SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

April 18, 2019

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Fixed Income Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Include References to Uniform Mortgage-Backed Securities

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)\(^1\) and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,\(^2\) notice is hereby given that on April 9, 2019, Fixed Income Clearing Corporation (“FICC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the clearing agency. FICC filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act\(^3\) and Rule 19b-4(f)(4)(i) thereunder.\(^4\) The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change consists of modifications to the FICC Government Securities Division (“GSD”) Rulebook, the Methodology Document – GSD Initial Market Risk Margin Model (the “GSD Methodology Document,” together with the GSD Rulebook, the “GSD Rules”), the FICC Mortgage-Backed Securities Division (“MBSD”) Clearing Rules, and the Methodology and Model Operations Document – MBSD


Quantitative Risk Model (the “MBSD Methodology Document,” together with the MBSD Clearing Rules, the “MBSD Rules”) to include references, as described below, to a new type of mortgage-backed securities, referred to as uniform mortgage-backed securities (“UMBS”), issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association (“Fannie Mae”) and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (“Freddie Mac”). The proposed changes would not require any changes to FICC’s systems nor would the changes impact the rights and obligations of GSD Netting Members and MBSD Clearing Members (collectively, “Members”). FICC would treat UMBS in the same manner that it currently treats Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities from an operational and risk management perspective.

Specifically, FICC is proposing to (1) amend the GSD Rulebook and the MBSD Clearing Rules to apply the current haircut for Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities to the proposed UMBS for purposes of satisfying Required Fund Deposit amounts, (2) amend the GSD Rulebook to apply the current Pricing Rate for CCIT Transactions backed by Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities to CCIT Transactions backed by UMBS, and (3) amend the GSD Methodology Document and MBSD Methodology Document to include references to UMBS. FICC is requesting confidential treatment of the GSD Methodology Document and the MBSD Methodology Document.

---

Document, and has filed these documents separately with the Commission. The proposed changes are described below.

II. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the clearing agency included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The clearing agency has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

FICC is proposing to (1) amend the GSD Rulebook and the MBSD Clearing Rules to apply the current haircut for Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities to the proposed UMBS for purposes of satisfying Required Fund Deposit amounts, (2) amend the GSD Rulebook to apply the Pricing Rate for CCIT Transactions backed by Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities to CCIT Transactions backed by UMBS, and (3) amend the GSD Methodology Document and MBSD Methodology Document to include references to UMBS because UMBS will be included in MBSD’s TBA product line and will be eligible collateral for GSD’s GCF Repo Transactions.


Pursuant to the MBSD Clearing Rules, the term “TBA” means a contract for the purchase or sale of a mortgage-backed security to be delivered at an agreed-upon future date because as of the transaction date, the seller has not yet identified
backed by mortgage-backed securities. The proposed changes would not require any changes to FICC’s systems nor would the changes impact the rights and obligations of Members. FICC would treat UMBS in the same manner that it currently treats Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities from an operational and risk management perspective.

(i) **Background**

Under the direction of the Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA"), Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac will create a new mortgage-backed security pursuant to an initiative referred to as the single security initiative ("Single Security Initiative").

Pursuant to the FHFA’s proposed rule and final rule, respectively, and the information that has been publicly made available on the FHFA, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac websites, the stated goals of the Single Security Initiative are to (i) bring additional liquidity and fungibility to the TBA market; and (ii) to reduce or eliminate the trading of certain terms of the contract, such as the pool number and number of pools, to the buyer. See MBSD Rule 1, supra note 5.

Pursuant to the GSD Rulebook, the term “GCF Repo Transaction” means a Repo Transaction involving Generic CUSIP Numbers the data on which are submitted to FICC on a Locked-In-Trade basis pursuant to the provisions of GSD Rule 6C, for netting and settlement by FICC pursuant to the provisions of GSD Rule 20. See GSD Rule 1, supra note 5.

disparities that exist today between Fannie Mae’s and Freddie Mac’s TBA securities.\textsuperscript{10}

In connection with the Single Security Initiative, FICC understands the following:

- the new mortgage-backed securities, referred to as UMBS, will be issued and guaranteed by either Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, and backed by fixed rate 30-year, 20-year, 15-year, or 10-year single family mortgage loans.\textsuperscript{11}

- UMBS will be single-class securities backed by mortgage loans purchased by either Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae.\textsuperscript{12}

- the key features of UMBS will be the same as those of Fannie Mae securities, and as a result, the existing Fannie Mae securities will be interchangeable with UMBS.\textsuperscript{13}

- Freddie Mac will give market participants the opportunity to exchange 45-day Freddie Mac Participation Certificates and Freddie Mac Giant Participation Certificates\textsuperscript{14} for comparable UMBS backed by the same mortgage loans.\textsuperscript{15}

\textsuperscript{10} Id. See also “Uniform Mortgage-Backed Security,” 83 FR 46889 (proposed September 17, 2018).

