Self-Regulatory Organizations; Fixed Income Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto to Enhance the Repo Collateral Substitution Process of FICC’s Government Securities Division

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”), notice is hereby given that on September 30, 2005, the Fixed Income Clearing Corporation (“FICC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) and on December 20, 2005, amended the proposed rule change described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared primarily by FICC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested parties.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to enhance the repo collateral substitution process of FICC’s Government Securities Division (“GSD”).

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, FICC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. FICC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.\(^2\)


\(^2\) The Commission has modified the text of the summaries prepared by FICC.
(A) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. **Initial Substitution Notification without Replacement Collateral Information**

The GSD’s repo collateral substitution process provides a mechanism for a repo dealer to process its right to substitute the original collateral it provided as part of a repo transaction with replacement collateral. With respect to a brokered transaction, typically the repo dealer notifies the relevant broker that it wishes to substitute the repo collateral before it specifically identifies what the replacement collateral will be. The broker then contacts the reverse repo dealer and informs it that a repo collateral substitution process is being initiated, at which time the reverse repo dealer sends the original repo collateral to FICC. However, since under FICC’s current system the repo dealer’s substitution notification sent to FICC must contain information about the replacement collateral, often the substitution notification is not delivered to FICC at the time FICC receives the returned original repo collateral from the reverse repo dealer. When the repo dealer does determine what securities will constitute the replacement collateral, it often delivers the replacement collateral to FICC before sending the repo collateral substitution notification. Thus the parties have delivered the respective collateral to FICC, but until FICC receives the substitution notification, it is not able to deliver the collateral to the appropriate parties. This leaves FICC in an overdraft position at the clearing bank(s), which can cause expense and risk to FICC and to its members and settlement processing delays.

The proposed rule change will permit the repo dealer or repo broker, as appropriate, to submit a substitution notification to FICC without information about the replacement collateral.

---

3 With respect to a non-brokered repo transaction, the repo dealer would contact the reverse repo dealer directly about the repo collateral substitution.
FICC will deliver the original collateral to the repo party’s account at its clearing bank(s) upon receipt of the substitution notification so the original collateral will no longer linger in FICC’s account. FICC believes this will encourage repo dealers to allocate replacement collateral more timely since they will be financing the original collateral intraday.4

2. Revised Repo Collateral Substitution Process Deadline and Fee Schedule

The proposed change in repo processing requires a revision to GSD’s schedule of timeframes. Also, in order to further encourage timely submission of collateral requests and the associated required information, FICC is proposing to add a new late fee to the repo substitution process. Currently, there is a two-tiered deadline and associated late-fee for a repo party to submit a substitution notification.5 The proposed rule change would establish: (i) an 11:00 a.m. Eastern Time deadline6 for a repo party to submit a substitution notification and (ii) a late-fee of $100 for each substitution notification that is received after the deadline. The proposed rule change also would establish a two-tiered deadline and associated late-fee schedule for a repo party to submit replacement collateral information. The proposed deadlines for submission of

---

4 The changes necessary to reflect this part of the rule change are contained in GSD Rule 18, Sections 3(a), (b), (c), and (d) and in the Schedule of Required and Accepted Data Submission Items for a Right of Substitution. A new schedule, titled Schedule of Required and Accepted Data Submission Items for New Securities Collateral, is being proposed to be added to the rules to reflect that information on the replacement collateral will be contained in a separate submission to FICC.

5 The current deadlines are 12:00 p.m. Eastern Time and 12:30 p.m. Eastern Time. The deadlines are extended by one hour on days that: (i) FICC determines are high-volume days or (ii) The Bond Market Association announces in advance will be high-volume days. FICC assesses a late-fee of: (i) $100 for each substitution notification that is received after the first deadline but before the second deadline and (ii) $250 for each substitution notification that is received after the second deadline.

6 The proposed 11:00 a.m. Eastern Time deadline will not be extended on high-volume days.
replacement collateral information are: (i) 12:00 p.m. Eastern Time and (ii) 12:30 p.m. Eastern Time. The proposed late-fee assessments are: (i) $100 for each submission of replacement collateral information that is received after the first deadline but before the second deadline and (ii) $250 for each submission of replacement collateral information that is received after the second deadline.  

