September 12, 2007

Ms. Nancy M. Morris
Secretary
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549

Re: Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan

Dear Ms. Morris:

The International Securities Exchange, LLC ("ISE") hereby submits the attached Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan ("Plan"). Enclosed for use by the Commission staff are five additional, unexecuted copies of the Plan. In the following paragraphs we respond to those requirements of Rule 608 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), that apply to the Plan.

1. Purpose of the Plan

A. The Current Options Linkage

The Plan would replace the current Plan for the Purpose of Creating and Operating an Intermarket Option Linkage ("Linkage Plan"). That plan requires its participant exchanges to operate a stand-alone system or "Linkage" for sending order-flow between exchanges to limit trade-throughs. The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") operates the Linkage system (the "System"). The Linkage rules provide for unique types of Linkage orders, with a complicated set of requirements as to who may send such orders and under what conditions. For example, there are restrictions on when market makers may send orders for their own account ("Principal Orders") and there are intricate rules on the size of orders market makers may send on behalf of customers ("Principal Acting as Agent Orders" or "P/A Orders"). Before a market maker can trade through another exchange's quote, it first must send a Linkage order and then wait five seconds for a response.

While the Linkage largely has operated satisfactorily, it is under significant strain. When the Commission approved the Linkage Plan in 2000, average daily volume ("ADV") in the options market was approximately 2.6 million contracts across all exchanges. Now the ADV has increased four-fold to more than 10.8 million contracts, putting added strain on the ability of market makers to comply with the complex Linkage rules. At the same time, the options markets have been moving towards quoting in pennies, and by the end of September will be quoting in pennies options representing over one-third the total industry volume. This greatly increases the number of price changes in an option, giving
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1 Section 8(b)(iii) of the Linkage Plan, the so-called "80-20 Rule."
2 Section 7(a)(ii)(B) of the Linkage Plan.
3 Section 8(c)(iii)(B) of the Linkage Plan.
rise to greater chances of trade-throughs and missing markets as market makers send
Linkage orders and have to wait five seconds for a response.

B. Regulation NMS in the Equities Market

Experience in the equities markets shows that there is a more efficient way to
provide price protection in options. When first implemented, the Linkage represented a
vast improvement over the then-current equities price-protection system, which depended
on the operation of the Intermarket Trading System ("ITS"). The plan governing ITS
imposed long waiting times for filling ITS commitments and a cumbersome method for
satisfying trade-throughs. Learning from the shortcomings of ITS, the options Linkage has
shorter waiting periods and more efficient trade-through protections.

The equity price-protection mechanisms have now leapfrogged the options
Linkage. By adopting Regulation NMS in 2005 the Commission effectively terminated ITS,
replacing it with a rules-based price-protection system. The key to Regulation NMS's
price-protection provisions is the Intermarket Sweep Order, or ISO. Each equity exchange
must adopt rules "reasonably designed to prevent trade-throughs." Exempted from trade-
through liability is an ISO, which is an order a member sends to an exchange displaying a
price inferior to the national best bid and offer ("NBBO"), while simultaneously sending
orders to trade against the full size of any other exchange that is displaying the NBBO.

The Regulation NMS rules-based price-protection system is working well. It
requires neither a central linkage mechanism nor a complex set of operating rules. It
also has eliminated the need for achieving unanimity to change even the most minor
aspects of a linkage mechanism. A simple prohibition against most trade-throughs,
coupled with the ISO mechanism, has given the equities markets a straightforward
system to provide customers with price protection in a fast-moving, high-volume market
that is quoted in pennies.

C. The Proposed Plan

1. Price Protection

The Plan essentially would apply the Regulation NMS price-protection provisions
to the options markets. Similar to Regulation NMS, the Plan would require participants
to adopt rules "reasonably designed to prevent Trade-Throughs," while exempting ISOs
from that prohibition. The definition of an ISO is essentially the same as under
Regulation NMS. The remaining exceptions to the trade-through prohibition either track
those under Regulation NMS, or correspond to unique aspects of the options market.
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5 Release No. 34-51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 F.R. 37496 (June 29 2005).
6 Regulation NMS Rule 611(a).
7 Regulation NMS Rule 600(b)(30).
8 Sections 5(a)(i) and 5(b)(iv) of the Plan.
9 Section 2(2) of the Plan.
10 Sections 5(b)(iii), (vi), and (ix).
both.\textsuperscript{12} Like Regulation NMS, the Plan requires participating exchanges to take reasonable steps to establish that ISOs meet the requirements of the Plan.\textsuperscript{13}

2. Locked and Crossed Markets

The Plan also addresses locked and crossed markets.\textsuperscript{14} Similar to Regulation NMS, the Plan requires its participants to adopt, maintain and enforce rules requiring members: to avoid displaying locked and crossed markets; to reconcile such markets; and to prohibit members from engaging in a pattern or practice of displaying locked and crossed markets. One difference between Regulation NMS and the Plan is the definition of "locked market."\textsuperscript{15} Specifically, the Plan limits that definition (but not the definition of "crossed markets") to those situations where either a bid or offer protected under the Plan represents a customer order. There is no corresponding limitation in Regulation NMS.

