may acquire as much as 61,800 tons of copper in connection with the initial offering of Shares. V&F predicts that the removal of large quantities of copper from LME warehouses in the U.S. also will result in the emptying out of substantial quantities of copper from COMEX warehouses. V&F believes that this copper either would be delivered to LME warehouses, where the demand is greatest, or it would be shipped to fabricators in other parts of the U.S. that are no longer able to get copper for immediate delivery from the LME. See id.
\item \textsuperscript{35} See Arca’s Response, supra note 6, at 1–2.
\item \textsuperscript{36} See id. at 3. The Exchange states that the Sponsor expects that the initial Shares will be created using 10,185 metric tons of copper, none of which will be taken off LME warrant for the creation. See id. at 4.
\item \textsuperscript{37} See id. at 3.
\item \textsuperscript{38} See id. at 4.
\end{itemize}
register significantly more Shares than it intends to sell initially. NYSE Arca notes that the number of Shares that will be issued will depend on investor demand for the Shares and the extent to which authorized participants seek to fulfill such demand by ordering additional creation units from the Trust.

In its second letter, V&F reiterates its view that “the only substantial source of copper available to meet the Trust’s requirements...is warranted copper in LME warehouses.” V&F states that the fact that the Trust will hold only copper that is not warranted does not mean, as NYSE Arca concludes, that copper will not be taken off LME warrant and held by the Trust. V&F also challenges the Exchange’s assertion about the availability of a large supply of off-warrant copper that may be used to create Shares, and argues that the copper not on LME warrant actually is largely unavailable for Share creation. For example, V&F states that the overall physical copper stocks include copper that is subject to long-term contracts, and is generally held in the normal course by producers and consumers as buffer stocks to ensure smooth running of their logistics and to meet contingencies. V&F further states that there is no

39 The Exchange states that currently the Sponsor expects that the value of the initial creation units will not exceed $75 million, which corresponds to approximately 10,185 metric tons, or approximately 407 lots of copper in the current cheapest-to-deliver location for the Trust as of June 6, 2012. See id.

40 See id.

41 See V&F Letter II, supra note 7, at 1.

42 See id. at 2. V&F further states that the Trust would have to take the copper off-warrant because otherwise the holding of such warranted copper in an LME warehouse would subject the Trust to the LME’s lending obligations and the draft registration statement makes clear that, consistent with its intent to take the Trust’s copper off-market, the Trust does not intend to be subject to any of the LME’s rules, including rules that would require the Trust to lend any of its copper. See id.

43 See id. at 2–4.

44 See id. at 3. V&F further states that “[o]ther such stocks consist of stock [sic] in bonded warehouses outside China...which are destined for the Chinese market,” none of which is
evidence that any of the non-registered copper stocks would be available for the Trust to purchase, and concludes that the only copper available to create Shares would be the copper in the LME and COMEX warehouses. In addition, V&F states its view that the potential size of the Trust is large relative to the size of market for copper available for immediate delivery. Specifically, V&F asserts that the Trust could remove as much as 21.3% of copper available for immediate delivery on the LME and COMEX markets combined. Senator Levin also comments that there is ample evidence that the proposed commodity-based exchange traded product (“CB-ETP”) will disrupt the supply of copper by removing from the market a substantial percentage of the copper available for immediate delivery.

45 See id. at 2–4. V&F states that the Exchange compounds misinformation about the availability of copper stocks by including a table it obtained from the Sponsor of the Trust purporting to break down registered and non-registered market stocks as of May 2012. See id. at 3. V&F states that the use of the term “market” by the Exchange in reference to total non-registered stocks suggests that such tonnage is actually available for purchase at market, but V&F believes that there is no evidence that any of the non-registered stocks would be available for the Trust to purchase. See id. To support its statements about the tightness of the supply of immediately available copper, V&F submitted portions of a report prepared by Bloomsbury Minerals Economics Ltd. for RK Capital Management LLP. See id. Exhibit A.

46 See id. at 8.

47 See id. at 8–9. V&F states that the size of the market for copper available for immediate delivery is relatively small in that there is only 230,000 metric tons available on the LME, with an additional 60,000 metric tons available on the COMEX. See id. at 8. V&F further states that therefore, the Trust proposes to remove as much as 61,800 metric tons, or about 21.3% of the copper available for immediate delivery. See id.