3. **Risk Management Measures and Technical Changes**

As part of the proposed rule change, FICC believes it is necessary to address the risk presented to FICC in the repo collateral substitution process by the failure of a party to timely submit information regarding the replacement collateral to FICC. The risk that arises in such a situation is that by the time FICC receives the information about the replacement collateral, the replacement collateral may have a different market value than the original collateral on which FICC’s margin calculations were based. To address this, FICC is proposing certain risk management measures. Specifically, FICC will: (i) increase the clearing fund calculation of the repo dealer and allow margining with respect to replacement collateral based on applicable generic CUSIP numbers only; and (ii) impose mark-to-market consequences on both the repo dealer and the reverse dealer with respect to unknown replacement collateral.

---

7 The proposed allocation of collateral deadlines will be extended by one hour on days that: (i) FICC determines are high-volume days or (ii) The Bond Market Association announces in advance will be high-volume days. The rule changes necessary to affect this part of the proposed rule are contained in the Schedule of Timeframes and in the Fee Structure under “Late Fees.”

8 Generic CUSIP numbers represent the range of permissible securities that can constitute the replacement collateral. For example, there is a generic CUSIP number which represents Treasury securities with remaining maturity of fewer than thirty years.
A. Clearing Fund Calculation and Permissible Margin Offsets

With respect to the calculation of the repo dealer’s clearing fund requirement, FICC will assign a value to a repo transaction where FICC has not received information regarding the replacement collateral, which value will be 150 percent of the contract value of the original securities collateral. FICC will also apply the highest applicable margin factor in its rules in connection with the repo transaction. In GSD’s rules, the highest margin factor is the factor for securities with a remaining maturity of fewer than 30 years. Therefore, if the generic CUSIP number that is assigned to the unknown replacement collateral is the generic CUSIP number for Treasury securities with a remaining maturity of under 30 years, FICC will use the existing margin factor of 1.450 (applicable to category 1 members with positions in non-zeros).

The proposed risk management measures applicable to non-timely allocation of replacement collateral will further affect the clearing fund calculation of the repo dealer by limiting permissible offsets. A regular part of the GSD’s margining system is to permit offsets between resulting margin amounts of long and short net settlement positions. The GSD’s rules contain disallowance factor tables that set forth specific limits on these permissible offsets. For example, where a short net settlement position in Treasury Offset Class A is to be offset against a long net settlement position in Treasury Offset Class B, the applicable disallowance factor table rules provides that 20 percent of this offset will be disallowed. For offset purposes under the

---

9 New subsection 3(f) has been proposed to be added to Rule 18 in order to effect this change. It should be noted that the application of the 150 percent for clearing fund purposes applies to both the receive/deliver and repo volatility components of the clearing fund calculation.

10 The GSD’s margin factor schedules apply different margin factors to category 1 and category 2 dealers. In this example, if the member were a category 2 member electing not to receive credit forward mark adjustment payments, the applicable margin factor under the proposed rule change would be 1.5.
proposed rule change, FICC will define two new offset classes to capture the generic CUSIP numbers that can be assigned to unknown replacement collateral. These new offset classes will be identified as “H” for Treasury securities and “h” for non-mortgage-backed Agency securities. Under the proposed rule change, as a further risk management measure, FICC will not permit offsets: (i) between Offset Classes H and h or (ii) between Offset Classes H or h on the one hand and other existing GSD Offset Classes on the other.

B. Modified Mark-to-Market Calculation

FICC also believes that a prudent risk management measure in the case where a generic CUSIP number is used for underlying collateral will be to calculate a modified mark-to-market obligation with respect to the replacement collateral and to impose this on both the repo dealer and the reverse repo dealer. In a typical scenario where the replacement collateral is identified, FICC reverses any previous mark-to-market calculation for the old collateral and recalculates, collects, and passes through a mark-to-market associated with the actual replacement collateral. This computation is defined as the Forward Mark Adjustment Payment.\textsuperscript{11} In the scenario where the replacement collateral has not been identified, FICC will calculate a modified Forward Mark Adjustment Payment to protect FICC against market risk. Specifically, the definition of Forward Mark Adjustment Payment will be amended by noting that, with respect to a repo transaction for which a substitution request has been made but for which replacement collateral information has not been provided to FICC, a new Forward Unallocated Sub Mark will be applied. This new mark will take into account repo interest that has accrued with respect to the repo transaction to

