February 5, 2016

VIA COURIER AND FAX

Mr. Brent J. Fields
Secretary
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20549-1090

Re: Susquehanna International Group, LLP et al. Motion to Expedite the Commission’s Ruling on the Pending Motion to Reinstitute the Automatic Stay and Supporting Brief

Dear Mr. Fields:

Petitioners BATS Global Markets, Inc., BOX Options Exchange LLC, KCG Holdings, Inc., Miami International Securities Exchange Group, and Susquehanna International Group, LLP and its affiliated and related entities, (collectively “Petitioners”), hereby file the enclosed Motion to Expedite the Commission’s Ruling on the Pending Motion to Reinstitute the Automatic Stay and supporting brief. The original and three copies are enclosed.

The enclosed Motion and supporting brief have been served by facsimile on each party of the proceeding in accordance with 17 C.F.R. § 201.150, and as reflected in the Certificate of Service attached.

Very truly yours,

Joseph C. Lombard
Counsel for Susquehanna International Group, LLP

Enclosures

Cc: Division of Trading and Markets (by facsimile, w/ encl.)
    Petitioners and OCC (by facsimile, w/ encl.)
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Joseph C. Lombard, counsel for Susquehanna International Group, LLP, hereby certify that on February 5, 2016, I served copies of the attached Motion to Expedite the Commission’s Ruling on the Pending Motion to Reinstitute the Automatic Stay and supporting brief by way of facsimile and Federal Express on the parties and sent the original and three copies by way of facsimile and hand delivery to the Secretary at the following addresses:

Brent J. Fields
Secretary
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F. Street N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20549-1090
Facsimile: 202-722-9324

Lisa J. Fall
President
BOX Options Exchange LLC
101 Arch Street, Suite 610
Boston, MA 02110
Facsimile: 617-235-2253

William J. Nissen
Sidley Austin LLP
One South Dearborn Street
Chicago, IL 60603
Facsimile: 312-853-7036

John A. McCarthy
General Counsel
KCG Holdings, Inc.
545 Washington Boulevard
Jersey City, NJ 07310
Facsimile: 201-557-8024

Barbara J. Comly
Executive Vice President, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary
MIAX
7 Roszel Road, Suite 5-A
Princeton, NJ 08540
Facsimile: 609-987-2201

Eric Swanson
General Counsel & Secretary
BATS Global Markets, Inc.
8050 Marshall Drive, Suite 120
Lenexa, KS 66125
Facsimile: 913-815-7119

Dated: February 5, 2016

Joseph C. Lombard
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petitions of: BATS Global Markets, Inc.
BOX Options Exchange LLC
KCG Holdings, Inc.
Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC and
Susquehanna International Group, LLP

File No. SR-OCC-2015-02

MOTION TO EXPEDITE THE COMMISSION'S RULING ON THE PENDING MOTION TO REINSTITUTE THE AUTOMATIC STAY


The Commission is currently reviewing the Division of Trading and Markets’ March 6, 2015 order (the “Approval Order”) approving, pursuant to delegated authority, a capital raising plan proposed by the Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”). Notwithstanding the Commission’s pending review, OCC recently announced that it will imminently distribute $161 million in refunds and dividends, which will be extremely impractical to claw back if the Commission reverses the Approval Order. Accordingly, failure to reinstitute the automatic stay prior to those imminent distributions would preclude meaningful Commission review and result in a fait accompli for the industry and investors.

Wherefore, the Petitioners pray for the Commission to expedite its ruling on Petitioners’ pending motion to reinstitute the automatic stay of the Approval Order.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Joseph C. Lombard
MURPHY & McGONIGLE, P.C.
Joseph C. Lombard
James P. Dombach
555 13th Street N.W.
Suite 410
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: (202) 661-7028
Facsimile: (202) 661-7053
Joseph.Lombard@mmlawus.com
James.Dombach@mmlawus.com

Theodore R. Snyder
Michael V. Rella
1185 Avenue of the Americas
21st Floor
New York, NY 10036
Telephone: (212) 880-3976
Facsimile: (212) 825-9828
Theodore.Snyder@mmlawus.com
Michael.Rella@mmlawus.com
Counsel for Susquehanna International Group, LLP

February 5, 2016

/s/ Eric Swanson
Eric Swanson
General Counsel & Secretary
BATS Global Markets, Inc.
8050 Marshall Drive, Suite 120
Lenexa, KS 66125

