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I. Introduction


---

approve, disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change. This order institutes proceedings, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act, to determine whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change.

II. Summary of the Proposed Rule Change

As described in the Notice, the proposed rule change consists of modifications to FICC’s Government Securities Division (“GSD”) Rulebook (“GSD Rules”) and Mortgage-Backed Securities Division (“MBSD” and, together with GSD, the “Divisions” and, each, a “Division”) Clearing Rules (“MBSD Rules,” and collectively with the GSD Rules, the “Rules”) in order to amend provisions in the Rules regarding loss allocation as well as make other changes, as described in greater detail below.


8 The Commission notes that the Summary of the Proposed Rule Change section does not describe the Proposed Rule Change in its entirety. Other changes include, but are not limited to, the clarification of defined terms, various aspects of the Clearing Fund application, and detailed procedures of the loss allocation. The complete Proposed Rule Change can be found in the Notice. See Notice, supra note 4. In addition, the text of the Proposed Rule Change is available at http://www.dtcc.com/legal/rules-and-procedures.aspx.

9 The description of the Proposed Rule Change herein is based on the statements prepared by FICC in the Notice. See Notice, supra note 4. Each capitalized term not otherwise defined herein has its respective meaning either (i) as set forth in the Rules, available at http://www.dtcc.com/legal/rules-and-procedures.aspx, or (ii) as set forth in the Notice.
FICC proposes to revise the Rules to primarily change (i) the loss allocation process,\(^\text{10}\) (ii) the loss allocation governance for Declared Non-Default Loss Events,\(^\text{11}\) and (iii) the application of the MBSD Clearing Fund.\(^\text{12}\)

A. **Loss Allocation Process**

FICC states that the Divisions would retain the current core loss allocation process.\(^\text{13}\) However, FICC proposes to revise Rule 4 (Clearing Fund and Loss Allocation) of each Division’s Rules to make five key changes to FICC’s loss allocation process.

First, FICC proposes to replace the calculation of its corporate contribution from up to 25 percent of its retained earnings or such higher amount as the Board of Directors shall determine to a defined Corporate Contribution.\(^\text{14}\) The proposed Corporate Contribution would be defined as an amount equal to 50 percent of FICC’s General Business Risk Capital Requirement.\(^\text{15}\) FICC’s General Business Risk Capital Requirement is, at a minimum, equal to the regulatory capital that FICC is required to maintain in compliance with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(15) under the Act.\(^\text{16}\) In addition, FICC proposes to mandatorily apply Corporate Contribution (i) prior to a loss allocation among

---
\(^{10}\) See Notice, supra note 4, at 855-59.

\(^{11}\) See id. at 859-60.

\(^{12}\) See id. at 860.

\(^{13}\) Id. at 855.

\(^{14}\) Id. at 856.

\(^{15}\) Id.

\(^{16}\) Id.; 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(15).
the applicable Division’s members, and (ii) to losses arising from both Defaulting Member Events and Declared Non-Default Loss Events.\textsuperscript{17}

Second, FICC proposes to introduce an Event Period to address the allocation of losses and liabilities that may arise from or relate to multiple Defaulting Member Events, Declared Non-Default Loss Events, or both that arise in quick succession in a Division.\textsuperscript{18} The proposal would group together Defaulting Member Events and Declared Non-Default Loss Events occurring in a period of 10 Business Days for purposes of allocating losses to applicable Tier One Netting Members or Tier One Members of the respective Divisions in one or more rounds.\textsuperscript{19}

Third, FICC proposes to introduce a loss allocation “round,” which would mean “a series of loss allocations relating to an Event Period, the aggregate amount of which is limited by the sum of the Loss Allocation Caps of affected Tier One Netting Members or Tier One Members, as applicable.”\textsuperscript{20} FICC would notify applicable members subject to a loss allocation of the amounts being allocated to them.\textsuperscript{21} Each applicable member would have five Business Days from the issuance of such first Loss Allocation Notice for the round to notify FICC of its election to withdraw from membership, and thereby benefit from its Loss Allocation Cap.\textsuperscript{22}

\textsuperscript{17} Notice, \textit{supra} note 4, at 856.

\textsuperscript{18} \textit{Id.} at 856-57.

\textsuperscript{19} \textit{Id.} at 857.

\textsuperscript{20} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{21} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{22} \textit{Id.} at 857-58.
Fourth, FICC proposes to revise its “look-back” period to calculate a member’s loss allocation pro rata share and its Loss Allocation Cap.23 Currently, the Rules calculate, in general, a Tier One Netting Member’s or a Tier One Member’s pro rata share for purposes of loss allocation based on the member’s average daily Required Fund Deposit over the prior 12 months.24 FICC proposes to calculate, in general, each member’s pro rata share of losses and liabilities in any round to be equal to (i) the average of a member’s Required Fund Deposit for 70 Business Days prior to the first day of the applicable Event Period (“Average RFD”) divided by (ii) the sum of Average RFD amounts for all members that are subject to a loss allocation in such round.25 Additionally, FICC proposes that each member’s Loss Allocation Cap would be equal to the greater of (i) its Required Fund Deposit on the first day of the applicable Event Period or (ii) its Average RFD.26

Fifth, FICC proposes to revise the cap on a loss allocation and the withdrawal process followed by a loss allocation. As proposed, if a member provides notice of its withdrawal from membership, in general, its maximum amount of losses with respect to any loss allocation round would be its Loss Allocation Cap.27 FICC further proposes that members would have two Business Days after GSD or MBSD issues a first round Loss