Our proposed treatment of locked markets is tailored to reflect unique aspects of the derivative, quote-driven options markets. We trade options on just over 1,750 underlying securities, generally the most actively-traded securities listed on the New York Stock Exchange, Nasdaq, and the American Stock Exchange. However, we list and trade over 175,000 series of these options, approximately a 100 to 1 ratio. Our market makers compete for order flow by disseminating quotations in all these series, distributing liquidity over a much greater universe of products than in the equity markets. As a result, we are more reliant on market maker quotations to provide liquidity, with fewer customer orders in each series than in each underlying security, where liquidity is concentrated in one product.

With market makers on multiple exchanges constantly updating their quotations in all these series based on mathematical formulae, there is a greater likelihood of market maker quotations locking. Locked market maker quotations are good for the investing public. Effectively this provide a "zero spread," allowing market participants to buy and sell an option at the same price. On the ISE these quotations are firm, and are fully executable on an automated basis. Thus, we propose that the Plan not ban locked markets that solely reflect market maker quotations.

We recognize that locked markets are more complicated where one or both of the locking quotations represents a customer order. Where there is contra-side market interest willing to trade with a customer, the customer order should be filled. Recognizing this, the Plan would limit the locked market prohibitions to locks involving customer orders.

\textsuperscript{11} Sections 5(b)(ii), (vii), (viii), and (x).
\textsuperscript{12} Section 5(b)(i).
\textsuperscript{13} Regulation NMS Rule 611(c) and Section 5(c) of the Plan.
\textsuperscript{14} Section 6 of the Plan.
\textsuperscript{15} Section 2(11) of the Plan.
A customer order could lock a market in one of two ways, either actively or passively. In an active lock, an exchange could receive a customer order that locks another exchange’s quotation but is not executable on the receiving exchange. In this situation, the member representing the customer order would be aware of the potential lock and could take action to avoid locking the market. In a passive lock, a market maker could establish a quotation that locks a customer quotation that another exchange is disseminating. To avoid themselves of the ability to lock non-customer quotations, the participants to the Plan would need to develop mechanisms to protect against such locks, most likely by indicating as part of its quotation that the price includes customer trading interest. When so identified, a market maker would not be permitted to lock the away-market customer’s quote. Taken together, these provisions best protect customers both by providing zero-spread executions when market maker quotes lock and by protecting customers against having their own orders locked and not traded.

3. Methods to Comply With the Plan’s Requirements

Participants can comply with the provisions of the Plan either through their own order routing arrangements (as under Regulation NMS) or by using the central system operated by OCC (as under the Linkage Plan). This flexibility recognizes that some participants may prefer to send orders directly to other markets, or have their members establish individual order-routing arrangements. On the other hand, some exchanges note that they have made investments in OCC’s System, and that they could leverage those investments by adapting the System to satisfy the requirements of the Plan. The Plan would accommodate all of these alternatives.

We recognize that use of the System to comply with the Plan will require all participants to be connected to the System to receive orders. Thus, the Plan specifies that all exchanges will retain such connectivity. However, the Plan provides that only those participants using the System to send orders will share in paying the costs of developing and operating the System. This is fair since participants connecting to the System only to receive orders will have to incur their own costs of sending orders outside of the System, and are retaining connectivity only to accommodate the exchanges who send orders through the System. However, all participants will need to assume any internal costs they may incur to retain connectivity.

A new or existing participant could decide to use the System to send orders at any time. As a condition to using the System, that participant would need to pay a fee based on: (i) the recent costs in developing expanding and maintaining the System; (ii) previous System fees; and (iii) any costs that participant may have paid in the past if it previously used the System to send orders. With respect to the last of these criteria, the intent is that the participant would not have to pay "twice," and any fee would deduct costs the participant already had paid when it had used the System. A participant also could withdraw from using the System to send orders at any time, and upon such withdrawal no longer would be obligated to share in the System costs.
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16 Section 7(e)(i) of the Plan.
Because the Plan envisions retention of the System, there is a provision for a "Supervisory Committee" to oversee development of the System, to monitor its operation and to advise Plan participants on possible changes to the System. Because all exchanges will retain connectivity to the System and will need to accommodate any changes to the System, all participants will have a representative on this committee. Any action by the Supervisory Committee will be by majority vote.\(^\text{17}\)

The Plan also contains a "non-discrimination" provision regarding the treatment of orders. It provides that participants will treat all orders received through the System in the same manner as orders received through other means. This covers order priority, trade allocation and fees.\(^\text{18}\)

D. Withdrawal From the Current Linkage Plan

ISE intends to withdraw from the current Linkage Plan, such withdrawal to be effective upon implementation of the new Plan. Section 4(d) of the Linkage Plan states that an exchange can withdraw by giving the required notice, filing an amendment to the plan, and paying any accrued costs for which it is responsible. Section 5(c)(iii) of that plan further states that the amendment effecting the withdrawal must specify how the exchange "plans to accomplish, by alternate means, the goals of the [Linkage Plan] regarding limiting Trade-Throughs of prices on other exchanges trading the same options classes."\(^\text{19}\)

In withdrawing from the Linkage Plan, the ISE will explain that the new Plan achieves the same goals of the Linkage Plan, but in a more efficient manner. However, the ISE recognizes that some exchanges may choose to remain in the Linkage Plan. If that were to occur, the ISE believes that the goals of the Linkage Plan will not be compromised. In particular, the new Plan will require that we and other Plan participants maintain a connection to OCC's Linkage "hub" in order to receive orders. If an exchange remains in the current Linkage, we will be able to receive and process any Principal or P/A Orders that exchange sends to us. Thus, such exchanges will be able to reach our market to protect their customer orders and otherwise to trade as Principal under their rules, adopted under the Linkage Plan. Overall, this will ensure that there is effective nation-wide protection against trade-throughs.