48 See Sen. Levin Letter, supra note 8, at 1. For example, Senator Levin notes that “it appears that most of the remaining copper stocks available for immediate delivery are on the LME and [COMEX].” See id. at 5.
With respect to the number of shares registered by the Trust and the size of the Trust, V&F states that there is no assurance that the Exchange-required minimum will have any bearing on the ultimate size of the offering.49 V&F points to the Trust’s registration statement, which contains an estimate that the number of shares under the registration statement is roughly equivalent to the holding of approximately 61,800 metric tons of copper by the Trust.50 V&F also notes that the Trust Agreement places no limit on the amount of copper the Trust may hold; thus the Trust may issue an unlimited number of shares, subject to registration requirements, and may, in theory, acquire an unlimited amount of copper.51

In response to NYSE Arca’s statement that the sponsor of the Trust believes that LME warranted copper will not be removed from the LME system because of the cost and time that would be required to take copper off warrant, V&F states its view that, although an authorized participant can obtain LME grade copper available for immediate delivery from owners of LME grade copper in LME warehouses by purchasing long positions on the LME and taking delivery, the authorized participant would have no guarantee of the location of its copper, creating a risk that the authorized participant’s copper is at a location (or locations) that might be too expensive to transfer to a Trust warehouse.52 V&F further states that, in comparison, an authorized participant can create Shares at little or not cost by purchasing LME warrants for copper in LME warehouses with the lowest location cost premiums.53

50 See id. at 8.
51 See id.
52 See id. at 6.
53 See id.
V&F believes that investors’ ability to redeem Shares for the Trust’s physical copper would not limit the impact of removing substantial quantities of copper from the market.54 According to V&F, most investors in a copper-backed CB-ETP would not have any real economic incentive to redeem their Shares for physical delivery as investors would benefit from a rise in the price of copper and can do so through sale of the Shares on the Exchange without having to assume any risk of delivery.55 In its response, NYSE Arca points out that Share creations may be offset by Share redemptions, which result in copper being released from the Trust and becoming available to the physical markets.56 V&F reiterates in its second letter its views expressed in its first comment letter on the Exchange’s assertion that copper may return to the market through redemptions.57

Additionally, both Commenters reference another proposed CB-ETP, the iShares Copper Trust. In a separate proposed rule change, NYSE Arca proposes to list and trade shares of the iShares Copper Trust, which would also hold physical copper.58 V&F states that this CB-ETP:

---

54 See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 5.
55 See id.
56 See Arca’s Response, supra note 6, at 3.
57 See V&F Letter II, supra note 7, at 7. V&F states that while fabricators may purchase Shares and redeem them whenever they need supply, doing so: (1) would add cost and risk to fabricators who otherwise would simply purchase available stocks from LME warehouses; (2) may not have any appreciable effect on price or supply in a rising market with tight supply; and (3) would be an inefficient and perhaps impracticable way of obtaining copper because the copper delivered by the Trust may be warehoused in an unhelpful location (e.g., a fabricator in Alabama may need copper in New Orleans, not Shanghai) or of an unacceptable brand or quality. See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 5–6.
58 See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67237 (June 22, 2012), 77 FR 38351 (June 27, 2012) (SR-NYSEArca-2012-66) (“iShares Notice”). BlackRock Asset Management International Inc. is the sponsor of this trust. See the iShares Notice and Pre-Effective Amendment No. 4 to Form S-1 for iShares Copper Trust, filed with the Commission on September 2, 2011 (No. 333-170131) for a detailed description of the iShares Copper Trust and the Exchange’s proposal to list and trade the iShares Copper Trust.
would remove as much as 120,000 metric tons of copper from the market. And like JPM, BlackRock also intends to acquire LME-grade copper from the LME warehouses where the location premiums being charged are the lowest. Thus, approval of this rulemaking could lead to the removal of all or nearly all of the LME and Comex supply of copper available for immediate delivery.59

V&F further states that the collective effect of the Trust and the iShares Copper Trust (collectively, “Copper Trusts”) would be “far-reaching and potentially devastating to the U.S. and world economies,” including “shortages of copper, higher prices to consumers, and increased volatility.”60 Senator Levin also states that, if the Commission approves the listing and trading of the Shares and shares of the iShares Copper Trust, the trusts would hold approximately 34% of the copper stocks available for immediate delivery and would remove from the U.S. market over 55% of the available copper.61

2. **Impact on Copper Prices**

According to V&F, removing large amounts of copper from LME warehouses would disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery and thereby cause a substantial rise in near-term copper prices.62 V&F argues that this also would cause an immediate spike in the cash-to-three-month spread price of copper, as near-term prices for delivery accelerate compared to prices for delivery later in time.63 V&F is concerned that manufacturers and fabricators that rely on the supply of copper available in LME warehouses would be forced to pay substantially higher prices in the short term, and, in turn, manufacturers and fabricators would pass these price

