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Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Establish Fees for Options that Overlie the S&P Select Sector Index Options

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”), 1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on March 1, 2018, Cboe Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “Cboe Options”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I.  Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to establish fees for options that overlie the S&P Select Sector Index options (“Sector Index options”). The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s website (http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II.  Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the

proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. **Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

1. **Purpose**

On October 4, 2017, the Exchange submitted a proposed rule change to amend certain rules in connection with listing S&P Select Sector Index options under generic narrow-based listing standards, which became effective on November 3, 2017. The Exchange rules currently permit the Exchange to list and trade options overlying each S&P Select Sector Index (“Sector Index options”). The Exchange proposes to establish fees for Sector Index options.

By way of background, a specific set of proprietary products are commonly included or excluded from a variety of programs, qualification calculations and transaction fees. In lieu of listing out these products in various sections of the Fees Schedule, the Exchange uses the term “Underlying Symbol List A” to represent these products. The Exchange notes the reason the products in Underlying Symbol List A are often collectively included or excluded from certain

---

3 There are ten S&P Select Sector Indexes: S&P Financial Select Sector Index (IXM), S&P Energy Select Sector Index (IXE), S&P Technology Select Sector Index (IXT), S&P Health Care Select Sector Index (IXV), S&P Utilities Select Sector Index (IXU), S&P Consumer Staples Select Sector Index (IXR), S&P Industrials Select Sector Index (IXI), S&P Consumer Discretionary Select Sector Index (IXY), S&P Materials Select Sector Index (IXB), and S&P Real Estate Select Sector Index (IXRE). The options listing symbols for options overlying these indexes will be: SIXM, SIXE, SIXT, SIXV, SIXU, SIXR, SIXI, SIXY, SIXB, and SIXRE, respectively.


5 Currently, Underlying Symbol List A is defined in Footnote 34 and represents the following proprietary products: OEX, XEO, RUT, RLG, RLV, RUI, AWDE, FTEM, FXTM, UKXM, SPX (including SPXW), VIX, VOLATILITY INDEXES and binary options.
programs, qualification calculations and transactions fees is because the Exchange has expended considerable resources developing and maintaining its proprietary, exclusively listed products. Similar to the products currently represented by “Underlying Symbol List A,” Sector Index options are not listed on any other exchange. As such, the Exchange proposes to establish fees for Sector Index options similar to those applicable to options overlying the indexes in Underlying Symbol List A, as well as similarly exclude those options from several programs from products [sic] in Underlying Symbol List A are excluded. The Exchange does not propose to add Sector Index options to Underlying Symbol List A. In lieu of listing out these products in various sections of the Fees Schedule, the Exchange proposes to refer to Sector Indexes in the Fees Schedule (which is defined in proposed footnote 47).

Specifically, like products in Underlying Symbol List A, the Exchange proposes to except Sector Index options from the Volume Incentive Program (“VIP”), the Marketing Fee, the Clearing Trading Permit Holder Fee Cap (“Fee Cap”), exemption from fees for facilitation orders, the AIM Contra Execution Fee, the CFLEX AIM Response Fee, the Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary and/or their Non-Trading Permit Holder Affiliates transaction fee cap for all non-facilitation business executed in AIM or open outcry, or as a QCC or FLEX transaction, the Order Router Subsidy (“ORS”) and Complex Order Router Subsidy (“CORS”).
Programs, the per contract per side surcharge for noncustomer complex order executions that remove liquidity from the COB and auction response in the complex order auction and AIM, and the calculation of qualifying volume for rebates for Floor Broker Trading Permit Holder Trading Permit Fees.

The Exchange does intend to apply to Sector Index options the Liquidity Provider Sliding Scale. Although the Exchange proposes fees for Sector Index options similar to those established for products in “Underlying Symbol List A,” the Exchange proposes to apply to Sector Index options the Liquidity Provider Sliding Scale to encourage Market-Makers to provide liquidity in these classes and believes that including them in this sliding scale will provide such incentive.

The Exchange next proposes to establish transaction fees for Sector Index options. Particularly, the Exchange proposes to assess the same fees for Sector Index options as apply to OEX Weekly and XEO Weekly options, except for Market-Maker transaction fees, which will be subject to the Liquidity Provider Sliding Scale as described above, and except for Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary transactions, which will be $0.25 rather than subject to the Proprietary Products Sliding Scale for Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary Orders.