2. Governing or Constitutional Documents

Not applicable.

\(^{17}\)As with the Linkage Plan, amendments to the Plan – other than with respect to adding a new participant or withdrawal of a participant – require a unanimous vote. Amendments regarding participation in the Plan can be effected unilaterally by an exchange joining or leaving the Plan, subject to specific Plan provisions. See Section 4(a) of the Plan.
\(^{18}\)Section 7(b) of the Plan.
\(^{19}\)This Plan contains a similar provision. See Section 4(c) of the Plan.
3. **Implementation of Plan**

The Plan will become effective upon Commission approval and the completion of development efforts, currently scheduled for October 3, 2008.

4. **Development and Implementation Phases**

The Plan will take significant development work to implement. We currently anticipate implementing the Plan on or about October 3, 2008, subject to Commission approval and completing the development work.

5. **Analysis of Impact on Competition**

The Plan will impose no burden on competition.

6. **Written Understandings or Agreements relating to Interpretation of, or Participation in, Plan**

Not applicable.

7. **Approval by Sponsors in Accordance with Plan**

Not applicable.

8. **Description of Operation of Facility Contemplated by the Plan**

As discussed, the Plan does not require participation in any central facility. However, Section 7 of the Plan provides that participant may use the System operated by OCC to comply with the Plan’s provisions. In addition, all participants to this Plan must maintain connectivity to the System to receive incoming orders. We anticipate that this System will operate substantially in the same manner as the OCC System currently operates pursuant to the Linkage Plan.

9. **Terms and Conditions of Access**

Any national securities exchange that is a member of OCC and a party to the Options Price Reporting Authority may become a participant in the Plan by executing a copy of the Plan, providing each current participant in the Plan a copy of such executed Plan, and effecting an amendment to the Plan to reflect its participation.

10. **Method of Determination and Imposition, and Amount of, Fees and Charges**

Not applicable.
11. **Method of Frequency of Processor Evaluation**
   Not applicable.

12. **Dispute Resolution**
   Not applicable.

If you have any questions regarding this proposed Plan, please contact me at (212) 897-0230.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Michael J. Simon

Attachment
September 14, 2007

Ms. Nancy M. Morris
Secretary
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549

Re: Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan

Dear Ms. Morris:

NYSE Arca Inc. ("Exchange") hereby submits the attached Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan ("Plan"). Enclosed for use by the Commission staff are five additional, unexecuted copies of the Plan. In the following paragraphs we respond to those requirements of Rule 608 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), that apply to the Plan.

1. Purpose of the Plan

   A. The Current Options Linkage

   The Plan would replace the current Plan for the Purpose of Creating and Operating an Intermarket Option Linkage ("Linkage Plan"). That plan requires its participant exchanges to operate a stand-alone system or "Linkage" for sending order-flow between exchanges to limit trade-throughs. The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") operates the Linkage system (the "System"). The Linkage rules provide for unique types of Linkage orders, with a complicated set of requirements as to who may send such orders and under what conditions. For example, there are restrictions on when market makers may send orders for their own account ("Principal Orders") and there are intricate rules on the size of orders market makers may send on behalf of customers ("Principal Acting as Agent Orders" or "P/A Orders"). Before a market maker can trade through another exchange's quote, it first must send a Linkage order and then wait five seconds for a response.

   While the Linkage largely has operated satisfactorily, it is under significant strain. When the Commission approved the Linkage Plan in 2000, average daily volume ("ADV") in the options market was approximately 2.6 million contracts across all exchanges. Now the ADV has increased four-fold to more than 10.8 million contracts, putting added strain on the ability of market makers to comply with the complex Linkage rules. At the same time

---

1 Section 8(b)(iii) of the Linkage Plan, the so-called "80-20 Rule."
2 Section 7(a)(ii)(B) of the Linkage Plan.
3 Section 8(c)(iii)(B) of the Linkage Plan.
time, the options markets have been moving towards quoting in pennies, and by the end of September will be quoting in pennies options representing over one-third the total industry volume. This greatly increases the number of price changes in an option, giving rise to greater chances of trade-throughs and missing markets as market makers send Linkage orders and have to wait five seconds for a response.

B. Regulation NMS in the Equities Market

Experience in the equities markets shows that there is a more efficient way to provide price protection in options. When first implemented, the Linkage represented a vast improvement over the then-current equities price-protection system, which depended on the operation of the Intermarket Trading System ("ITS"). The plan governing ITS imposed long waiting times for filling ITS commitments and a cumbersome method for satisfying trade-throughs. Learning from the shortcomings of ITS, the options Linkage has shorter waiting periods and more efficient trade-through protections.

The equity price-protection mechanisms have now leapfrogged the options Linkage. By adopting Regulation NMS in 2005 the Commission effectively terminated ITS, replacing it with a rules-based price-protection system. The key to Regulation NMS’s price-protection provisions is the Intermarket Sweep Order, or ISO. Each equity exchange must adopt rules "reasonably designed to prevent trade-throughs." Exempted from trade-through liability is an ISO, which is an order a member sends to an exchange displaying a price inferior to the national best bid and offer ("NBBO"), while simultaneously sending orders to trade against the full size of any other exchange that is displaying the NBBO.

