SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Investment Company Act Release No. 29824; File No. 812-13869

Principal Funds, Inc., et al.; Notice of Application

September 29, 2011


Action: Notice of an application for an order pursuant to (a) section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“Act”) granting an exemption from sections 18(f) and 21(b) of the Act; (b) section 12(d)(1)(J) of the Act granting an exemption from section 12(d)(1) of the Act; (c) sections 6(c) and 17(b) of the Act granting an exemption from sections 17(a)(1), 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Act; and (d) section 17(d) of the Act and rule 17d-1 under the Act to permit certain joint arrangements.

Summary of the Application: Applicants request an order that would permit certain registered open-end management investment companies to participate in a joint lending and borrowing facility.

Applicants: Principal Funds, Inc. (“PFI”), Principal Variable Contracts Funds, Inc. (“PVC,” each of PFI and PVC a “Company” and collectively the “Companies”), and Principal Management Corporation (“PMC”).

Filing Dates: The application was filed on February 16, 2011, and amended on August 12, 2011. Applicants have agreed to file an amendment during the notice period, the substance of which is reflected in this notice.

Hearing or Notification of Hearing: An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission’s Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail.
Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on October 24, 2011, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer’s interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission’s Secretary.

Addresses: Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC, 20549-1090; Applicants: c/o Principal Financial Group, 680 8th Street, Des Moines, Iowa 50392.

For Further Information Contact: Bruce R. MacNeil, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551-6817 or Daniele Marchesani, Branch Chief, at (202) 551-6821 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

Supplementary Information: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission’s website by searching for the file number, or an applicant using the Company name box, at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm or by calling (202) 551-8090.

Applicants’ Representations:

1. Each Company is organized as a Maryland corporation and is registered under the Act as an open-end management investment company. Each Company consists of multiple series (“Funds”). The Funds are offered directly to the public as well as to certain separate accounts of Principal Life Insurance Company (“Principal Life”). PMC, an Iowa corporation, is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Principal Financial Group, Inc., the ultimate parent entity of Principal Life. PMC is registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (“Advisers Act”) and serves as the investment manager to the Funds. As investment
manager, PMC provides investment advisory and certain corporate administrative services to the Funds. 1

2. At any particular time, while some Funds are making short-term loans to banks or other entities by entering into repurchase agreements, or purchasing other short-term instruments, either directly or through the Joint Account (as defined below), other Funds may need to borrow money from the same or similar banks for temporary purposes to satisfy redemption requests, to cover unanticipated cash shortfalls such as a trade “fail” in which cash payment for a security sold by a Fund has been delayed, or for other temporary purposes. 2

3. When a Fund borrows money from a bank or under the Credit Agreement, it pays interest on the loan at a rate that is higher than the rate that is earned by other (non-borrowing) Funds on investments in repurchase agreements or other short-term instruments of the same maturity as the bank loan or loan under the Credit Agreement. Applicants assert that this differential represents the profit earned by the lender on loans and is not attributable to any material difference in the credit quality or risk of such transactions.

4. The Companies seek to enter into master interfund lending agreements (“Interfund Lending Agreements”) with each other on behalf of the Funds that would permit each Fund to

---

1 Applicants request that the relief apply to: (a) any Funds; (b) any other registered open-end investment company or series thereof (included in the term “Funds”) for which PMC or a person controlling, controlled by, or under common control (within the meaning of section 2(a)(9) of the Act) with PMC serves as investment adviser; and (c) any successor entity to PMC. The term “successor” is limited to entities that result from a reorganization into another jurisdiction or a change in the type of business organization. All entities that currently intend to rely on the requested relief are named as applicants. Any other entity that relies on the order in the future will comply with the terms and conditions set forth in the application.

2 Each Fund may deposit uninvested cash balances in a joint trading account administered by PMC (the “Joint Account”) for purposes of investing those balances in short-term instruments to the extent consistent with each participating Fund’s investment objectives, policies and restrictions. In addition, under a “Cash Management Program,” PMC may invest a Fund’s available cash and cash flows from investments in the Fund in stock index futures contracts or in the Joint Account. Finally, the Companies, on behalf of certain Funds, have entered into a credit agreement with certain lenders where such Funds have access to a joint line of credit (the “Credit Agreement”).
lend money directly to and borrow money directly from other Funds through a credit facility for temporary purposes (an “Interfund Loan”). The Companies’ money market Funds will not participate as borrowers in the proposed credit facility. Applicants state that the proposed credit facility would both reduce the Funds’ potential borrowing costs and enhance the ability of the lending Funds to earn higher rates of interest on their short-term lendings. Although the proposed credit facility would reduce the Funds’ need to borrow from banks, the Funds would be free to establish and maintain committed lines of credit or other borrowing arrangements with unaffiliated banks.