Transaction fees for Sector Index options will be as follows (all listed rates are per contract):

---

13 See Cboe Options Fees Schedule, Order Router Subsidy Program and Complex Order Router Subsidy Program table and Footnotes 29 and 30.
14 See Cboe Options Fees Schedule, Footnote 35.
15 See Cboe Options Fees Schedule, Footnote 25.
16 See Cboe Options Fees Schedule, Specified Proprietary Index Options Rate Table – Underlying Symbol List A and Sector Indexes.
17 See id.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer (origin code C)</th>
<th>$0.30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary (origin codes F and L)</td>
<td>$0.25&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market-Maker (origin code M)</td>
<td>Liquidity Provider Sliding Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Back-Office, Broker-Dealer, Non-Trading Permit Holder Market-Maker, Professional/Voluntary Professional (origin codes BNWJ)</td>
<td>$0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Exchange also proposes to apply to Sector Index options the CFLEX Surcharge Fee of $0.10 per contract for all Sector Index option orders executed electronically on CFLEX, capped at $250 per trade (i.e., first 2,500 contracts per trade).<sup>19</sup> The CFLEX Surcharge Fee assists the Exchange in recouping the cost of developing and maintaining the CFLEX system. The Exchange notes that the CFLEX Surcharge Fee (and $250 cap) also applies to other proprietary index options, including products in Underlying Symbol List A.

The Exchange currently assesses an Index License Surcharge of $0.10 per contract for all non-customer orders for products in Underlying Symbol A except RUT and SPX. The Exchange proposes to assess a Surcharge of $0.10 per contract in order to recoup the costs associated with the Sector Index license. In order to promote and encourage trading of Sector Index options, the Exchange proposes to waive the Index License Surcharge for Sector Index option transactions.

---

<sup>18</sup> Currently, there is one line in the Specified Proprietary Index Options Rate Table for Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary, pursuant to which all products subject to that table (Underlying Symbol List A) were [sic] subject to the Proprietary Products Sliding Scale for Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary Orders. The proposed rule change divides Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary line in the transaction rate table into two, indicating that Underlying Symbol List A will continue to be subject to the sliding scale, and Sector Indexes will be $0.25.

<sup>19</sup> See id. [sic]
through June 30, 2018.\textsuperscript{20}

2. **Statutory Basis**

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.\textsuperscript{21} Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)\textsuperscript{22} requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)\textsuperscript{23} requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,\textsuperscript{24} which requires that Exchange rules provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its Trading Permit Holders and other persons using its facilities.

Particularly, the Exchange believes it is reasonable to charge different fee amounts to different user types in the manner proposed because the proposed fees are consistent with the price

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{20} See \textit{id.} [sic]
  \item \textsuperscript{21} 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).
  \item \textsuperscript{22} 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).
  \item \textsuperscript{23} \textit{id.}
  \item \textsuperscript{24} 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).
\end{itemize}
differentiation that exists today for other index products, including those in Underlying Symbol A. The Exchange also believes that the proposed fee amounts for Sector Index option orders are reasonable because the proposed fee amounts are the same already assessed for other proprietary products (i.e. OEX Weeklys and XEO Weeklys), as well as are within the range of amounts assessed for the Exchange’s other proprietary products.25

The Exchange believes that it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess lower fees to Customers as compared to certain other market participants except Market-Makers and Clearing Trading Permit Holders because Customer order flow enhances liquidity on the Exchange for the benefit of all market participants. Specifically, customer liquidity benefits all market participants by providing more trading opportunities, which attracts Market-Makers. An increase in the activity of these market participants in turn facilitates tighter spreads, which may cause an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants. The fees offered to customers are intended to attract more customer trading volume to the Exchange. Moreover, the options industry has a long history of providing preferential pricing to Customers, and the Exchange’s current Fees Schedule currently does so in many places, as do the fees structures of many other exchanges. Finally, all fee amounts listed as applying to Customers will be applied equally to all Customers (meaning that all Customers will be assessed the same amount).

The Exchange believes that it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to, [sic] assess lower fees to Market-Makers pursuant to the Liquidity Provider Sliding Scale as compared to other market participants because Market-Makers, unlike other market participants, take on a number of obligations, including quoting obligations, that other market participants do not have. Further, these lower fees offered to Market-Makers are intended to incent Market-Makers to quote and trade more

25 See Cboe Options Fees Schedule, Specified Proprietary Index Options Rate Table – Underlying Symbol A and Sector Indexes.
on the Exchange, thereby providing more trading opportunities for all market participants. Additionally, the proposed fee for Market-Makers will be applied equally to all Market-Makers (meaning that all Market-Makers will be subject to the Liquidity Provider Sliding Scale). This concept also applies to orders from all other origins. It should also be noted that all fee amounts described herein are intended to attract greater order flow to the Exchange in Sector Index options, which should therefore serve to benefit all Exchange market participants.