59 See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 6.
60 See id. at 10.
62 See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 5.
63 See id.
increases on to their customers.\textsuperscript{64} V&F predicts that the price increases both for copper and copper products will be especially dramatic in the U.S., where copper currently is relatively inexpensive.\textsuperscript{65} Additionally, V&F asserts that the supply of copper generally is inelastic and that supply, therefore, will not increase fast enough to account for the increased demand unleashed by the creation and growth of the Trust.\textsuperscript{66}

V&F characterizes the physical copper market as currently volatile, and believes that the successful creation and growth of the Trust would create a bubble, and the bursting of the bubble would result in increased price volatility in the physical copper market.\textsuperscript{67} V&F states that, with the risk of an ETF removing indefinitely all or substantially all of the copper available for immediate delivery, the risk of price volatility becomes enormous. This is because the greater amount of copper artificially kept off-the-market, the greater the chance that investors will eventually no longer keep propping up the price with further purchases, and the greater the likelihood that the bubble will burst, thus flooding the market with surplus copper, and severely depressing the price.\textsuperscript{68}

V&F further states that investors in a copper CB-ETP would benefit immediately from any increase in the price of copper because the more copper removed from the market to satisfy the demand for the copper CB-ETP, the higher the price not only of copper, but of the copper

\textsuperscript{64} See id.
\textsuperscript{65} See id. at 4–5.
\textsuperscript{66} See id. at 5. According to V&F, it is difficult for copper producers to increase supply, sometimes taking 15 years or longer to open a new mine, and even in areas where copper is considered plentiful, political instability can keep a mine from producing. See id. Moreover, V&F states that U.S. producers do not have surplus product to deliver. See id. Therefore, V&F asserts that once copper stored in warehouses disappears, it likely will not be replenished any time soon. See id. Senator Levin concurs that the copper market is inelastic. See Sen. Levin Letter, supra note 8, at 3.
\textsuperscript{67} See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 2, 9.
\textsuperscript{68} See id. at 5.
CB-ETP itself. V&F notes that, like all bubbles, as investor demand for this product wanes, the bubble will burst, leaving in its wake a glut of physical copper that the Trust will be forced to dump on the market, causing prices to plummet, and leaving in its wake unsuspecting investors who will have lost the value of their investment. Senator Levin also makes statements about the potential effect of the Shares, stating that the “supply disruption is likely to affect the cash and futures market for copper, increasing volatility and driving up [the Share] price to create a bubble and burst cycle.”

V&F further believes that investors in the Trust would be able to measure how much impact their collective removal of copper from the supply available for immediate delivery would have on copper prices each day, and could adjust their purchasing strategies accordingly. V&F questions, therefore, whether the increased market transparency that the Exchange asserts will result from the formation and operation of the Trust will be in the public interest.

The Exchange, in its response letter, states that V&F’s concerns about price volatility are speculative and misplaced. NYSE Arca asserts that, because of the arbitrage mechanism common to all types of CB-ETPs, CB-ETP share prices generally follow the price of the underlying asset(s), rather than drive the price as V&F predicts. The Exchange agrees that, in theory, if extremely high demand for shares of a CB-ETP caused it to grow very rapidly relative

69 See id.
70 See id. at 2.
71 See Sen. Levin Letter, supra note 9, at 1.
72 See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 9.
73 See id., at 10.
74 See Arca’s Response, supra note 6, at 4.
75 See id.
to the size of the market for the underlying asset, such demand could place upward pressure on the price of the underlying asset. The Exchange states that Share redemptions would be able to drive down the price of copper only if the size of the redemptions is extremely large relative to the size of the physical copper markets and those redemptions occurred over a very short period of time. The Exchange acknowledges that this is a theoretical possibility, but states that V&F has not provided any evidence to support its prediction. According to NYSE Arca, given the anticipated size of the Trust relative to the size and depth of the physical copper markets, the Sponsor of the Trust has informed the Exchange that it does not expect the Trust to cause a spike in copper prices.

In response to the Exchange, V&F reiterates its concern that the Trust, if launched, could trigger an increase in the price of copper. Senator Levin also voices a concern that the Trust, if launched, would have an impact on the price of copper. V&F and Senator Levin refer to language in the Trust’s Registration Statement in which the issuer discusses the potential for the growth of the Trust to impact the price of copper and the Shares. Specifically, the Commenters reference statements from the Registration Statement that: (1) because there is no limit on the amount of copper that the Trust may acquire, the Trust, as it grows, may have an impact on the supply and demand for copper that ultimately may affect the price of the Shares in a manner unrelated to other factors affecting the global markets for copper; and (2) if the amount of copper acquired by the Trust were large enough in relation to global copper supply and demand, in-kind