The Regulation NMS rules-based price-protection system is working well. It requires neither a central linkage mechanism nor a complex set of operating rules. It also has eliminated the need for achieving unanimity to change even the most minor aspects of a linkage mechanism. A simple prohibition against most trade-throughs, coupled with the ISO mechanism, has given the equities markets a straight-forward system to provide customers with price protection in a fast-moving, high-volume market that is quoted in pennies.

C. The Proposed Plan

1. Price Protection

The Plan essentially would apply the Regulation NMS price-protection provisions to the options markets. Similar to Regulation NMS, the Plan would require participants to adopt rules "reasonably designed to prevent Trade-Throughs," while exempting ISOs from that prohibition. The definition of an ISO is essentially the same as under Regulation NMS. The remaining exceptions to the trade-through prohibition either track
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5 Release No. 34-51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 F.R. 37496 (June 29 2005).
6 Regulation NMS Rule 611(a).
7 Regulation NMS Rule 600(b)(30).
8 Sections 5(a)(i) and 5(b)(iv) of the Plan.
9 Section 2(2) of the Plan.
those under Regulation NMS,\textsuperscript{10} correspond to unique aspects of the options market,\textsuperscript{11} or both.\textsuperscript{12} Like Regulation NMS, the Plan requires participating exchanges to take reasonable steps to establish that ISOs meet the requirements of the Plan.\textsuperscript{13}

2. Locked and Crossed Markets

The Plan also addresses locked and crossed markets.\textsuperscript{14} Similar to Regulation NMS, the Plan requires its participants to adopt, maintain and enforce rules requiring members: to avoid displaying locked and crossed markets; to reconcile such markets; and to prohibit members from engaging in a pattern or practice of displaying locked and crossed markets. One difference between Regulation NMS and the Plan is the definition of "locked market."\textsuperscript{15} Specifically, the Plan limits that definition (but not the definition of "crossed markets") to those situations where either a bid or offer protected under the Plan represents a customer order. There is no corresponding limitation in Regulation NMS.

Our proposed treatment of locked markets is tailored to reflect unique aspects of the derivative, quote-driven options markets. We trade options on just over 2,100 underlying securities, generally the most actively-traded securities listed on the New York Stock Exchange, Nasdaq, and the American Stock Exchange. However, we list and trade over 185,000 series of these options, approximately a 90 to 1 ratio. Our market makers compete for order flow by disseminating quotations in all these series, distributing liquidity over a much greater universe of products than in the equity markets. As a result, we are more reliant on market maker quotations to provide liquidity, with fewer customer orders in each series than in each underlying security, where liquidity is concentrated in one product.

With market makers on multiple exchanges constantly updating their quotations in all these series based on mathematical formulae, there is a greater likelihood of market maker quotations locking. Locked market maker quotations are good for the investing public. Effectively this provide a "zero spread," allowing market participants to buy and sell an option at the same price. On the Exchange these quotations are firm, and are fully executable on an automated basis. Thus, we propose that the Plan not ban locked markets that solely reflect market maker quotations.

We recognize that locked markets are more complicated where one or both of the locking quotations represents a customer order. Where there is contra-side market interest willing to trade with a customer, the customer order should be filled. Recognizing this, the Plan would limit the locked market prohibitions to locks involving customer orders.

\footnotesize{
\textsuperscript{10} Sections 5(b)(iii), (vi), and (ix).
\textsuperscript{11} Sections 5(b)(ii), (vii), (viii), and (x).
\textsuperscript{12} Section 5(b)(i).
\textsuperscript{13} Regulation NMS Rule 611(c) and Section 5(c) of the Plan.
\textsuperscript{14} Section 6 of the Plan.
\textsuperscript{15} Section 2(11) of the Plan.
}
A customer order could lock a market in one of two ways, either actively or passively. In an active lock, an exchange could receive a customer order that locks another exchange's quotation but is not executable on the receiving exchange. In this situation, the member representing the customer order would be aware of the potential lock and could take action to avoid locking the market. In a passive lock, a market maker could establish a quotation that locks a customer quotation that another exchange is disseminating. To avail themselves of the ability to lock non-customer quotations, the participants to the Plan would need to develop mechanisms to protect against such locks, most likely by indicating as part of its quotation that the price includes customer trading interest. When so identified, a market maker would not be permitted to lock the away-market customer's quote. Taken together, these provisions best protect customers both by providing zero-spread executions when market maker quotes lock and by protecting customers against having their own orders locked and not traded.

3. Methods to Comply With the Plan’s Requirements

Participants can comply with the provisions of the Plan either through their own order routing arrangements (as under Regulation NMS) or by using the central system operated by OCC (as under the Linkage Plan). This flexibility recognizes that some participants may prefer to send orders directly to other markets, or have their members establish individual order-routing arrangements. On the other hand, some exchanges note that they have made investments in OCC’s System, and that they could leverage those investments by adapting the System to satisfy the requirements of the Plan. The Plan would accommodate all of these alternatives.

We recognize that use of the System to comply with the Plan will require all participants to be connected to the System to receive orders. Thus, the Plan specifies that all exchanges will retain such connectivity. However, the Plan provides that only those participants using the System to send orders will share in paying the costs of developing and operating the System. This is fair since participants connecting to the System only to receive orders will have to incur their own costs of sending orders outside of the System, and are retaining connectivity only to accommodate the exchanges who send orders through the System. However, all participants will need to assume any internal costs they may incur to retain System connectivity.