/s/ John A. McCarthy
John A. McCarthy
General Counsel
KCG Holdings, Inc.
545 Washington Boulevard
Jersey City, NJ 07310

/s/ Lisa J. Fall
Lisa J. Fall
President
BOX Options Exchange LLC
101 Arch Street, Suite 610
Boston, MA 02110

/s/ Barbara J. Comly
Barbara J. Comly
Executive Vice President, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary
Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC
7 Roszel Road, Suite 5-A
Princeton, NJ 08540
Before the 
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petitions of: 
BATS Global Markets, Inc. 
BOX Options Exchange LLC 
KCG Holdings, Inc. 
Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC and 
Susquehanna International Group, LLP 

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXPEDITE THE COMMISSION'S RULING ON THE PENDING MOTION TO REINSTITUTE THE AUTOMATIC STAY
Petitioners BATS Global Markets, Inc., BOX Options Exchange LLC, KCG Holdings, Inc., Miami International Securities Exchange Group, and Susquehanna International Group, LLP and its affiliated and related entities ("SIG"), (collectively "Petitioners"), respectfully submit this memorandum in support of their motion to expedite the Securities and Exchange Commission’s (the “Commission”) ruling on their pending motion to reinstitute the automatic stay.

INTRODUCTION

On December 17, 2015, the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") issued a press release announcing its plans to imminently distribute $161 million of rebates and dividends, and to reduce its clearing fees by approximately 19% on March 1, 2016 (the “Press Release”). A copy of the Press Release is annexed as Exhibit A. This announcement relates directly to the Commission’s pending review of action taken by the staff of the Division of Trading and Markets (the “Staff”) by delegated authority that approved OCC’s capital raising plan (the “Plan”), and brings new urgency to Petitioners’ pending motion to reinstitute the automatic stay of the approval order pending the Commission’s review.

SIG brought the Press Release to the Commission’s attention in a December 22, 2015 letter (copy annexed as Exhibit B), urging the Commission to prevent the irreparable harm that would result from OCC’s planned massive distributions by immediately granting Petitioners’ motion to reinstitute the automatic stay of the Staff’s approval order. To date, however, the Commission has not ruled on Petitioners’ motion, which has been pending for nearly five months. OCC’s massive distributions are now imminent, and if they are allowed to proceed, it will be extremely impractical to undo them if the Commission reverses the approval order. Reinstitution of the automatic stay is therefore needed to preserve the status quo and avoid
undermining the Commission’s ability to meaningfully review the Staff’s approval order. In light of the significance and urgency of this matter, Petitioners respectfully request that the Commission expedite its ruling, and immediately grant Petitioners’ motion to reinstitute the automatic stay.

BACKGROUND

A. OCC’s Proposed Capital Raising Plan

The Commission is currently reviewing the March 6, 2015 order issued by the Division of Trading and Markets pursuant to delegated authority (the “Approval Order”) approving the Plan for OCC to raise $150 million in capital contributions from its five exchange owners (the “Exchange Owners”). OCC claimed that it needed the $150 million in capital contributions to achieve its self-imposed $247 million “target capital requirement” because if it relied solely on charging higher fees, it could not achieve its target until 2017.

As Petitioners have pointed out, the Plan is wholly unnecessary because OCC has achieved or nearly achieved its stated capital target without the capital contributions. Ironically, OCC’s capital position is so improved that it plans to make the $161 million in distributions announced in the Press Release, $11 million more than the $150 million capital infusion it repeatedly argued was so imminently important to its viability. Moreover, in exchange for their capital contributions, the Plan provides the Exchange Owners with an estimated average annual return of more than 20% over the next decade, 30% thereafter, and continuing to gradually increase onward; totaling several billion dollars in the next few decades at the expense of industry participants and the investing public.
B. Petitioners Move to Reinstitute the Automatic Stay During the Pendency of the Commission's Review

The Approval Order was automatically stayed by the Commission’s Rules of Practice following the March 12-13, 2015 filings by Petitioners of timely notices of intention to petition for Commission review of the Approval Order. On September 10, 2015, the Commission granted the petitions for review, finding that the Petitioners “are aggrieved by the Approval Order.” Yet that same day, the Commission granted OCC’s motion to lift the automatic stay, allowing OCC to move forward with implementing the Plan before the Commission completes its review.