\textsuperscript{11} See Freddie Mac Website, supra note 9.

\textsuperscript{12} See 84 FR at 7800; Fannie Mae Website, supra note 9.

\textsuperscript{13} See 84 FR at 7800.

\textsuperscript{14} Freddie Mac refers to its pass-through mortgage-backed securities as “Participation Certificates.” Freddie Mac Giant Participation Certificates are single-class pass-through securities that enable investors to manage their portfolios more efficiently by consolidating smaller participation certificates into larger giant participation certificates. See “Giant PCs,” available at http://www.freddiemac.com/mbs/products/giants.html. Introduced in 1988, Freddie Mac Giant Participation Certificates are popular with dealers and investors because they are an efficient and profitable way to aggregate production and investment portfolios. Id.
Based on the information noted above, FICC will include UMBS in MBSD’s existing TBA product line (which currently includes Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities) and amend the GSD Rules and the MBSD Rules to treat the proposed UMBS in the same manner that it treats existing TBA securities. As a result, MBSD Clearing Members will be allowed to submit TBA transactions backed by UMBS and GSD Netting Members will be allowed to submit GCF Repo Transactions collateralized with UMBS. FICC will implement these changes to give Members the ability to clear and settle UMBS as an Eligible Security.

(ii) Proposed Changes

In order to facilitate the submission of TBA transactions backed by UMBS and GCF Repo Transactions collateralized by UMBS, FICC is proposing to (1) amend the GSD Rulebook and the MBSD Clearing Rules to apply the current haircut for Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities, respectively, to the proposed UMBS used to satisfy Required Fund Deposit amounts, (2) amend the GSD Rulebook to apply the current Pricing Rate for Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities to CCIT Transactions backed by UMBS, and (3) amend the GSD Methodology Document and the MBSD Methodology Document to include references to UMBS. The proposed changes are set forth below:

---

15 See 83 FR at 46890; Fannie Mae Website, supra note 9. The opportunity to exchange 45-day Freddie Mac Participation Certificates and Freddie Mac Giant Participation Certificates for comparable UMBS backed by the same mortgage loans occurs outside of FICC. FICC is not involved in any aspect of this exchange process. Information on this exchange is available at http://www.freddiemac.com/mbs/exchange/.

A. Proposed Changes to the GSD Rulebook

FICC is proposing to amend GSD Rule 1 to include the definition for UMBS. This term would be defined as a single-class mortgage-backed security backed by fixed-rate mortgage loans on one to four unit (single-family) properties issued by either Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac which has the same characteristics (such as payment delay, pooling prefixes and minimum pool submission amounts) regardless of whether Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac is the issuer. FICC is proposing this change because this term would be referenced in GSD Rule 3B Section 14(a)(xii) and GSD’s Schedule of Haircuts for Eligible Clearing Fund Securities.

FICC is also proposing to amend GSD Rule 3B Section 14(a)(xii) to include a reference to UMBS. This section defines the Pricing Rate for CCIT MRA transactions backed by U.S. Treasury securities, Non-Mortgage-Backed U.S. Agency Securities, and Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac mortgage-backed securities. FICC is proposing to amend this section to add UMBS to the references to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac mortgage-backed securities. Due to this change, the calculated Pricing Rate for UMBS would be the same as the Pricing Rate for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac mortgage-backed securities.

FICC is also proposing to amend item 3 entitled “MBS Pass-Throughs” in GSD’s Schedule of Haircuts for Eligible Clearing Fund Securities to apply the current haircut for Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities to the proposed UMBS.

B. Proposed Changes to the MBSD Clearing Rules

FICC is proposing to amend MBSD Rule 1 to include the definition for UMBS. This term would be defined as a single-class mortgage-backed security backed by fixed-
rate mortgage loans on one to four unit (single-family) properties issued by either Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac which has the same characteristics (such as payment delay, pooling prefixes and minimum pool submission amounts) regardless of whether Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac is the issuer. FICC is proposing this change because this term would be referenced in MBSD’s Schedule of Haircuts for Eligible Clearing Fund Securities.