A new or existing participant could decide to use the System to send orders at any time. As a condition to using the System, that participant would need to pay a fee based on: (i) the recent costs in developing expanding and maintaining the System; (ii) previous System fees; and (iii) any costs that participant may have paid in the past if it previously used the System to send orders. With respect to the last of these criteria, the intent is that the participant would not have to pay "twice," and any fee would deduct costs the participant already had paid when it had used the System. A participant also could withdraw from using the System to send orders at any time, and upon such withdrawal no longer would be obligated to share in the System costs.
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16 Section 7(e)(i) of the Plan.
Because the Plan envisions retention of the System, there is a provision for a "Supervisory Committee" to oversee development of the System, to monitor its operation and to advise Plan participants on possible changes to the System. Because all exchanges will retain connectivity to the System and will need to accommodate any changes to the System, all participants will have a representative on this committee. Any action by the Supervisory Committee will be by majority vote.\textsuperscript{17}

The Plan also contains a "non-discrimination" provision regarding the treatment of orders. It provides that participants will treat all orders received through the System in the same manner as orders received through other means. This covers order priority, trade allocation and fees.\textsuperscript{18}

D. Withdrawal From the Current Linkage Plan

NYSE Arca intends to withdraw from the current Linkage Plan, such withdrawal to be effective upon implementation of the new Plan. Section 4(d) of the Linkage Plan states that an exchange can withdraw by giving the required notice, filing an amendment to the plan, and paying any accrued costs for which it is responsible. Section 5(c)(iii) of that plan further states that the amendment effecting the withdrawal must specify how the exchange "plans to accomplish, by alternate means, the goals of the [Linkage Plan] regarding limiting Trade-Throughs of prices on other exchanges trading the same options classes."\textsuperscript{19}

In withdrawing from the Linkage Plan, the Exchange will explain that the new Plan achieves the same goals of the Linkage Plan, but in a more efficient manner. However, the Exchange recognizes that some exchanges may choose to remain in the Linkage Plan. If that were to occur, NYSE Arca believes that the goals of the Linkage Plan will not be compromised. In particular, the new Plan will require that we and other Plan participants maintain a connection to OCC's Linkage "hub" in order to receive orders. If an exchange remains in the current Linkage, we will be able to receive and process any Principal or P/A Orders that exchange sends to us. Thus, such exchanges will be able to reach our market to protect their customer orders and otherwise to trade as Principal under their rules, adopted under the Linkage Plan. Overall, this will ensure that there is effective nation-wide protection against trade-throughs.

2. Governing or Constitutional Documents

Not applicable.

\textsuperscript{17} As with the Linkage Plan, amendments to the Plan – other than with respect to adding a new participant or withdrawal of a participant – require a unanimous vote. Amendments regarding participation in the Plan can be effected unilaterally by an exchange joining or leaving the Plan, subject to specific Plan provisions. See Section 4(a) of the Plan.

\textsuperscript{18} Section 7(b) of the Plan.

\textsuperscript{19} This Plan contains a similar provision. See Section 4(c) of the Plan.
3. **Implementation of Plan**

   The Plan will become effective upon Commission approval and the completion of development efforts, currently scheduled for October 3, 2008.

4. **Development and Implementation Phases**

   The Plan will take significant development work to implement. We currently anticipate implementing the Plan on or about October 3, 2008, subject to Commission approval and completing the development work.

5. **Analysis of Impact on Competition**

   The Plan will impose no burden on competition.

6. **Written Understandings or Agreements relating to Interpretation of, or Participation in, Plan**

   Not applicable.

7. **Approval by Sponsors in Accordance with Plan**

   Not applicable.

8. **Description of Operation of Facility Contemplated by the Plan**

   As discussed, the Plan does not require participation in any central facility. However, Section 7 of the Plan provides that participant may use the System operated by OCC to comply with the Plan's provisions. In addition, all participants to this Plan must maintain connectivity to the System to receive incoming orders. We anticipate that this System will operate substantially in the same manner as the OCC System currently operates pursuant to the Linkage Plan.

9. **Terms and Conditions of Access**

   Any national securities exchange that is a member of OCC and a party to the Options Price Reporting Authority may become a participant in the Plan by executing a copy of the Plan, providing each current participant in the Plan a copy of such executed Plan, and effecting an amendment to the Plan to reflect its participation.

10. **Method of Determination and Imposition, and Amount of, Fees and Charges**

    Not applicable.

11. **Method of Frequency of Processor Evaluation**

    Not applicable.
12. **Dispute Resolution**

Not applicable.

If you have any questions regarding this proposed Plan, please contact me at (415) 393-4232.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Peter G. Armstrong

Attachment
Section 1 – Preamble

The Participants submit to the SEC this Plan providing a framework for order protection and addressing Locked and Crossed Markets in Eligible Options Classes. The purpose of the Plan is to enable the Participants to act jointly in establishing a framework for providing order protection and addressing Locked and Crossed Markets in Eligible Options Classes. In addition, the Plan provides for a non-exclusive method for achieving order protection and addressing Locked and Crossed Markets. The Participants will submit to the SEC for approval their respective rules that will implement the framework of the Plan. The Participants request that the SEC issue an order pursuant to Section 11A(a)(3)(B) of the Exchange Act and Rule 608 thereunder evidencing its approval of the Plan.
Section 2 - Definitions

1) "Best Bid" and "Best Offer" mean the highest priced Bid and the lowest priced Offer.