---

76 See id. at 5.
77 See id.
78 See id.
79 See id.
80 See V&F Letter II, supra note 7, at 8
81 See Sen. Levin Letter, supra note 8, at 5.
creations and redemptions of Shares could have an impact on the supply and demand for copper unrelated to other factors affecting the global markets for copper, which in turn could affect the price at which Shares are traded on the Exchange.\textsuperscript{82} V&F also states that because the potential size of the Trust is large relative to the size of the market for copper available for immediate delivery, even modest investor demand for the Shares could place upward pressure on the price of copper.\textsuperscript{83}

3. **Increased Likelihood of Copper Market Manipulation**

V&F asserts generally that the tightened supply of copper it believes would be caused by fully funding the Trust would render the physical copper market more susceptible to manipulation.\textsuperscript{84} V&F compares the possible effect of funding the Trust to the conspiracy (described in the V&F Letter) between Sumitomo Corporation and a U.S. trader to squeeze the price of copper on the LME in the U.S. by, among other things, removing 100\% of the copper from the LME warehouse in Long Beach, California.\textsuperscript{85}

NYSE Arca, in its response letter, highlights several structural features of the Trust and the Shares that are intended to prevent fraudulent and manipulative practices, promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market, and in general, protect investors and the public interest, including that:

\textsuperscript{83} See V&F Letter II, \textit{supra} note 7, at 8–9.
\textsuperscript{84} See V&F Letter, \textit{supra} note 4, at 1, 10.
\textsuperscript{85} See id. at 6, 10 (describing the conspiracy).
the Trust may hold copper in multiple global locations, which is intended to provide a larger, more liquid supply of copper than would be available if creations and redemptions were only permitted using copper held in a single location;86

the Trust would be transparent, publishing information about its holdings and operations through its website;87

the Trust would utilize a consistent, transparent, non-discretionary, rules-based, and fully disclosed selection protocol for redemptions;88 and

the Trust’s copper would be valued by a recognized, independent valuation agent.89

In response, V&F states that, although the Trust may hold its copper in various locations worldwide, the Trust makes clear that it intends to acquire copper from locations where the premiums are the lowest, and that is in the United States.90 Senator Levin also states that it is likely that the Trust’s copper will come from LME warehouses in the United States since the Trust will likely acquire its initial copper holdings from the location with the lowest locational premia, and the United States currently is the country with the lowest locational premia.91

V&F further respond to Arca’s statements about the structure of the Trust by stating that the transparency of the Trust’s holdings will provide market participants with critical information

86 See Arca’s Response, supra note 6, at 5.
87 See id.
88 See id.
89 See id., at 6.
90 See V&F Letter II, supra note 7, at 9. V&F states its view that the most cost-efficient manner to create Shares would be to acquire warrants for copper held in the New Orleans warehouse where the Trust’s copper may be stored and take that copper off warrant; by doing so, an authorized participant would avoid transportation costs and pay the lowest premium for the copper. See id., at 6.
about “how much copper needs to be removed on any given day in order to artificially inflate [copper] prices and thus the price of the Trust’s shares.”

Senator Levin states that approval of the proposed rule change would make the copper market more susceptible to squeezes and corners by speculators. According to Senator Levin, market participants could use the Shares to remove copper from the available supply with the intent to artificially inflate the price of copper, and this activity would go undetected by the LME because CB-ETPs currently are not subject to any form of commodity regulations. Senator Levin states that, by holding physical copper rather than LME warrants, the Trust can control more of the available supply of copper without triggering LME reporting or rules. Senator Levin further states the view that creating this market condition would be inconsistent with the requirements in Section 6(b)(5) of the Act that exchange rules be designed to prevent manipulative acts and protect investors and the public interest.

Finally, V&F questions whether NYSE Arca’s surveillance procedures are adequate to prevent fraudulent and manipulative trading in the Shares. According to V&F, NYSE Arca’s surveillance procedures are not adequate because they are the kind of garden-variety measures that are always in place to prevent collusion and other forms of manipulation by traders.

In response, NYSE Arca asserts that it will be able to obtain information regarding trading in the Shares and the underlying copper, copper futures contracts, options on copper

92 See V&F Letter II, supra note 7, at 10.
94 See id.
95 See id.
96 See id. at 1, 7.
97 See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 10.
98 See id.
futures, or any other copper derivative. NYSE Arca further states that it can obtain trading information via the ISG from other exchanges that are members of the ISG, including the New York Mercantile Exchange, of which COMEX is a division. The Exchange also notes that it has entered into a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement with the LME that applies with respect to trading in copper.

