

FINAL ORDER – THIS PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION BECAME THE FINAL ORDER OF THE COMMISSION ON MAY 8, 2015 PURSUANT TO RULE 21F-10(f) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

Notice of Covered Action 2013-51

In the Matter of Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., File No.: 3-15265 (Apr. 5, 2013)

Notice of Covered Action 2013-50

SEC v. Jorge Gomez and Roberto Aleph Espinosa, 1:12-cv-21962 (S.D. Fla. May 25, 2012)

Notice of Covered Action 2013-48

SEC v. Matthew John Ryan, et al., 1:10-cv-00513-NAM-RFT (N.D.N.Y. May 3, 2010)

Notice of Covered Action 2013-14

SEC v. Eli Lilly and Company, 1:12-cv-02045 (D.D.C. Dec. 20, 2012)

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION OF THE CLAIMS REVIEW STAFF

In response to the above-referenced Notices of Covered Action, the Securities and Exchange Commission received timely whistleblower award claims from ^{Claimant} (Claimant) for all four of the Covered Actions. Pursuant to Section 21F of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Rule 21F-10 promulgated thereunder, the Claims Review Staff has evaluated each of these claims in accordance with the criteria set forth in Rules 21F-1 through 21F-17. The Claims Review Staff sets forth its Preliminary Determination as follows.

The Claims Review Staff has preliminarily determined to recommend that the Commission deny an award to Claimant. Claimant did not provide information that led to the successful enforcement of any of the Covered Actions within the meaning of Section 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rules 21F-3(a)(3) and 21F-4(c) thereunder because Claimant did not:

1. cause the Commission to (i) commence an examination, (ii) open or reopen an investigation, or (iii) inquire into different conduct as part of a current Commission examination or investigation under Rule 21F-4(c)(1) of the Exchange Act; or
2. significantly contribute to the success of a Commission judicial or administrative enforcement action under Rule 21F-4(c)(2) of the Exchange Act.

By: Claims Review Staff

Date: March 9, 2015