2) "Bid" or "Offer" means the bid price or the offer price communicated by a member of a Participant to any Broker/Dealer, or to any customer, at which it is willing to buy or sell, as either principal or agent, but shall not include indications of interest.

3) "Broker/Dealer" means an individual or organization registered with the SEC in accordance with Section 15(b)(1) of the Exchange Act or a foreign broker or dealer exempt from such registration pursuant to Rule 15a-6 under the Exchange Act.

4) "Crossed Market" means a quoted market in which a Protected Bid is higher than a Protected Offer in a series of an Eligible Class.

5) "Customer" means an individual or organization that is not a Broker/Dealer.

6) "Eligible Exchange" means a national securities exchange registered with the SEC in accordance with Section 6(a) of the Exchange Act that is: (a) a Participant Exchange in OCC (as that term is defined in Section VII of the OCC by-laws); and (b) a party to the OPRA Plan (as that term is described in Section I of the OPRA Plan).

7) "Eligible Options Class" means all option series overlying a security (as that term is defined in Section 3(a)(10) of the Exchange Act) or group of securities, including both put options and call options, which class is traded on two or more Participants.


9) "Immediate or Cancel (IOC) Order" means a limited price order that is to be executed in whole or in part as soon as such order is received, and the portion not executed, if any, is immediately canceled.

10) "Intermarket Sweep Order" means an IOC Order for an options series that meets the following requirements:

    (a) When routed to another Participant, the IOC order is identified as an Intermarket Sweep Order;
(b) Simultaneously with the routing of the order, one or more additional IOC Orders, as necessary, are routed to execute against the full displayed size of any Protected Bid, in the case of a limit order to sell, or any Protected Offer, in the case of a limit order to buy, for the options series with a price that is superior to the limit price of the IOC Order, with such additional orders also marked as Intermarket Sweep Orders.

11) "Locked Market" means a quoted market in which a Protected Bid is equal to a Protected Offer in a series of an Eligible Options Class, and in which either or both of the Protected Bid or Protected Offer represents, in whole or in part, a Customer order.

12) "Non-Firm" means, with respect to Quotations in an Eligible Options Class, that members of a Participant are relieved of their obligations under that Participant's firm quote rule in that Eligible Options Class.

13) "OCC" means The Options Clearing Corporation.

14) "OPRA" means the Options Price Reporting Authority.

15) "OPRA Plan" means the plan filed with the SEC pursuant to Section 11Aa(1)(C)(iii) of the Exchange Act, approved by the SEC and declared effective as of January 22, 1976, as from time to time amended.

16) "Participant" means an Eligible Exchange whose participation in the Plan has become effective pursuant to Section 3(c) of the Plan.

17) "Plan" means the plan amended and restated in this instrument as from time to time amended in accordance with its provisions.

18) "Protected Bid" or "Protected Offer" means a Bid or Offer in an options series, respectively, that:

   (a) Is displayed by a Participant;

   (b) Is disseminated pursuant to the OPRA Plan; and

   (c) Is the Best Bid or Best Offer, respectively, of a Participant.

19) "Protected Quotation" means a Protected Bid or Protected Offer.

20) "Quotation" means a Bid or Offer.
21) "SEC" means the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

22) "System" means the data processing hardware, software and communications network, operated by OCC, linking the Participants.

23) "Trade-Through" means a transaction in an options series, either as principal or agent, at a price that is lower than a Protected Bid or higher than a Protected Offer.
Section 3 – Parties to the Plan

(a) List of Parties

The parties to the Plan are as follows:

American Stock Exchange LLC ("AMEX"), registered as a national securities exchange under the Exchange Act and having its principal place of business at 86 Trinity Place, New York, New York 10006.

Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE"), registered as a national securities exchange under the Exchange Act and having its principal place of business at 100 Franklin Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02110.

Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE"), registered as a national securities exchange under the Exchange Act and having its principal place of business at 400 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60605.

International Securities Exchange, LLC ("ISE"), registered as a national securities exchange under the Exchange Act and having its principal place of business at 60 Broad Street, New York, New York 10004.

NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca"), registered as a national securities exchange under the Exchange Act and having its principal place of business at 100 South Wacker Drive, Suite 1800, Chicago, IL 60606.

Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. ("PHLX"), registered as a national securities exchange under the Exchange Act and having its principal place of business at 1900 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

(b) Compliance Undertaking

By subscribing to and submitting the Plan for filing with the SEC, each Participant agrees to enforce compliance by its members with the provisions of the Plan.

(c) Entry of New Participants

The Participants agree that any other Eligible Exchange may become a Participant by: (i) executing a copy of the Plan, as then in effect; (ii) providing each then-current Participant with a copy of such executed Plan; and (iii) effecting an amendment to the Plan as specified in Section 4(b) of the Plan.
(d) Withdrawal from the Plan

Any Participant may withdraw from the Plan at any time by: (i) providing not less than 30 days' prior written notice to each of the other Participants and to OCC of such intent to withdraw; and (ii) effecting an amendment to the Plan as specified in Section 4(c) of the Plan. Upon the effectiveness of such withdrawal the withdrawing Participant shall have no further rights or obligations whatsoever under the Plan.
Section 4 – Amendments to the Plan

(a) General Amendment Authority

Except with respect to:

(i) the addition of new Participants to the Plan; and
(ii) the withdrawal of a Plan Participant,

any proposed change in, addition to, or deletion from the Plan may be effected only by means of a written amendment to the Plan that is unanimously approved by the Participants and that: (A) sets forth the change, addition or deletion; (B) is executed on behalf of each Participant; and (C) is approved by the SEC or otherwise becomes effective pursuant to Section 11A of the Exchange Act and Rule 608 thereunder.