FICC is proposing to amend item 3 entitled “MBS Pass-Throughs” in MBSD’s Schedule of Haircuts for Eligible Clearing Fund Securities to apply the current haircut for Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities to the proposed UMBS.

C. Proposed Changes to the GSD Methodology Document

FICC is proposing to amend the GSD Methodology Document to include references to UMBS. Given that the FHFA’s proposed rule and final rule state that the key features of the proposed UMBS would be the same as the current Fannie Mae securities, FICC would treat UMBS in the same manner that it treats Fannie Mae securities from a risk management perspective – meaning, FICC would calculate a GSD Netting Member’s Required Fund Deposit amount for GCF Repo Transactions backed by UMBS consistent with FICC’s current calculation of GCF Repo Transactions backed by Fannie Mae securities.

D. Proposed Changes to the MBSD Methodology Document

FICC is proposing to amend the MSBD Methodology Document to include references to UMBS. Given that the FHFA’s proposed rule and final rule state that the key features of the proposed UMBS would be the same as the current Fannie Mae

---

17 83 FR at 46890; 84 FR at 7793.
securities.\textsuperscript{18} FICC would treat UMBS in the same manner that it treats Fannie Mae securities from a risk management perspective – meaning, FICC would calculate a MBSD Clearing Member’s Required Fund Deposit amount for portfolios that are comprised of UMBS in a manner that is consistent with FICC’s current calculation for portfolios that are comprised of Fannie Mae securities.

2. **Statutory Basis**

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act requires, in part, that the GSD Rules and MBSD Rules be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.\textsuperscript{19} As described above, FICC is proposing to (1) amend the GSD Rulebook and the MBSD Clearing Rules to apply the current haircut for Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities to the proposed UMBS, (2) amend the GSD Rulebook to apply the current Pricing Rate for CCIT Transactions backed by Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities to CCIT Transactions backed by UMBS, and (3) amend the GSD Methodology Document and MBSD Methodology Document to include references to UMBS.

FICC believes the proposed rule change would promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions because the proposed UMBS would present the same risks to FICC that the existing Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities currently present to FICC given that the FHFA, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have indicated that the key characteristics of UMBS will be the same as Fannie Mae securities as described in Item II(A)1 above. As a result, FICC would treat UMBS in the

\textsuperscript{18} Id.

same manner that it treats Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities.
Specifically, the changes would promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities because (1) the proposed haircut, which would be the same as the haircuts for Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities, would protect FICC from the potential decline in the value of UMBS in normal and in stressed market conditions, (2) the proposed Pricing Rate for CCIT Transactions backed by UMBS would help to ensure that such rate is calculated in the same manner as Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities for purposes of a CCIT MRA transaction, and (3) the proposed inclusion of UMBS in the GSD Methodology Document and MBSD Methodology Document would help to ensure that UMBS is treated in the same manner as Fannie Mae securities for risk management purposes. For these reasons, FICC believes that the proposed changes are consistent with the requirements of the Act, in particular Section 17A(b)(3)(F), cited above.

(B) Clearing Agency’s Statement on Burden on Competition

FICC does not believe that the proposed rule changes would have any impact, or impose any burden, on competition because, as described in Item II(A)1 above, FICC would treat UMBS in the same manner that it treats Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities (i.e., the same haircut that is currently applied to Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities would be applied to UMBS; the same CCIT Pricing Rate that is currently applied to CCIT Transactions backed by Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities would be applied to UMBS; and the same risk management that is applied to Fannie Mae securities and Freddie Mac securities would be applied to UMBS). Given this, FICC’s proposed treatment of UMBS would
not give Members an advantage or a disadvantage if such Members use UMBS rather than Fannie Mae securities or Freddie Mac securities (1) for purposes of satisfying Required Fund Deposits amounts or (2) to back CCIT Transactions. Therefore, FICC does not believe that the proposed rule changes would have any impact or impose any burden on competition.

(C) Clearing Agency’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

FICC has not received or solicited any written comments relating to this proposal. FICC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by FICC.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change, and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:


• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

• Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-FICC-2019-002 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FICC-2019-002. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of FICC and on DTCC’s website (http://dtcc.com/legal/sec-rule-filings.aspx). All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make
available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FICC-2019-002 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²²

Jill M. Peterson
Assistant Secretary