In February 2018, the Division of Enforcement (the “Division”) announced the Share Class Selection Disclosure Initiative (the “SCSD Initiative”), a self-reporting program designed to address potentially widespread violations of the federal securities laws resulting from investment advisers failing to make required disclosures relating to their selection of mutual fund share classes that paid the advisers (as dually registered broker-dealers) or their related entities or individuals a fee pursuant to Rule 12b-1 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“12b-1” fee) when a lower-cost share class for the same fund was available to clients.¹

Pursuant to the SCSD Initiative, the Division determined to recommend that the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) accept settlement offers from investment advisers that self-reported certain violations and that agreed to consent to certain standardized settlement terms.

II.

The Commission has issued separate orders ("SCSD Orders") instituting administrative and cease-and-desist proceedings against certain registered investment advisers that self-reported in the SCSD Initiative (the “SCSD Investment Advisers”). These proceedings are consistent with the previously-announced terms of the SCSD Initiative and are brought pursuant to Sections 203(e) and 203(k) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act") for willful violations of Section 206(2) of the Advisers Act for failure to disclose in Form ADV or otherwise the conflicts of interest related to (a) the receipt of 12b-1 fees, and/or (b) the selection of mutual fund share classes that pay such fees. Specifically, the SCSD Investment Advisers failed to give their clients sufficient information so that the client could understand the conflicts of interest the adviser had concerning its advice about investing in the different classes of mutual funds and have a basis on which they could consent to or reject such conflicted transactions. The SCSD Orders, which state that they are being issued pursuant to the SCSD Initiative, will require that all respondents cease and desist from committing or causing any violations and any future violations of Sections 206(2) of the Advisers Act and undertake to review and correct (if necessary) all relevant disclosure documents concerning mutual fund share class disclosure, among other things. The SCSD Orders will trigger a number of disqualifications from exemptions available under the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”) for the SCSD Investment Advisers.

III.

Waivers of Disqualification Under Regulation A, Regulation D, and Regulation Crowdfunding

Rule 262(a) of Regulation A provides for disqualification from the Regulation A exemption from registration under the Securities Act for offerings if, among other things, the relevant entity is subject to a Commission order pursuant to Sections 203(e) or (f) of the Advisers Act that places limitations on that entity’s activities, functions, or operations. See 17 C.F.R. § 230.262(a)(4)(ii). Similarly, Rules 506(d) of Regulation D and 503(a) of Regulation Crowdfunding provide for disqualification from the Regulation D and Regulation Crowdfunding exemptions from registration under the Securities Act for certain offerings if, among other things, the relevant entity is subject to a Commission order pursuant to Sections 203(e) or (f) of the Advisers Act that places limitations on that entity’s activities, functions, or operations. See 17 C.F.R. §§ 230.506(d)(1)(iv)(B) and 227.503(a)(4)(ii).

The Commission has the authority to waive the disqualifications of Regulations A, D, and Crowdfunding upon a showing of good cause and without prejudice to any other action by the Commission, if the Commission determines that it is not necessary under the circumstances that an exemption be denied. See 17 C.F.R. §§ 230.262(b)(2), 230.506(d)(2)(ii), and 227.503(b)(2).

---

2 The list of SCSD Investment Advisers subject to this Order is included in an Appendix to this Order.

3 “12b-1 fees” are recurring fees paid by a mutual fund out of fund assets to cover distribution expenses and sometimes shareholder service expenses.
Waiver from Regulation E Disqualification

Regulation E provides an exemption from registration under the Securities Act, subject to certain conditions, for securities issued by certain small business investment companies and business development companies. Rule 602(c)(3) makes this exemption unavailable for the securities of an issuer if, among other things, any investment adviser or any underwriter of the securities to be offered is subject to an order of the Commission entered pursuant to Section 203(e) of the Advisers Act. See 17 C.F.R. § 230.602(c)(3). Rule 602(e) provides, however, that the disqualification shall not apply if the Commission determines, upon a showing of good cause, that it is not necessary under the circumstances that the exemption from registration pursuant to Regulation E be denied. See 17 C.F.R. § 230.602(e).

Good Cause

In light of the participation of the SCSD Investment Advisers in the SCSD Initiative and their agreement to consent to its terms, assuming the SCSD Investment Advisers comply with the terms of the SCSD Orders, and in light of the benefits of the SCSD Initiative discussed herein, the Commission has determined that good cause exists for not denying the various exemptions from registration discussed herein.

IV.

Based on the foregoing, the Commission has determined that pursuant to Rules 262(b)(2), 506(d)(2)(ii), and 602(e) of the Securities Act, and Rule 503(b)(2) of Regulation Crowdfunding, the requisite showings of good cause have been made. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Rules 262(b)(2), 506(d)(2)(ii), and 602(e) of the Securities Act, and Rule 503(b)(2) of Regulation Crowdfunding, that waivers from the application of the disqualification provisions of Rules 262(a)(4)(ii), 506(d)(1)(iv)(B), and 602(c)(3) of the Securities Act and Rule 503(a)(4)(ii) of Regulation Crowdfunding, resulting from the entry of the SCSD Orders against certain SCSD Investment Advisers are hereby granted to the SCSD Investment Advisers as reflected in the attached appendix. Nothing in this Order shall effect any pre-existing disqualification under the above provisions and nothing in this Order shall be interpreted to waive or limit any conditions or undertakings which are in place as a result of any prior waiver granted to any SCSD Investment Adviser. Failure to comply with the terms of an SCSD Order would require us to revisit our determination that good cause has been shown and could constitute grounds to revoke or further condition the waiver. The Commission reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to revoke or further condition the waiver under those circumstances.
Because of the unique nature of the SCSD Initiative, this Order and the circumstances under which it was issued shall not be relied upon by any entity that may seek a waiver in the future from the disqualifications discussed herein.

By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary

Appendix A: SCSD Investment Advisers
Appendix A
(Waivers from disqualification under Regulations A, D, E, and Crowdfunding)

The SCSD Investment Advisers

Comprehensive Capital Management, Inc.
Henley & Company Wealth Management, LLC
IC Advisory Services, Inc.
Independent Financial Group, LLC
IPG Investment Advisors, LLC
Saxony Capital Management, LLC
Wedbush Securities, Inc.