5. Applicants anticipate that the proposed credit facility would provide a borrowing Fund with significant savings at times when the cash position of the borrowing Fund is insufficient to meet temporary cash requirements. This situation could arise when shareholder redemptions exceed anticipated volumes and certain Funds have insufficient cash on hand to satisfy such redemptions. When the Funds liquidate portfolio securities to meet redemption requests, they often do not receive payment in settlement for up to three days (or longer for certain foreign transactions). However, redemption requests normally are effected immediately. The proposed credit facility would provide a source of immediate, short-term liquidity pending settlement of the sale of portfolio securities.

6. Applicants also anticipate that a Fund could use the proposed credit facility when a sale of securities “fails” due to circumstances beyond the Fund’s control, such as a delay in the delivery of cash to the Fund’s custodian or improper delivery instructions by the broker effecting the transaction. “Sales fails” may present a cash shortfall if the Fund has undertaken to purchase a security using the proceeds from securities sold. Alternatively, the Fund could “fail” on its intended purchase due to lack of funds from the previous sale, resulting in additional cost to the
Fund, or sell a security on a same-day settlement basis, earning a lower return on the investment. Use of the proposed credit facility under these circumstances would enable the Fund to have access to immediate short-term liquidity.

7. While bank borrowings could generally supply needed cash to cover unanticipated redemptions and sales fails, under the proposed credit facility, a borrowing Fund would pay lower interest rates than those that would be payable under short-term loans offered by banks. In addition, Funds making short-term cash loans directly to other Funds would earn interest at a rate higher than they otherwise could obtain from investing their cash in repurchase agreements or purchasing shares of a money market fund. Thus, applicants assert that the proposed credit facility would benefit both borrowing and lending Funds.

8. The interest rate to be charged to the Funds on any Interfund Loan (the “Interfund Loan Rate”) would be the average of the “Repo Rate” and the “Bank Loan Rate,” both as defined below. The Repo Rate for any day would be the highest rate available to a lending Fund, directly or through the Joint Account, from investment in overnight repurchase agreements. The Bank Loan Rate for any day would be calculated by PMC each day an Interfund Loan is made according to a formula established by each Fund’s board of directors (the “Board”) and intended to approximate the lowest interest rate at which bank short-term loans would be available to the Funds. The formula would be based upon a publicly available rate (e.g., federal funds plus 25 basis points) and would vary with this rate so as to reflect changing bank loan rates. The initial formula and any subsequent modifications to the formula would be subject to the approval of each Fund’s Board. In addition, each Fund’s Board would periodically review the continuing appropriateness of using the formula to determine the Bank Loan Rate, as well as the relationship between the Bank Loan Rate and current bank loan rates that would be available to the Funds.
9. The proposed credit facility would be administered by one or more investment, administrative and fund accounting personnel from PMC, a portfolio manager for the Companies’ money market Funds, which are sub-advised by Principal Global Investors, LLC, an affiliate of PMC, and a representative of the corporate treasury of Principal Life (collectively, the “Credit Facility Team”). No other portfolio manager of any Fund will serve as a member of the Credit Facility Team. Under the proposed credit facility, the portfolio managers for each participating Fund could provide standing instructions to participate daily as a borrower or lender. The Credit Facility Team on each business day would collect data on the uninvested cash and borrowing requirements of all participating Funds. Once it had determined the aggregate amount of cash available for loans and borrowing demand, the Credit Facility Team would allocate loans among borrowing Funds without any further communication from the portfolio managers of the Funds (other than the money market Fund portfolio manager acting in his or her capacity as a member of the Credit Facility Team). All allocations made by the Credit Facility Team will require the approval of at least one member of the Credit Facility Team, who is a high level employee, other than the money market Fund portfolio manager. Applicants anticipate that there typically will be far more available uninvested cash each day than borrowing demand. Therefore, after the Credit Facility Team has allocated cash for Interfund Loans, any remaining cash will be invested by PMC in the Joint Account or pursuant to the Cash Management Program in accordance with the instructions of the portfolio managers.