(b) New Participants

With respect to new Participants, an amendment to the Plan may be effected by a new Eligible Exchange executing a copy of the Plan, as then in effect (with the only change being the addition of the new Participant's name in Section 3(a) of the Plan), and submitting such executed Plan to the SEC. Such amendment will be effective when the amendment is approved by the SEC or otherwise becomes effective pursuant to Section 11A of the Exchange Act and Rule 608 thereunder.

(c) Withdrawal from the Plan

A Participant seeking to withdraw from the Plan shall effect an amendment to the Plan as then in effect (with the only change being the deletion of the Participant's name in Section 3(a) of the Plan) by submitting such amended Plan to the SEC for approval. In submitting the amended Plan to the SEC, the Participant proposing to withdraw from the Plan shall state how the Participant plans to accomplish, by alternate means, the goal of the Plan regarding limiting Trade-Throughs of prices on other exchanges trading the same options classes. Such withdrawal from the Plan shall be effective when the amendment is approved by the SEC or otherwise becomes effective pursuant to Section 11A of the Exchange Act and Rule 608 thereunder.
Section 5 – Order Protection

(a) Order Protection

(i) Prevention of Trade-Throughs. Each Participant agrees that it shall establish, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures as approved by the SEC that are reasonably designed to prevent Trade-Throughs in that Participant's market in Eligible Options Classes that do not fall within an exception set forth in paragraph (b) below, and, if relying on such exception, that are reasonably designed to assure compliance with the terms of the exception.

(ii) Surveillance. Each Participant agrees to conduct surveillance of its market on a regular basis to ascertain the effectiveness of the policies and procedures required by paragraph (a)(1) of this section, and to take prompt action to remedy deficiencies in such policies and procedures.

(b) Exceptions.

(i) The transaction that constituted the Trade-Through was effected when the Participant displaying the Protected Quotation that was traded through was experiencing a failure, material delay, or malfunction in its systems or equipment;

(ii) The transaction traded through a Protected Quotation being disseminated by a Participant during a trading rotation;

(iii) The transaction that constituted the Trade-Through occurred when there was a Crossed Market;

(iv) The transaction that constituted the Trade-Through was the execution of an order identified as an Intermarket Sweep Order;

(v) The transaction that constituted the Trade-Through was effected by a Participant that simultaneously routed an Intermarket Sweep Order to execute against the full displayed size of any Protected Quotation that was traded through;

(vi) The Participant displaying the Protected Quotation that was traded through had displayed, within one second prior to execution of the Trade-Through, a Best bid or Best offer, as applicable, for the options series with a price that was equal or inferior to the price of the Trade-Through transaction;
(vii) The Protected Quotation traded through was being disseminated from a Participant whose Quotations were Non-Firm with respect to such options series;

(viii) The transaction that constituted the Trade-Through was effected as a portion of a "complex trade," as defined in the rules of a Participant; or

(ix) The transaction that constituted the Trade-Through was the execution by a Participant of an order for which, at the time of receipt of the order, a member of the Participant had guaranteed an execution at no worse than a specified price (a "stopped order"), where:

(A) the stopped order was for the account of a Customer;
(B) the Customer agreed to the specified price on an order-by-order basis; and
(C) the price of the Trade-Through was, for a stopped buy order, lower than the national Best Bid in the options series at the time of execution, or, for a stopped sell order, higher than the national Best Offer in the options series at the time of execution.

(x) The transaction that constituted the Trade-Through was reported to OPRA as being out of sequence.

(c) **Intermarket Sweep Orders.** Participants shall take reasonable steps to establish that Intermarket Sweep Orders meet the requirements of Section 2(10) of the Plan.
Section 6 – Locked and Crossed Markets

The Participants agree that they shall establish, maintain and enforce written rules that:
(a) Require their members reasonably to avoid displaying Locked and Crossed Markets;
(b) Are reasonably designed to assure the reconciliation of Locked and Crossed Markets; and
(c) Prohibit its members from engaging in a pattern or practice of displaying Locked and Crossed Markets, other than displaying a Locked or Crossed Market as permitted by an exception contained in the rules established pursuant to paragraph (a) above.
Section 7 – Compliance With the Plan

(a) Use of the System or Private Routing to Comply With the Plan
A Participant may comply with the Plan either by establishing its own private routing arrangements or through use of the System. Participants who chose to use the System may do so solely to send Intermarket Sweep Orders or other IOC orders. Any incoming order, whether sent through the System or through private routing arrangements:

(i) with respect to the sending Participant, must reflect the account capacity of the order on whose behalf the order is being sent; and

(ii) with respect to the receiving Participant, must be subject to the same fees that the Participant charges for orders the Participant's members enter for the same account capacity.

(b) Use of the System for Receipt of Orders
Each Participant shall maintain connectivity to the System for the receipt of incoming orders. Any order received by a Participant through the System shall be handled in the same manner as an order received by a Participant other than through the System respecting (i) priority; (ii) trade allocation; and (iii) fees.