Similarly, it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess lower fees to Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary orders than those of other market participants (except Market-Makers) because Clearing Trading Permit Holders also have a number of obligations (such as membership with the Options Clearing Corporation), significant regulatory burdens, and financial obligations, that other market participants do not need to take on. The Exchange also notes that the Sector Index option fee amounts for each separate type of market participant will be assessed equally to all such market participants (i.e., all Broker-Dealer orders will be assessed the same amount, all Joint Back-Office orders will be assessed the same amount, etc.). The Exchange believes the proposed transaction fee of $0.25 per contract for Clearing Trading Permit Holders is reasonable, equitable, and not unfairly discriminatory because is comparable to the amount of transaction fees for Clearing Trading Permit Holders in other proprietary products.  

The Exchange believes the proposed transaction fees for Brokers Dealers, Non-Trading Permit Holder Market-Makers, Professionals/Voluntary Professionals, JBOs and Customers are reasonable because they are the same as those assessed for transactions in certain other proprietary

---

26 See Cboe Options Fee Schedule, Cboe Options Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary Products Sliding Scales Table. The maximum transaction fee per contract in the Table B (related to the VIX Sliding Scale) part of that table is $0.25.
The Exchange also notes that the Sector Index option fee amounts for each separate type of market participant will be assessed equally to all such market participants (i.e., all Broker-Dealer orders will be assessed the same amount, all Joint Back-Office orders will be assessed the same amount, etc.).

The Exchange believes that assessing an Index License Surcharge Fee of $0.10 per contract to Sector Index option transactions is reasonable because the Surcharge helps recoup some of the costs associated with the license for Sector Index options. Additionally, the Exchange notes that the Surcharge amount is the same as, and in some cases lower than, the amount assessed as an Index License Surcharge to other index products. The proposed Surcharge is also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the amount will be assessed to all market participants to whom the Surcharge applies. Not applying the Sector Index License Surcharge Fee to Customer orders is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because this is designed to attract Customer Sector Index option orders, which increases liquidity and provides greater trading opportunities to all market participants. The Exchange believes it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to waive the Index License Surcharge because it promotes and encourages trading of these new products and applies to all Trading Permit Holders.

Similarly, the Exchange believes assessing a CFLEX Surcharge Fee of $0.10 per contract for all Sector Index option orders executed electronically on CFLEX and capping it at $250 (i.e., first 2,500 contracts per trade) is reasonable because it is the same amount currently charged to other proprietary index products for the same transactions. The proposed Surcharge is also

27 Id.
28 See Cboe Options Fees Schedule, Index Options Rate Table - All Index Products Excluding Underlying Symbol List A and Sector Indexes, CFLEX Surcharge Fee and Specified Proprietary Index Options Rate Table - Underlying Symbol List A and Sector Indexes, CFLEX Surcharge Fee.
equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the amount will be assessed to all market participants to whom the CFLEX Surcharge applies.

Excepting VIP, the Marketing Fee, the Fee Cap, exemption from fees for facilitation orders, the AIM Contra Execution Fee, the CFLEX AIM Response Fee, the Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary and/or their Non-Trading Permit Holder Affiliates transaction fee cap for all non-facilitation business executed in AIM or open outcry, or as a QCC or FLEX transaction, the ORS and CORS Programs,\textsuperscript{29} the per contract per side surcharge for noncustomer complex order executions that remove liquidity from the COB and auction response in the complex order auction and AIM,\textsuperscript{30} and the calculation of qualifying volume for rebates for Floor Broker Trading Permit Holder Trading Permit Fees is reasonable because other proprietary products are excepted from those same items. This is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory for the same reason; it seems equitable to except Sector Index options from items on the Fees Schedule from which other proprietary products are also excepted.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on competition that are not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because, while different fees are assessed to different market participants in some circumstances, these different market participants have different obligations and different circumstances as discussed above. For example, Market-Makers have quoting obligations that other market

\textsuperscript{29} See Cboe Options Fees Schedule, Order Router Subsidy Program and Complex Order Router Subsidy Program table and Footnotes 29 and 30.

\textsuperscript{30} See Cboe Options Fees Schedule, Footnote 22.
participants do not have. The Exchange does not believe the proposed rule change to waive the Index License Surcharge through June 30, 2018 will impose any burden on intramarket competition because it applies to all Trading Permit Holders and encourages trading in these new products.

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because Sector Index options will be exclusively listed on Cboe Options. To the extent that the proposed changes make Cboe Options a more attractive marketplace for market participants at other exchanges, such market participants are welcome to become Cboe Options market participants.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4 thereof. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CBOE-2018-012 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2018-012. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without
change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2018-012, and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.\textsuperscript{33}

Eduardo A. Aleman
Assistant Secretary

\textsuperscript{33} 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).