---

23 Id. at 858.
24 Id.
25 Id.
26 Id.
27 Id. at 858-59.
Allocation Notice to pay the amount specified in such notice.\textsuperscript{28} Members would have five Business Days from the issuance of the first Loss Allocation Notice in any round to decide whether to terminate its membership, provided that the member complies with the requirements of the proposed withdrawal process.\textsuperscript{29}

B. \textbf{Loss Allocation Governance for Declared Non-Default Loss Events}

FICC proposes to enhance the governance around Declared Non-Default Loss Events that would trigger a loss allocation by specifying that the Board of Directors would have to determine that there is a non-default loss that (i) may present a significant and substantial loss or liability, so as to materially impair the ability of FICC to provide clearance and settlement services in an orderly manner, and (ii) will potentially generate losses to be mutualized among members in order to ensure that FICC may continue to offer clearance and settlement services in an orderly manner.\textsuperscript{30} FICC would then be required to promptly notify members of this determination.\textsuperscript{31}

C. \textbf{Application of the MBSD Clearing Fund}

FICC proposes to delete language currently in MBSD Rule 4 (Clearing Fund and Loss Allocation), Section 5 (Use of Clearing Fund) that limits certain uses by FICC of the MBSD Clearing Fund to “unexpected or unusual” requirements for funds that represent a “small percentage” of the MBSD Clearing Fund.\textsuperscript{32} Specifically, FICC proposes to delete

\textsuperscript{28} Id. at 858.
\textsuperscript{29} Id.
\textsuperscript{30} Id. at 859.
\textsuperscript{31} Id.
\textsuperscript{32} Id. at 860.
the limiting language with respect to FICC’s use of the MBSD Clearing Fund to cover losses and liabilities incident to its clearance and settlement business outside the context of an MBSD Defaulting Member Event so as to not have such language interpreted as impairing FICC’s ability to access the MBSD Clearing Fund in order to manage non-default losses. FICC also proposes to delete the limiting language with respect to FICC’s use of the MBSD Clearing Fund to provide liquidity to meet its settlement obligation.

III. Proceedings to Determine Whether to Approve or Disapprove the Proposed Rule Change and Grounds for Disapproval Under Consideration

The Commission is instituting proceedings pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act to determine whether the Proposed Rule Change should be approved or disapproved. Institution of proceedings is appropriate at this time in view of the legal and policy issues raised by the Proposed Rule Change. Institution of proceedings does not indicate that the Commission has reached any conclusions with respect to any of the issues involved. Rather, the Commission seeks and encourages interested persons to comment on the Proposed Rule Change, and provide the Commission with arguments to support the Commission’s analysis as to whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act, the Commission is providing notice

---

33 Id.
34 Id.
36 Id.
of the grounds for disapproval under consideration. The Commission is instituting proceedings to allow for additional analysis of, and input from commenters with respect to, the Proposed Rule Change’s consistency with Section 17A of the Act,\textsuperscript{37} and the rules thereunder, including the following provisions:

- Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act,\textsuperscript{38} which requires, among other things, that the rules of a clearing agency, such as FICC, must be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible, and to protect investors and the public interest;

- Rule 17Ad-22(e)(13) under the Act,\textsuperscript{39} which requires, in general, a covered clearing agency, such as FICC, to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to ensure the covered clearing agency has the authority and operational capacity to take timely action to contain losses and liquidity demands and continue to meet its obligations.

- Rule 17Ad-22(e)(23)(i) under the Act,\textsuperscript{40} which requires a covered clearing agency, such as FICC, to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to publicly disclose all relevant rules and material procedures, including key aspects of its default rules and procedures.


\textsuperscript{39} 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(13).

\textsuperscript{40} 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(23)(i).
IV. **Procedure: Request for Written Comments**

The Commission requests that interested persons provide written submissions of their views, data, and arguments with respect to the issues identified above, as well as any other concerns they may have with the Proposed Rule Change. In particular, the Commission invites the written views of interested persons concerning whether the Proposed Rule Change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act, Rule 17Ad-22(e)(13) under the Act, Rule 17Ad-22(e)(23)(i) under the Act, or any other provision of the Act, or the rules and regulations thereunder. Although there do not appear to be any issues relevant to approval or disapproval that would be facilitated by an oral presentation of views, data, and arguments, the Commission will consider, pursuant to Rule 19b-4(g) under the Act, any request for an opportunity to make an oral presentation.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments regarding whether the Proposed Rule Change should be approved or disapproved by [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register]. Any person who wishes to

---

42 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(13).
43 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(23)(i).
44 17 CFR 240.19b-4(g).
45 Section 19(b)(2) of the Act grants to the Commission flexibility to determine what type of proceeding—either oral or notice and opportunity for written comments—is appropriate for consideration of a particular proposal by a self-regulatory organization. See Securities Act Amendments of 1975, Senate Comm. on Banking, Housing & Urban Affairs, S. Rep. No. 75, 94th Cong., 1st Sess. 30 (1975).
file a rebuttal to any other person’s submission must file that rebuttal by [insert date 35 days from publication in the Federal Register].

The Commission asks that commenters address the sufficiency of FICC’s statements in support of the Proposed Rule Change, which are set forth in the Notice, in addition to any other comments they may wish to submit about the Proposed Rule Change.

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-FICC-2017-022 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FICC-2017-022. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the Proposed Rule Change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the Proposed Rule Change.

---

46 See Notice, supra note 4.
Change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of FICC and on The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation’s website (http://dtcc.com/legal/sec-rule-filings.aspx). All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FICC-2017-022 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register]. Rebuttal comments should be submitted by [insert date 35 days from date of publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 47

Eduardo A. Aleman
Assistant Secretary

47 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(57).