B. Comparison to Other Commodity-Based Trusts

V&F distinguishes the Trust from prior commodity-based trusts whose shares have been approved for listing and trading by the Commission. According to V&F, gold, silver, platinum, and palladium are all precious metals that have traditionally been held for investment purposes and are currently used as currency. As a result, there are ample stored sources available to back physical CB-ETPs holding precious metals, and the introduction of such CB-ETPs had virtually no impact on the available supply. In contrast, V&F states that copper generally is not held as an investment, but rather is used exclusively for industrial purposes, with the annual demand generally exceeding the available supply.

NYSE Arca states that: (1) the Trust will not be the first CB-ETP to hold a metal that is used primarily for industrial purposes; (2) NYSE Arca is unaware of empirical evidence demonstrating that the launches of CB-ETPs that hold a metal that is used primarily for industrial

---

99  See Arca’s Response, supra note 6, at 6.
100  See id.
101  See id.
102  See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 2–3.
103  See id., at 2.
104  See id.
105  See id., at 2–3. V&F states that the consensus among experts is that copper is in deficit, has been in deficit for the past three years, and is expected to remain in deficit for at least the next couple of years. See id., at 3.
purposes (e.g., platinum and palladium) have disrupted the markets for the underlying physical commodities or caused those commodity prices to increase; and (3) V&F has not provided any evidence that a copper-based CB-ETP would have such effects.\footnote{See Arca’s Response, supra note 6, at 6.}

In its second letter, V&F states in response that platinum and palladium are used for both industrial and investment purposes and that, unlike copper, there is enough of a supply of platinum and palladium available in storage and being produced that the introduction of CB-ETPs backed by these metals did not cause the kind of disruption to the market that a copper-backed CB-ETPs would cause.\footnote{See V&F Letter II, supra note 7, at 11.} Specifically, V&F states that: (1) in recent years, there has been a surplus in palladium due to the Russian government’s sell-off of its stockpile; (2) there is about a year’s supply of platinum reserves above ground; and (3) there is only a 1-2 week supply of copper available on the LME.\footnote{See id.} Senator Levin states that gold, silver, platinum, and palladium are substantially different than copper because these four metals are the only precious metals that are currently treated as world currencies and commonly held for investment purposes, and as a result there are substantial existing supplies of these metals that could be acquired to back an CB-ETPs without affecting the world market price in these metals.\footnote{See Sen. Levin Letter, supra note 8, at 6–7.} Senator Levin observes that copper is not currently held for investment purposes because it is very expensive to store and difficult to transport, and there is not the same existing supply of copper for the Trust to acquire to back its CB-ETP, and concludes that holding copper for investment purposes will
have a significantly greater impact on the copper market than CB-ETPs holding platinum, palladium, silver, or gold had on their respective markets and the broader economy. \(^{110}\)

IV. Proceedings to Determine Whether to Approve or Disapprove SR-NYSEArca-2012-28 and Grounds for Disapproval Under Consideration

The Commission is instituting proceedings pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act \(^{111}\) to determine whether this proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved. Institution of such proceedings is appropriate at this time in view of the legal and policy issues raised by the proposed rule change. As noted above, the institution of proceedings does not indicate that the Commission has reached any conclusions with respect to any of the issues involved. Rather, as described in greater detail below, the Commission seeks and encourages interested persons to provide additional comment on the proposed rule change to inform the Commission’s analysis of whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B), \(^{112}\) the Commission is providing notice of the grounds for disapproval under consideration. The Commission believes that questions remain about whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, \(^{113}\) which requires that the rules of an exchange be designed, among other things, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade,

\(^{110}\) See id. at 7.

\(^{111}\) 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B). Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act also provides that proceedings to determine whether to disapprove a proposed rule change must be concluded within 180 days of the date of publication of notice of the filing of the proposed rule change. Id. The time for conclusion of the proceedings may be extended for up to 60 days if the Commission finds good cause for such extension and publishes its reasons for so finding. Id.

\(^{112}\) Id.

to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national
market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

As discussed above, the Commission received comment letters from two parties opposing
the proposed rule change. The Commenters assert that the successful creation of the Trust would
materially reduce the supply of copper available for immediate delivery, which would increase
the price of copper and volatility in the copper market, and, in turn, would harm the U.S.
economy.\textsuperscript{114} In addition, the Commenters argue that, by decreasing the amount of copper
available for immediate delivery, the Trust will make the copper market more susceptible to
manipulation.\textsuperscript{115} V&F also believes the Exchange’s surveillance procedures are inadequate to
prevent fraudulent and manipulative trading in the Shares.\textsuperscript{116}

In response, the Exchange believes V&F’s arguments either are based on incorrect
information or are unsubstantiated,\textsuperscript{117} and disputes V&F’s conclusions regarding the Trust’s
impact on the copper market.\textsuperscript{118} NYSE Arca states different expectations regarding the source
and amount of copper that would be used to create Shares of the Trust, as well as the potential
impact on the price of copper.\textsuperscript{119}

In light of the comments received and the Exchange’s response, the Commission is
soliciting further comments on the proposed rule change, including comments regarding the
issues already commented upon.

