

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
(Release No. 34-72362; File No. SR-NASDAQ-2014-060)

June 10, 2014

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Joint Back Office Pricing

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on May 29, 2014, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (“NASDAQ” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by NASDAQ. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

NASDAQ proposes to amend Chapter XV (Options Pricing) on The NASDAQ Options Market (“NOM”), NASDAQ’s facility for executing and routing standardized equity and index options to assess joint back office (“JBO”)<sup>3</sup> participants pricing the same as Broker-Dealers<sup>4</sup> and require JBO participants to utilize a new origin code to identify JBO orders.

---

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> A JBO participant is a Participant organization that maintains a JBO arrangement with a clearing broker-dealer (“JBO Broker”) subject to the requirements of Regulation T Section 220.7 of the Federal Reserve System. See also Exchange Rules at Chapter XIII, Section 5.

<sup>4</sup> The term “Broker-Dealer” applies to any transaction which is not subject to any of the other transaction fees applicable within a particular category.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Website at <http://www.nasdaq.cchwallstreet.com>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to introduce a new origin code which will be used to indicate orders for a JBO account to be cleared into the Firm range at The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") for purposes of pricing only. Further, the Exchange proposes to assess fees and pay rebates to JBO Orders the same as Broker-Dealers.

Currently, JBO orders clear in the Firm<sup>5</sup> range at OCC as do Firm orders. The Exchange is proposing to introduce an origin code for Participants to identify orders for a JBO account. The origin code will simplify the process of identifying JBO orders for purposes of pricing only. Participants would be required to mark their JBO orders in accordance with the technical specifications definitions which are provided by the Exchange. This rule change will not impact the manner in which JBO orders are treated for purposes of other Exchange Rules including but

---

<sup>5</sup> The term "Firm" applies to any transaction that is identified by a Participant for clearing in the Firm range at OCC.

not limited to priority in the Exchange's System. With this proposal, JBO orders will continue to be cleared in the Firm range at OCC. Today, JBO orders are assessed transaction fees and paid rebates the same as Firms. The Exchange's current pricing does not differentiate Firms and Broker-Dealers. These market participants are assessed the same fees and paid the same rebates. There will be no impact as a result of this rule change as far as pricing because Firms and Broker-Dealers are assessed the same fees and paid the same rebates.

The Exchange proposes to amend Chapter XV of the NOM Rules to define the term JBO in the preface as follows: "The term "Joint Back Office" or "JBO" applies to any transaction that is identified by a Participant for clearing in the Firm range at OCC and is identified with an origin code as a JBO. A JBO will be priced the same as a Broker-Dealer as of September 1, 2014." Also, the Exchange describes a JBO participant as "a Participant that maintains a JBO arrangement with a clearing broker-dealer ("JBO Broker") subject to the requirements of Regulation T Section 220.7 of the Federal Reserve System as further discussed in Chapter XIII, Section 5."

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act<sup>6</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act<sup>7</sup> in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest. Adding an origin code to JBO orders is a more efficient manner in which to identify those orders separate and apart from other orders entered on NOM. In

---

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

addition, JBO orders will continue to clear in the Firm range at OCC as is the case today. The Exchange will more easily be able to discern the pricing associated with clearly identified JBO orders. This will eliminate any potential confusion, thereby removing a potential impediment to and perfecting the mechanism for a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, protecting investors and the public interest. The Exchange believes that automating this process of manually identifying JBO Orders will promote just and equitable principles of trade by creating an identifiable method of distinguishing JBO orders entered into the Exchange's System. The Exchange believes that automating this process is a more efficient manner in which to identify and bill these types of orders.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 6 of the Act,<sup>8</sup> in general, and with Section 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>9</sup> in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. The Exchange believes that its proposal to assess pricing for JBO orders the same as for Broker-Dealers is reasonable because the Exchange believes that the business of a JBO is similar to that of an away market maker and other Broker-Dealers. A JBO participant maintains a JBO arrangement with a JBO Broker pursuant to Section 220.7 of Regulation T. Similarly, an away market maker is a member of another national securities exchange registered as a market maker in an options class(es). An away market maker is considered to be a Broker-Dealer as the market maker is not subject to market making obligations on the Exchange similar

---

<sup>8</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

to other NOM Market Makers. The Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (“CBOE”) assesses manual equity option JBO orders fees the same as broker-dealer and electronic equity option JBO orders fees the same as a Professional.<sup>10</sup>

The Exchange believes that it is reasonable to assess the same fees and pay the same rebates on JBO orders as are paid and assessed to a Broker-Dealer because the Exchange believes a JBO participant’s business is similar to that of a Broker-Dealer and should therefore be priced the same. The Exchange believes that its proposal to assess JBO orders pricing the same as Broker-Dealers is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange will uniformly assess JBO orders the same fees and pay the same rebates as today are assessed and paid to a Broker-Dealer, which today are the same fees and rebates applicable to a Firm. There will be no impact as far as pricing with this proposal because Firms and Broker-Dealers are assessed the same fees and paid the same rebates.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

NASDAQ does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange is assessing fees to all JBOs in a similar manner with this proposal. JBO participants would be assessed fees and paid rebates the same as Broker-Dealers. The Exchange believes that assessing JBO Orders the same as Broker-Dealers does not impose a burden on competition because a JBO participant’s business is similar to that of a Broker-Dealer and should therefore be priced the same. Also, today, Firms and Broker-Dealer fees and rebates are the same.

Further, utilizing an origin code to identify JBO Orders does not impose an unfair burden on competition. The Exchange believes that automating the process of manually identifying JBO

---

<sup>10</sup> See CBOE’s Fees Schedule.

Orders by creating an identifiable method of distinguishing JBO orders entered into the Exchange's System would assist the Exchange in regulating its market. In addition, CBOE utilizes an origin code today to identify JBO Orders.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act<sup>11</sup> and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.<sup>12</sup>

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved. The Exchange has provided the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change

IV. Solicitation of Comments

---

<sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(a)(ii).

<sup>12</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-NASDAQ-2014-060 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2014-060. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the

Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2014-060 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>13</sup>

Kevin M. O'Neill  
Deputy Secretary

---

<sup>13</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).