UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

Applications of Enron Corp. for Exemptions Under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, (Nos. 70-9661 and 70-10056).

MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT


The Commission's Rules provide that "motions for oral argument with respect to whether to affirm all or part of an initial decision by a hearing officer shall be granted unless exceptional circumstances make oral argument impractical or inadvisable." Rule 451(a). Enron submits that no exceptional circumstances in this case make oral argument impractical or inadvisable. Rather, there are important and complex issues for the Commission to consider that require thorough and careful examination. Enron requests the opportunity to be heard on such issues.

Enron requests that the maximum time allowed under the Rules be afforded for its presentation. Enron further submits that it does not have, with respect to its petition for review, a

common interest with any other person and therefore it should not be required to share its allotted time with other parties or limited participants.

Wherefore, for the foregoing reasons, Enron respectfully requests that the Commission schedule oral arguments in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

______________________________
William S. Lamb
Charles A. Moore
Sonia C. Mendonca
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, L.L.P.
125 West 55th Street
New York, NY 10019-5389
Attorneys for Enron Corp.

Dated: July 21, 2003