On September 15, 2015, the Petitioners filed a motion to reinstitute the automatic stay, noting the incongruity of the lifting of the stay while simultaneously granting review of the Approval Order. The Petitioners warned that lifting the automatic stay would make it practically impossible for the Commission to meaningfully review the Plan because once OCC took steps to implement it, the Plan would become an irreversible fait accompli. The Petitioners’ motion to reinstitute the stay was fully briefed as of September 25, 2015, and remains pending.\(^1\)

Pursuant to the Commission’s September 10, 2015 Order and the Commission’s Rules of Practice, on October 7, 2015, Petitioners and other industry participants submitted vigorous and uniform opposition to OCC’s proposed Plan; only OCC made a submission in support of the Plan. The Commission’s review of the Approval Order remains pending.

In light of OCC’s contemplated massive capital distributions, SIG inquired with the Commission’s Secretary about the status of its motion to reinstitute the automatic stay on February 2, 2016. The Secretary responded that the Commission had received the motion,\(^1\)

---

\(^1\) On October 7, 2015, Petitioners also filed a motion to refer this matter to a hearing officer and to direct discovery in advance of the hearing to develop the record in this matter. That motion is also fully briefed, and remains pending.
although he was “not at liberty to provide further comment regarding its status or any expected timing” on a ruling. A copy of the email correspondence is annexed as Exhibit C.

C. **OCC Announces it Will Distribute $161 million, Notwithstanding the Commission’s Ongoing Review of the Plan**

The Press Release discloses that OCC will imminently distribute *more than $161 million* in dividends and rebates — *$11 million more than the $150 million of capital contributions it claimed was so urgently needed under the Plan*. OCC proposes to pay a:

(i) 2015 refund of $39 million to clearing members;
(ii) 2015 “special refund” of $72 million to clearing members;
(iii) 2014 refund of $33.3 million to clearing members; and
(iv) $17 million “dividend” to the Exchange Owners.

OCC will also reduce its clearing fees by 19%, thereby further reducing its earning power, but notably falling far short of re-installing fee levels that were in place prior to April 1, 2014.

D. **OCC’s Distributions and Fee Reductions Will Take Place Imminently**

OCC’s extraordinary capital distributions will take place imminently. OCC stated that the 2014 refund and 2015 “special refund” to clearing members, totaling more than $105 million, will be paid “as soon as practicable in 2016.” It also stated that the 2015 refund to clearing members and the dividend to Exchange Owners, totaling $56 million, will be paid “following issuance of OCC’s financial statements.” In the past five years, OCC has published its financial statements between February 8 and 27,\(^2\) and

OCC’s rules require it to publish financial statements by February 29, 2016. Accordingly, the publication of OCC’s financial statements – and the $161 million in distributions – may occur any day, if not stayed. Moreover, OCC’s 19% fee reduction is scheduled to take place on March 1, 2016.

**ARGUMENT**

**The Commission Should Expedite its Ruling on Petitioners’ Pending Motion to Reinstitute the Automatic Stay**

OCC’s Press Release demonstrates the pressing need for the Commission to promptly rule on Petitioners’ motion to reinstitute the automatic stay. If not stopped, OCC’s imminent $161 million in distributions will essentially cement the controversial Plan, which is uniformly opposed by industry commentators, before the Commission has completed reviewing the propriety of the Plan and considered whether it is consistent with the mandates of the securities laws. Once the actions outlined in the Press Release are taken, they will be extremely impracticable to reverse, thereby precluding meaningful Commission review and resulting in a *fait accompli* for the industry and investors. For example, the logistical issues of reversing OCC’s actions include:

(i) reversing and reclaiming at least $17 million in dividends OCC will imminently pay to the Exchange Owners;

(ii) resolving issues associated with taxes that the Exchange Owners pay on those dividends;

(iii) clawing back at least $144.3 million in refunds that OCC will imminently pay to clearing members; and

(iv) potentially reversing the 19% fee decrease that OCC will implement on March 1, 2016.

---

³ See OCC Rule 213.
Petitioners’ pending motion to reinstate the automatic stay would halt these actions by maintaining the status quo, thereby accomplishing the purpose of Rule 431(e), a longstanding provision of the Commission’s Rules of Practice. During that time, the Commission could complete the review of the Approval Order, without risk that its decision could be rendered moot in the interim. In light of OCC’s announced plan to imminently move forward with massive capital distributions, that risk will soon become a reality.