V. Request for Written Comments

\textsuperscript{114} See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 5–7 and Sen. Levin Letter, supra note 8, at 1, 7.
\textsuperscript{115} See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 1, 10 and Sen. Levin Letter, supra note 8, at 7.
\textsuperscript{116} See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 10.
\textsuperscript{117} See Arca’s Response, supra note 6, at 1.
\textsuperscript{118} See id, at 4.
\textsuperscript{119} See id, at 2–4.
The Commission requests that interested persons provide written submissions of their views, data, and arguments with respect to the concerns identified above, as well as any others they may have regarding the proposed rule change. In particular, the Commission invites the written views of interested persons concerning whether the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) or any other provision of the Act, or the rules and regulations thereunder. The Commission will consider, pursuant to Rule 19b-4, any request for an opportunity to make an oral presentation.\textsuperscript{120}

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments regarding whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved by [insert date 30 days from publication in the Federal Register]. Any person who wishes to file a rebuttal to any other person’s submission must file that rebuttal by [insert date 45 days from publication in the Federal Register].

The Commission asks that commenters address the sufficiency and merit of the Exchange’s statements in support of the proposed rule change and the comments received, in addition to any other comments they may wish to submit about the proposed rule change. The Commission requests that commenters support their responses to the questions below with empirical data sufficient to inform the Commission’s decision making. In particular, the Commission seeks comment on the following:

1. In light of the comments received, the Commission is soliciting further comments regarding copper usage and supply trends. For example:

\textsuperscript{120} Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, as amended by the Securities Act Amendments of 1975, Pub. L. 94-29 (June 4, 1975), grants the Commission flexibility to determine what type of proceeding—either oral or notice and opportunity for written comments—is appropriate for consideration of a particular proposal by a self-regulatory organization. See Securities Act Amendments of 1975, Senate Comm. on Banking, Housing & Urban Affairs, S. Rep. No. 75, 94th Cong., 1st Sess. 30 (1975).
○ What was the world mine production capacity in each of the past 10 years? What data is available regarding projected world mine production over the next 3 to 5 years? What factors impact the ability to increase or decrease mine production?

○ What was the refined production in each of the past 10 years? How much of the refined production was from primary and secondary sources? What was the world refinery capacity in each of the past 10 years? What data is available regarding projected refined production over the next 3 to 5 years? What factors impact the ability to increase or decrease refinery production?

○ What was the world refined usage in each of the past 10 years? What data is available regarding projected usage over the next 3 to 5 years?

○ How much copper has been held for investment purposes over the past 10 years? How much of this copper was taken off LME warrant? How much of this copper has been eligible to be placed on LME warrant?

2. According to the International Copper Study Group (“ICSG”), world refined usage of copper exceeded world refined production by approximately 417,000 tons in 2010 and 231,000 tons in 2011, and world refined stocks decreased by 161,000 tons in 2010 and increased by 13,000 tons in 2011. What factors account for refined stocks decreasing less than the deficit amount (or even increasing) in 2010 and 2011? Are there any factors with respect to the supply of

copper available for immediate delivery that the Commission should consider in evaluating the market’s ability to meet demand for copper? When a deficit occurs, are copper fabricators and other end users able to access copper to meet excess demand? If so, what are the sources of that copper? How much copper is available for immediate delivery that is not on LME warrant?

3. The Commenters state that a material reduction in the supply of copper available for immediate delivery will increase the price of copper and volatility in the copper market, and, in turn, would harm the U.S. economy.122 The Commission requests comment on whether commenters agree or disagree with these concerns, and why or why not. For example:

- Do commenters believe creation of the Trust will have an impact on the supply of copper? If so, what will that impact be? If not, why not?
- How does a change in the supply of copper impact the price of copper? To what extent do copper stocks need to be reduced or increased to impact the price of copper?
- To what extent is the LME Settlement Price affected by the amount of copper on LME warrant? To what extent must copper on LME warrant be reduced to impact the LME Settlement Price? To what extent, if at all, is the LME Settlement Price affected by the supply of copper ineligible to be placed on LME warrant?
- How does a change in the supply of copper impact volatility in the physical copper and copper derivatives markets?