(c) Supervisory Committee

(i) Establishment of the Committee. Each Participant shall select from its staff one individual to represent such Participant as a member of a Supervisory Committee. Action taken pursuant to the vote of a majority of the members of the Supervisory Committee present at a meeting of the committee at which a majority of the full committee is present shall be deemed to be the action of the Supervisory Committee.

(ii) Authority. The Supervisory Committee shall not be a policy-making or rule-making body, but shall, either directly or by delegating its functions to individuals, subcommittees established by it from time to time or others: (A) oversee development of the System in accordance with the specifications agreed upon by the Participants using the System; (B) monitor the operation of the System; (C) advise the Participants using the System with respect
to any deficiencies, problems or recommendations as the Supervisory Committee may deem appropriate. In this connection, the Supervisory Committee shall have authority to develop procedures and make administrative decisions necessary to facilitate the operation of the System.

(iii) Participants' Rights. No action or inaction by the Supervisory Committee shall prejudice the right of any Participant using the System to present its views to the SEC or any other person with respect to any matter relating to the System or to seek to enforce its views in any other forum it deems appropriate.

(d) Costs Related to System Functionality
The Participants using the System to send orders shall share equally in the costs of developing and operating the System. However, each Participant using the System shall assume sole responsibility and costs for any modifications to its proprietary exchange systems necessary to achieve the efficient operation of the System.

(e) Participants Seeking to Use System Functionality
(i) Use of the System to Send Orders by Additional Participants. A Participant to this Plan that is not using the System to send orders to comply with the Plan's provisions may begin such use by providing reasonable notice to the Participants and by paying a System usage fee established by the Participants using the System to send orders. In determining the amount of the System usage fee, the Participants using the System to send orders shall consider, as applicable:

(A) the portion of costs previously paid by the Participants for the development, expansion and maintenance of System which, under generally accepted accounting principles, could have been treated as capital expenditures and, if so treated, would have been amortized over the five years preceding the admission of the Participant (and for this purpose all such capital expenditures shall be deemed to have a five-year amortizable life);
(B) previous System usage fees paid by other Participants; and
(C) if the Participant previously used the System to send orders, any System costs that Participant may previously have paid, with the intent that the Participant pay a fee representing only the development, expansion and maintenance of the System since the Participant ceased using the System to send orders.

(ii) Interim Access by Potential Participants. A national securities exchange or association registered with the SEC in accordance with Section 6(a) of the Exchange Act, as well as an entity seeking such registration (either being an "applicant"), may apply to the Supervisory Committee, in writing, for limited access to the System when the SEC has published for comment the applicant's proposed rules governing the trading of standardized options. Any such application must affirmatively state that the applicant is actively pursuing the establishment of a market for the trading of standardized options. If the applicant plans to use the System to send orders to comply with the provisions of this plan, then the application also must include a deposit, in an amount established by the Supervisory Committee, towards the then-current System usage fee applicable to new Participants. The deposit shall be applied to the System usage fee if the applicant ultimately begins to use the System to send orders, or otherwise shall be refunded. Upon making such application, and, if applicable, upon payment of such deposit, the applicant shall have access to System documentation, testing, and other services as the Supervisory Committee may consider reasonably necessary for the applicant to prepare to use the System. Such interim access shall be for a period of not greater than one year, unless the Supervisory Committee agrees to extend such access, which agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld.

(f) **Ceasing Use of the System**

A Participant may cease use of the System to send orders at any time by providing not less than 30 days' prior written notice to each of the other Participants and to OCC of such intent to withdraw. In this case, the Participant ceasing to use the System to send orders shall remain liable for, and shall pay upon demand, its portion of accrued costs. Upon ceasing to use the System to send orders, except as to liability for any payments for accrued costs, such Participant shall have no further rights or obligations with respect to the System other than to retain
connectivity to receive orders. If such Participant seeks to use the System again to send orders, it must follow the procedures specified in paragraph 7(e) above.
Section 8 – Counterparts and Signatures
The Plan may be executed in any number of counterparts, no one of which need contain all signatures of all Participants, and as many of such counterparts as shall together contain all such signatures shall constitute one and the same instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Plan has been executed as of the X day of X 2007 by each of the parties hereto.

AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE LLC
By: ____________________________
Date: _________________________

BOSTON STOCK EXCHANGE, INC.
By: ____________________________
Date: _________________________

CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE, INCORPORATED
By: ____________________________
Date: _________________________

INTERNATIONAL SECURITIES EXCHANGE, LLC
By: ____________________________
Date: 11/2007

NYSE ARCA, INC.
By: ____________________________
Date: _________________________

PHILADELPHIA STOCK EXCHANGE, INC.
By: ____________________________
Date: _________________________
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Section 8 – Counterparts and Signatures

The Plan may be executed in any number of counterparts, no one of which need contain all signatures of all Participants, and as many of such counterparts as shall together contain all such signatures shall constitute one and the same instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Plan has been executed as of the X day of X 2007 by each of the parties hereto.

AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE LLC
By: ________________________________
Date: ____________________________

BOSTON STOCK EXCHANGE, INC.
By: ________________________________
Date: ____________________________

CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE, INCORPORATED
By: ________________________________
Date: ____________________________

INTERNATIONAL SECURITIES EXCHANGE, LLC
By: ________________________________
Date: ____________________________

NYSE ARCA, INC.
By: ________________________________
Date: 4/14/2007

PHILADELPHIA STOCK EXCHANGE, INC.
By: ________________________________
Date: ____________________________