**CONCLUSION**

Immediate action is required to prevent the irreparable harm that would result from OCC proceeding with the massive distribution of capital announced in the Press Release. This imminent distribution would render the Plan a *fait accompli*. Accordingly, Petitioners respectfully request that the Commission expedite its ruling on Petitioners’ pending motion to reinstate the automatic stay, and grant the motion.
Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Joseph C. Lombard
MURPHY & McGONIGLE, P.C.
Joseph C. Lombard
James P. Dombach
555 13th Street N.W.
Suite 410
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: (202) 661-7028
Facsimile: (202) 661-7053
Joseph.Lombard@mmlawus.com
James.Dombach@mmlawus.com
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545 Washington Boulevard
Jersey City, NJ 07310

/s/ Lisa J. Fall
Lisa J. Fall
President
BOX Options Exchange LLC
101 Arch Street, Suite 610
Boston, MA 02110

/s/ Barbara J. Comly
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EXHIBIT A
OCC Declares Clearing Member Refund and Dividend for 2015 and Reduction of Fees under Approved Capital Plan

Special Refund for 2015 Also Declared

CHICAGO (December 17, 2015) – OCC (http://www.theocc.com), the world’s largest equity derivatives clearing organization, today announced the declaration of a refund, dividend, and fee reduction under its approved capital plan. The refund of approximately $39 million to clearing members and the dividend of approximately $17 million to Stockholder Exchanges will be paid in Q1 2016 following issuance of OCC’s financial statements. The new fee schedule, included below, will commence on March 1, 2016, and will result in a reduction in the average clearing fee of approximately 19% from current levels.

OCC also announced a special refund of 2015 clearing fees of approximately $72 million. The special refund represents the excess of 2015 pre-tax net income over OCC’s target revenue based on achievement of a 25% business risk buffer. Payment of the 2015 special refund, and the previously declared 2014 refund of $33.3 million, will be made as soon as practicable in 2016 upon further determination that the 2015 special refund and 2014 refund amounts will not cause OCC’s capital to fall below its total equity capital resource requirement of $247 million.

The SEC has approved OCC’s capital plan and more recently issued an order discontinuing the automatic stay that resulted from the filings of various petitions for review of the approval order. In doing so, the SEC noted that strengthening the capitalization of OCC is a compelling public interest and that the concerns raised by the petitioners did not justify continuation of the stay. "Our actions taken under the approved capital plan are consistent with the operative fee, refund, and dividend policies approved by the SEC and align with regulatory expectations under the approval order," said Craig Donohue (http://about/corporate-information/executives/bio_donohue.jsp), OCC Executive Chairman. He added, "It will also ensure OCC has the amount of capital needed to comply with existing and proposed capital requirements."

The following schedule of revised fees will be effective as of March 1, 2016.

SCHEDULE OF REVISED FEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>New Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-500</td>
<td>$0.050/per contract</td>
<td>$0.041/per contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501-1000</td>
<td>$0.040/per contract</td>
<td>$0.032/per contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001-2000</td>
<td>$0.030/per contract</td>
<td>$0.024/per contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2000</td>
<td>$55.00/per trade</td>
<td>$46.00/per trade</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Market maker/specialist scratch and linkage fees per side will remain unchanged at $0.020.
Additionally, the board has approved a flat fee schedule that will be separately implemented following OCC's regulatory filing with the SEC. The flat fee schedule will provide greater simplicity and preserve the approximately 19% fee reduction described above.

About OCC

OCC is the world's largest equity derivatives clearing organization and the foundation for secure markets. Founded in 1973, OCC operates under the jurisdiction of both the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC). OCC now provides central counterparty (CCP) clearing and settlement services to 18 exchanges and trading platforms for options, financial futures, security futures and securities lending transactions. More information about OCC is available at www.theocc.com (http://www.theocc.com/).

1 For information about the approved capital plan, see http://www.theocc.com/about/newsroom/releases/2015/09_11.jsp
   (/about/newsroom/releases/2015/09_11.jsp).

2 Amounts for the 2015 refund, dividend, and special refund are based on 2015 projections and subject to change based on the completion of OCC's annual financial statements.