---

Is there empirical evidence that creation of the Trust will impact copper prices and volatility? What impact, if any, will creation of the Trust have on the US economy?

4. V&F and Senator Levin state that the Trust and the proposed iShares Copper Trust, See iShares Notice, supra note 58 (describing the iShares Copper Trust), collectively, will remove from the market a substantial percentage of the copper available for immediate delivery, with Senator Levin stating that the Copper Trusts would hold approximately 34% of the copper stocks available for immediate delivery and would remove from the U.S. market over 55% of the available copper. See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 6 and Sen. Levin Letter, supra note 8, at 5–6. V&F further states that the collective effect of the Trust and the iShares Copper Trust would be “far-reaching and potentially devastating to the U.S. and world economies,” including “shortages of copper, higher prices to consumers, and increased volatility.” See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 10. Do commenters agree or disagree with these statements? If so, why or why not?

5. V&F states that the only “visible” copper available to satisfy the Trust’s requirements is copper stored in LME warehouses. See id. at 3. NYSE Arca represents that it has been informed by the Sponsor that overall physical copper stocks, including stocks that are immediately available for sale, are substantially larger than V&F would suggest. See Arca’s Response, supra note 6, at 3. V&F responded, arguing that the copper stocks identified in Arca’s Response mainly consist of metal in the supply chain, which would not be
generally available for creation of Shares. The Commission is soliciting further comments regarding physical copper stocks. For example:

- How much copper is currently held in LME warehouses? How much of the copper currently held in LME warehouses is on warrant? How much copper in LME warehouses is available for investment purposes?
- How much copper is held in COMEX, Shanghai Futures Exchange ("SHFE"), and Multi Commodity Exchange of India ("MCX") warehouses? How much copper held in COMEX, SHFE, and MCX warehouses is eligible to be placed on LME warrant (i.e., is of a brand registered with the LME)? How much of this LME warrant-eligible copper is available for investment purposes? Where is this copper located?
- What quantity of copper stock, if any, is held in other locations that would be eligible to be placed on LME warrant (if it were located at an LME warehouse)?
- How accessible are stocks of copper eligible to be placed on warrant that are not held in LME warehouses?
- Are commenters aware of any activities involving the stockpiling of copper? If so, how much copper has been stockpiled? Where is such copper located? How accessible is such copper? How much of this stock was taken off LME warrant? How much of this copper is eligible to be placed on LME warrant?

See V&F Letter II, supra note 7, at 5.
6. The Trust will store copper in warehouses that are maintained by the Warehouse-keeper. Initially, the permitted warehouse locations are in the Netherlands (Rotterdam), Singapore (Singapore), South Korea (Busan and Gwangyang), China (Shanghai), and the United States (Baltimore, Chicago, and New Orleans) (each an “Approved Warehouse” and, collectively, the “Approved Warehouses”). What is the locational premium at each of the Approved Warehouses? What impact would changes in locational premia have on supply and demand for copper at each of the Approved Warehouses? How much copper is held at each of the Approved Warehouses? How much of the copper held at each of the Approved Warehouses is on LME warrant? How much is eligible to be placed on LME warrant? How much copper eligible for LME warrant is available for investment purposes? How much is not eligible to be placed on LME warrant?

7. V&F states that Shares will be created by acquiring LME-warranted copper and taking it off warrant to be deposited in the Trust. NYSE Arca represents that it has been informed by the Sponsor that the economics do not support this suggestion, given the large supply of non-warranted physical copper and the cost and time that would be required in order to take LME warranted copper off warrant solely for the purposes of creating Shares. V&F responded, arguing that taking copper off LME warrant would involve little or no cost if LME warrants are purchased for copper that is already stored at the Approved

---

129 See Notice, supra note 3, at 23779.
130 See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 3.
131 See Arca’s Response, supra note 6, at 3.
Warehouses. The Commission requests comment on these opposing views. Specifically:

- What costs are involved in taking copper off LME warrant? What costs are involved in putting copper on LME warrant?
- How long does it take to take copper off LME warrant? How long does it take to put copper on LME warrant?
- How does the cost and time required to take copper off warrant compare to the cost and time to ship copper to an Approved Warehouse?

8. The Commission understands that ETFS Physical Copper securities currently trade on the London Stock Exchange. How much copper did ETFS Physical Copper hold following the initial creation? How much copper does ETFS Physical Copper currently hold? What change, if any, was there in the price of copper following creation of ETFSPhysical Copper? Did the creation of ETFS Physical Copper result in an observable impact on the copper market? Has ETFS Physical Copper engaged in the lending of copper?