\textsuperscript{11} The Forward Mark Adjustment Payment is the sum of two components: the Collateral Mark and the Financing Mark. The Collateral Mark is the absolute value of the difference between the trade’s contract value and market value. The Financing Mark reflects the financing cost that would be incurred by FICC if it replaced the reverse side of the repo by buying securities and putting them out on repo.
date, as well as changes in the repo rate (to reflect the difference between the contract rate and the market rate for the remaining term of the repo transaction).[^12]

C. Technical Changes

Additionally, FICC proposes changes to its GSD rules relating to repo collateral substitutions and repo transactions generally to make certain technical changes and/or to align the applicable provisions with standard internal or industry practice. These are:

1. Section 3(a) of Rule 18: Delete the requirement that details regarding the rights of substitution match between counterparties. Details regarding rights of substitution are not a required trade reporting item and thus will not be a required match item in GSD’s system. References in this respect will be deleted to reflect actual operating practice;

2. Sections 3(e) and 3(f) of Rule 18: Delete the requirement that upon receipt of either the original or the replacement collateral, FICC will promptly redeliver the securities to the appropriate party. As stated in the narrative above, FICC may receive securities that are the subject of a repo collateral substitution request but may not yet have the requisite information for delivery of those securities. These provisions should be deleted to reflect actual operating practice and also to make the rule consistent with the proposed changes;

3. Section 3(h) of Rule 18: Delete the provision regarding implications of repo collateral substitutions on margin and mark-to-market requirements. This

[^12]: The following new definitions have been proposed to effect this change: Accrued Repo Interest-to-Date, Repo Interest Rate Differential, and Forward Unallocated Sub Mark.
provision is redundant because the effects of repo substitutions on such
requirements are covered in the rules governing these items and the rules to be
modified by the proposed rule change;

4. Section 4 of Rule 18: Make optional a requirement that for general collateral,
forward-starting repos, the specific CUSIP and par value be submitted prior to the
repo start date. FICC typically does not receive such allocations from its
members prior to the repo start date and thus the proposed change will align the
rule with industry practice. The proposed change further reflects operating
practice as well as industry expectations that a general collateral, forward-starting
repo will be removed from the GSD’s books if FICC does not receive the specific
CUSIP by the time noted in the rule. Members typically submit new transactions
with the specific CUSIPs and expect that the general collateral transaction will be
removed from the GSD’s books.

5. Section 5 of Rule 18: Amend the provision that addresses repo transactions with
maturing collateral. The proposed rule change provides that the repo party in
such a repo transaction must make the required substitution of collateral by the
time noted in the rule or FICC will remove the transaction from its books. This is
because the underlying contract terminates if the collateral is not replaced in time,
and therefore, the proposed rule change reflects industry practice. The proposed
rule change further reflects industry practice by deleting the requirement that the
replacement collateral meet certain specific criteria and replacing that requirement
with a requirement that the replacement collateral be “in accordance with the terms of the transaction.” This change also reflects industry practice.

FICC believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act\textsuperscript{13} and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to FICC because it promotes timely processing of participant transactions. As such, FICC believes the proposed rule facilitates the prompt settlement of transactions and assures the safeguarding of securities and funds that are in the custody and control of FICC or for which it is responsible.

(B) \textbf{Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition}

FICC does not believe that the proposed rule change will have any impact or impose any burden on competition.

(C) \textbf{Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others}

Written comments relating to the proposed rule change have not been solicited or received. FICC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by FICC.

III. \textbf{Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action}

Within thirty-five days of the date of publication of this notice in the \textit{Federal Register} or within such longer period: (i) as the Commission may designate up to ninety days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding; or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) by order approve such proposed rule change or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{13} 15 U.S.C. 78q-1.}
IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml) or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-FICC-2005-18 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-9303.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FICC-2005-18. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission’s Public Reference Section, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filings also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of FICC and on FICC’s Web site at www.ficc.com.
comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal
identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to
make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FICC-2005-18 and
should be submitted on or before [insert date 15 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated
authority.14

Nancy M. Morris
Secretary