3 Pro-ration based on Stockholder Exchange funding commencing on March 3, 2015.

This web site discusses exchange-traded options issued by The Options Clearing Corporation. No statement in this web site is to be construed as a recommendation to purchase or sell a security, or to provide investment advice. Options involve risk and are not suitable for all investors. Prior to buying or selling an option, a person must receive a copy of Characteristics and Risks of Standardized Options (/about/publications/character-risks.jsp). Copies of this document may be obtained from your broker, from any exchange on which options are traded or by contacting The Options Clearing Corporation, One North Wacker Dr., Suite 500, Chicago, IL 60606 (investorservices@theocc.com (mailto:investorservices@theocc.com)).

© 2015 The Options Clearing Corporation. All rights reserved.
EXHIBIT B
VIA COURIER AND FAX

Mr. Brent J. Fields
Secretary
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20549-1090

Re: File No. SR-OCC-2015-02

Dear Mr. Fields:

We write on behalf of Susquehanna International Group, LLP and its affiliated and related entities ("SIG," and together with the other petitioners in the referenced matter, the "Petitioners") to notify the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or the "Commission") of the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") recent press release announcing its imminent and extraordinary plans to distribute $161 million in the form of rebates and dividends. This new information relates directly to the Commission’s pending review of action taken by the staff of the Division of Trading and Markets by delegated authority that approved OCC’s capital plan (the "Plan"), and to Petitioners’ pending motions to reinstitute the automatic stay of the approval order and to refer this matter to a hearing officer and to direct discovery in advance of the hearing. For the Commission’s convenience, a copy of the OCC press release issued on December 17, 2015 (the "Press Release") is enclosed.

As described below, the Press Release further underscores the pressing need for the Commission to reverse the staff’s approval of the proposed Plan. The Press Release confirms Petitioners’ arguments that the Plan is wholly unnecessary because OCC already has ample, less expensive capital, and repudiates many of OCC’s arguments to justify the Plan. More specifically, the 2015 special distribution of $72 million (which coincidentally matches exactly OCC’s 2014 post-tax net income) coupled with the additional declared rebates and dividends exceed $161 million. These distributions are designed to clear OCC’s books of revenues amassed over the past 21 months and pave the way for OCC to “justify” borrowing $150 million from its five stockholder exchange owners (the “Exchange Owners”) at rates that will soon exceed 30%. There is no longer any doubt that the Exchange Owners’ goal is to monetize OCC for their own benefit at the expense of public investors.

At a minimum, the Commission should prevent the harm that would result from OCC’s proposed massive distributions by immediately reinstituting the automatic stay of the staff’s approval order pending its review. Additional evidence should also be developed through referral to a hearing officer to develop an adequate record for the Commission’s review.
Background

The Commission is currently reviewing the March 6, 2015 order issued by the Division of Trading and Markets pursuant to delegated authority (the “Approval Order”) approving the Plan for OCC to raise $150 million in capital contributions from its Exchange Owners. OCC claimed that the $150 million in capital contributions was necessary to achieve its self-imposed $247 million “target capital requirement” because if it relied solely on fees, it could not achieve its target until 2017. In exchange for their capital contributions, the Plan provides for the Exchange Owners to receive an estimated average annual return of more than 20% over the next decade and 30% thereafter, totaling several billion dollars in the next few decades.

The Approval Order was automatically stayed by operation of a longstanding provision of the Commission’s Rules of Practice following the Petitioners’ March 12-13, 2015 filings of timely notices of intention to petition for Commission review of the Approval Order. On September 10, 2015, the Commission granted the petitions for review, finding that the Petitioners “are aggrieved by the Approval Order.” Yet that same day, the Commission granted OCC’s motion to lift the automatic stay, thereby allowing OCC to move forward with implementing the Plan before the Commission completes its review.

On September 15, 2015, the Petitioners filed a motion to reinstitute the automatic stay, noting the incongruity of the lifting of the stay while simultaneously granting review of the Approval Order, and arguing that OCC would soon reach its target capital requirement without the Exchange Owners’ capital contributions. In short, Petitioners argued that OCC’s rapid accumulation of capital through fees had obviated the need for the Plan. The Petitioners also warned, presciently as is now apparent, that lifting the automatic administrative stay would render meaningful review by the Commission practically impossible because the Plan, if implemented, would become an irreversible fait accompli. The Petitioners’ motion to reinstitute the stay was briefed fully as of September 25, 2015, and remains pending.