9. The Commission has previously approved listing on the Exchange under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201 of other issues of CB-ETPs backed by gold, silver, platinum, and palladium (collectively “precious metals”). While these precious metals are often held for investment purposes, the Commission understands they are also used for various industrial purposes. V&F asserts that copper is used exclusively for industrial purposes and is not generally held for investment. The Commission requests information regarding the production and use of

---

133 See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 2–3.
precious metals. How much gold, silver, platinum, and palladium has been produced in each of the last 10 years? How much gold, silver, platinum, and palladium has been used for investment purposes in each of the last 10 years? How much gold, silver, platinum, and palladium has been used for industrial purposes in each of the last 10 years? Are there any other uses of gold, silver, platinum, and palladium relevant to understanding utilization of these precious metals? What are the current and historic stocks of gold, silver, platinum, and palladium? Is there any empirical evidence that the listing of CB-ETPs backed by gold, silver, platinum, or palladium impacted prices in these markets?

10. V&F estimates that creation of the Trust could result in the immediate removal of up to 61,800 metric tons of copper from LME warehouses. NYSE Arca states its understanding that the Sponsor currently expects that the value of the initial creation units to be issued by the Trust would not exceed 10,185 metric tons. Further, while the Trust is seeking to register 6,180,000 Shares, the Exchange states that like the other CB-ETPs, the Trust is seeking to register significantly more Shares than it intends to sell initially. What is the likelihood that the Trust will sell all registered Shares initially? What is the likelihood that the Trust will sell all registered Shares in the three months after the registration goes effective? How quickly did the CB-ETPs backed by gold, silver, platinum, and palladium sell the shares registered in the first registration statement?

134 See id. at 1, 3.
135 See Arca’s Response, supra note 6, at 4.
136 See id.
11. V&F argues that, by decreasing the amount of copper available for immediate delivery, the Trust will make the copper market more susceptible to manipulation. Specifically, V&F states that “the drawing down of stocks in LME and Comex warehouses” resulting from the listing and trading of the Shares “will make it much easier and cheaper for [copper market] speculators to engage in temporary market squeezes and corners.” Senator Levin also argues that approval of the proposed rule change would make the copper market more susceptible to squeezes and corners by speculators. The Commission requests comment on these concerns, as well as whether commenters agree or disagree with the comments and why or why not. For example:

- Will creation of the Trust impact the ability to manipulate the physical copper or copper derivatives markets? If so, how? If not, why not?
- Has there been any increased manipulative behavior due to the reduction of copper available for immediate delivery that resulted from the prior years’ deficits in copper production versus copper consumption?
- Are there any structural aspects of the copper market that render it more or less susceptible to manipulation?
- Is there empirical evidence that the creation of CB-ETPs backed by gold, silver, platinum, and palladium has led to manipulation of the physical markets for those precious metals? If so, please describe.

137 See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 1, 10.
138 See id., at 9.
139 See Sen. Levin Letter, supra note 8, at 7.
12. Both Commenters discuss concerns about the potential impact of the Trust on the copper market, and how that potential impact could, in turn, affect the Shares.

V&F states that, with

the risk of an ETF removing indefinitely all or substantially all of the copper available for immediate delivery, the risk of price volatility becomes enormous. This is because the greater amount of copper artificially kept off-the-market, the greater the chance that investors will eventually no longer keep propping up the price with further purchases, and the greater the likelihood that the bubble will burst, thus flooding the market with surplus copper, and severely depressing the price.140

V&F further states that investors in a copper CB-ETP would benefit immediately from any increase in the price of copper because the more copper removed from the market to satisfy the demand for the copper CB-ETP, the higher the price not only of copper, but of the copper CB-ETP itself.141 V&F notes that, like all bubbles, as investor demand for this product wanes, the bubble will burst, leaving in its wake a glut of physical copper that the Trust will be forced to dump on the market, causing prices to plummet, and leaving in its wake unsuspecting investors who will have lost the value of their investment.142 Senator Levin also makes statements about the potential effect on the Shares, stating that the “supply disruption is likely to affect the cash and futures market for copper, increasing volatility and driving up…[the Share] price to create a bubble and burst cycle.”143

Do commenters agree or disagree with these comments? If so, why or why not?

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

140 See V&F Letter, supra note 4, at 5.
141 See id.
142 See id., at 2.
143 See Sen. Levin Letter, supra note 9, at 1.
Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSEArca-2012-28 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEArca-2012-28. These file numbers should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filings also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchanges. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer
to File Number SR-NYSEArca-2012-28 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 30 days from publication in the Federal Register]. Rebuttal comments should be submitted by [insert date 45 days from date of publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.\textsuperscript{144}

Kevin M. O’Neill
Deputy Secretary

\textsuperscript{144} 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(57).