On October 7, 2015, Petitioners submitted to the Commission their respective statements in support of reversing the Approval Order, and contemporaneously filed a joint motion to refer this matter to a hearing officer and to direct discovery in advance of the hearing to develop the record in this matter. That motion also is briefed fully, and remains pending. As set forth below, OCC’s Press Release makes plain the pressing need for the Commission to address promptly Petitioner’s Motion to Reinstitute the Automatic Stay, and to prevent OCC from cementing the controversial Plan while the Commission reviews the substantial and fundamental challenges to the Plan’s structure and legality.

The Press Release Confirms that the Plan is Wholly Unnecessary

Notably, OCC’s Press Release fails to mention that the Commission has decided to review the Approval Order based on the Petitioners’ contention that the Plan is inconsistent with

---

1 As set forth in its Statement in Opposition to the Approval Order, SIG disputes OCC’s claimed need for $247 million in capital. But even accepting that figure, OCC has already nearly achieved that requirement without implementing the Plan. See SIG Statement in Opposition to Approval Order at 9-13.

2 OCC stated that, alternatively, it would have to increase transaction fees by 162% (on top of its previously imposed 70% fee hike) in order to raise the additional capital organically. This assertion was plainly false, as demonstrated by OCC’s current capital levels, which have risen to the point where OCC has determined to fund distributions of $161 million.
the Exchange Act. The Exchange Owners apparently remain committed, despite the
Commission’s decision to review the adequacy of the Plan and the uniform opposition of
industry commenters, to press forward with the unnecessary and self-serving Plan. Specifically,
OCC’s Press Release discloses that OCC proposes to pay out more than $161 million in
dividends and rebates – $11 million more than the $150 million of capital contributions it
claimed was so urgently needed under the Plan. OCC proposes to pay:

(i) a 2015 refund of $39 million to clearing members in Q1 2016;
(ii) a 2015 “special refund” of $72 million to clearing members “as soon as
practicable in 2016”;
(iii) a 2014 refund of $33.3 million to clearing members “as soon as practicable in
2016”; and
(iv) a $17 million “dividend” to the Exchange Owners in Q1 2016.

OCC also says it is reducing clearing fees by 19% beginning March 1, 2016, thereby further
reducing its earning power, but notably falling far short of re-installing pre-April 1, 2014 fees.

The Press Release confirms that the Plan and the Exchange Owners’ resulting $150 million capital contribution is wholly unnecessary because, just as the Petitioners predicted, OCC is able to timely achieve its target capital requirement as a result of its 70% fee increase that became effective as of April 1, 2014. But OCC still moved forward with accepting $150 million in capital contributions from its Exchange Owners, only to now announce months later that it is paying out more than $161 million. Again, just as Petitioners argued, OCC is essentially replacing what is cost-free capital to OCC with expensive capital that earns 20%-30% annually.

The Press Release demonstrates what Petitioners have contended all along: the Plan should be rejected because it is wholly unnecessary for OCC to reach its target capital requirement and it forever ends OCC’s status as a not-for-profit utility acting for the benefit of all market participants. It is now painfully obvious that implementing the Plan would serve only a distinct, narrow, and self-serving purpose – to enable the Exchange Owners to monetize OCC’s monopoly status and receive billions in dividends over the next few decades at the expense of OCC and the investing public. Indeed, the initial $17 million dividend announced in the Press Release would be just the tip of the dividend iceberg that would provide the Exchange Owners with outsized returns in perpetuity. This extraordinary windfall simply cannot be rooted in any rational way in the public interest but rather serves only to provide an excessive “return” to the Exchange Owners. OCC will have the capital it claimed was necessary without the Plan, and without having to pay any dividends.

The Press Release thus illustrates how implementing the Plan would impose significant and unnecessary costs on OCC. The Plan requires OCC to exchange non-dividend paying or interest bearing funds accumulated through fee revenues for a like amount of unnecessary capital contributions from the Exchange Owners that will accrue extraordinary annual dividend obligations. Accordingly, instead of “strengthening the capitalization of” OCC, which was the Commission’s basis for lifting the automatic stay, the Plan will ultimately cost OCC billions in dividends over the next few decades. The Plan’s true purpose, therefore, is not to allow OCC to achieve its target capital requirement; instead, it is to enrich the Exchange Owners, and this windfall will come at the expense of OCC, other market participants, and ultimately the investing public.
Allowing OCC to Move Forward with the Steps in the Press Release will Result in a Fait Accompli

OCC’s Press Release also confirms another one of Petitioners’ arguments: if the automatic stay is not immediately reinstituted, OCC will “aggressively move forward to implement the Plan, resulting in a fait accompli for the industry and investors before the Commission conducts the meaningful review that the Exchange Act requires.” That is exactly what OCC is now attempting to do by making the payments outlined in its Press Release.

OCC knows that it would be “extremely impracticable” to reverse the Plan once it is implemented. Among other things, the logistical issues would include:

(i) reversing and reclaiming at least $17 million in dividends OCC will pay to the Exchange Owners in 1Q 2016;
(ii) resolving issues associated with taxes paid by the Exchange Owners on those dividends;
(iii) clawing back at least $144.3 million in refunds from clearing members that OCC will pay in 1Q 2016 or “as soon as practicable in 2016”; and
(iv) reversing the 19% fee decrease that OCC will implement on March 1, 2016.

The Commission Should Immediately Stay Further Implementation of the Plan, Require Further Development of the Record, and Reverse the Approval Order

The Commission should grant the Petitioners’ fully briefed motion to reinstitute the automatic stay. The Press Release confirms what Petitioners have been arguing and OCC has been denying – that OCC has sufficient capital without implementing the unnecessary and expensive Plan. Immediate action is required to prevent the irreparable harm that would result from OCC’s proceeding with the imminent and massive distribution of capital announced in the Press Release, which would render the Plan a fait accompli.

The Press Release also contains new information illustrating OCC’s strong financial position, which is compelling evidence that the proposed Plan is unnecessary and that the Plan should be reversed. At a minimum, the Press Release raises further questions about the pertinent facts that should be developed before a hearing officer in accordance with Petitioners’ pending motion.

Sincerely,

Joseph C. Lombard

Enclosure

Cc: Division of Trading and Markets (by facsimile, w/ encl.)
Petitioners and OCC (by facsimile, w/ encl.)

3 See Petitioners’ Reply Brief to Mot. To Reinstitute Automate Stay at 10.
EXHIBIT C
Crimmins, Stephen J.

From: Fields, Brent J. <FieldsB@SEC.GOV>
Sent: Tuesday, February 02, 2016 4:21 PM
To: Crimmins, Stephen J.
Subject: RE: File No. SR-OCC-2015-02

Thank you, Steve. The Commission has received your motion and it is being considered. At this point, I am not at liberty to provide further comment regarding its status or any expected timing. Brent

From: Crimmins, Stephen J. [mailto:Stephen.Crimmins@mmlawus.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 02, 2016 11:52 AM
To: Fields, Brent J.
Cc: Lombard, Joseph; Rella, Michael; Snyder, Theodore; Dambach, James
Subject: File No. SR-OCC-2015-02

Mr. Fields – I write on behalf of Susquehanna International Group, LLP (“SIG”) to request a brief telephone call to inquire about the status of a pending motion filed 4.5 months ago in the referenced matter. Due to recent developments, the issues raised in that motion have become extremely urgent.

Specifically, on September 15, 2015, SIG and other petitioners moved to reinstate the automatic stay of an approval order, issued by the Division of Trading and Markets pursuant to delegated authority (the “Approval Order”) on March 6, 2015. The Approval Order approves a plan by the Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) to raise $150 million in capital contributions from its exchange owners (the “Plan”). On September 10, 2015, the Commission granted petitions to review the Approval Order. The Commission’s review is pending.

On December 17, 2015, OCC publicly announced that it is moving forward with implementing the Plan, despite the pendency of the Commission’s review. After OCC’s announcement, SIG reminded the Commission of its pending motion, and requested that the Commission “immediately” reinstate the automatic stay to prevent the irreparable harm that would result if OCC moves forward as planned. (See SIG Dec. 22, 2015 Letter, attached for your convenience). To date, however, the Commission has not ruled on our motion or responded to our letter.

As you may know from our December 22 submission, this is a matter of substantial significance and urgency. Accordingly, we would like to discuss the expected timing of a decision on our motion while we consider our next steps. Please let us know if you are available for a call this afternoon. We are generally available after 2:30pm. SIG respectfully requests your prompt response. As we are only inquiring as to timing, we do not see a need to include other counsel, but if you feel otherwise, we would not object to a conference call. Thank you.

Stephen J. Crimmins
MURPHY & MC Gonigle
555 13th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20004
1185 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036
(202) 661-7031 | (212) 880-3624 | M (202) 317-0037