10. The Credit Facility Team would allocate borrowing demand and cash available for lending among the Funds on what the Credit Facility Team believes to be an equitable basis, subject to certain administrative procedures applicable to all Funds, such as the time of filing requests to participate, minimum loan lot sizes, and the need to minimize the number of
transactions and associated administrative costs. To reduce transaction costs, each loan normally would be allocated in a manner intended to minimize the number of participants necessary to complete the loan transaction. The method of allocation and related administrative procedures would be approved by each Fund’s Board, including a majority of directors who are not “interested persons” of the Fund, as that term is defined in section 2(a)(19) of the Act (“Independent Directors”), to ensure that both borrowing and lending Funds participate on an equitable basis.

11. PMC would: (a) monitor the Interfund Loan Rate and the other terms and conditions of the loans; (b) limit the borrowings and loans entered into by each Fund to ensure that they comply with the Fund’s investment policies and limitations; (c) ensure equitable treatment of each Fund; and (d) make quarterly reports to each Fund’s Board concerning any transactions by the Funds under the proposed credit facility and the Interfund Loan Rate charged.

12. PMC, through the Credit Facility Team, would administer the proposed credit facility as a disinterested fiduciary as part of its duties under the relevant advisory or administrative contract with each Fund and would receive no additional fee as compensation for its services in connection with the administration of the proposed credit facility. PMC may collect standard pricing, record keeping, bookkeeping and accounting fees associated with the transfer of cash and/or securities in connection with repurchase and lending transactions generally, including transactions effected through the proposed credit facility. Such fees would be no higher than those applicable for comparable bank loan transactions.

13. No Fund may participate in the proposed credit facility unless: (a) the Fund has obtained shareholder approval for its participation, if such approval is required by law; (b) the Fund has fully disclosed all material information concerning the credit facility in its prospectus
and/or statement of additional information; and (c) the Fund’s participation in the credit facility is consistent with its investment objectives, limitations and organizational documents.

14. In connection with the credit facility, applicants request an order under section 6(c) of the Act exempting them from the provisions of sections 18(f) and 21(b) of the Act; under section 12(d)(1)(J) of the Act exempting them from section 12(d)(1) of the Act; under sections 6(c) and 17(b) of the Act exempting them from sections 17(a)(1), 17(a)(2), and 17(a)(3) of the Act; and under section 17(d) of the Act and rule 17d-1 under the Act to permit certain joint arrangements.

Applicants’ Legal Analysis:

1. Section 17(a)(3) of the Act generally prohibits any affiliated person of a registered investment company, or affiliated person of an affiliated person, from borrowing money or other property from the registered investment company. Section 21(b) of the Act generally prohibits any registered management company from lending money or other property to any person, directly or indirectly, if that person controls or is under common control with that company. Section 2(a)(3)(C) of the Act defines an “affiliated person” of another person, in part, to be any person directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by, or under common control with, such other person. Section 2(a)(9) of the Act defines “control” as the “power to exercise a controlling influence over the management or policies of a company,” but excludes circumstances in which “such power is solely the result of an official position with such company.” Applicants state that the Funds may be under common control by virtue of having common investment advisers and/or by having common directors and officers.

2. Section 6(c) of the Act provides that an exemptive order may be granted where an exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of
investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. Section 17(b) of the Act authorizes the Commission to exempt a proposed transaction from section 17(a) provided that the terms of the transaction, including the consideration to be paid or received, are fair and reasonable and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned, and the transaction is consistent with the policy of the investment company as recited in its registration statement and with the general purposes of the Act. Applicants believe that the proposed arrangements satisfy these standards for the reasons discussed below.

3. Applicants assert that sections 17(a)(3) and 21(b) of the Act were intended to prevent a party with strong potential adverse interests to, and some influence over the investment decisions of, a registered investment company from causing or inducing the investment company to engage in lending transactions that unfairly inure to the benefit of such party and that are detrimental to the best interests of the investment company and its shareholders. Applicants assert that the proposed credit facility transactions do not raise these concerns because: (a) PMC, through the Credit Facility Team, would administer the program as a disinterested fiduciary as part of its duties under the relevant advisory or administrative contract with each Fund; (b) all Interfund Loans would consist only of uninvested cash reserves that the lending Fund otherwise would invest in the Joint Account or pursuant to the Cash Management Program; (c) the Interfund Loans would not involve a significantly greater risk than other such investments; (d) the lending Fund would receive interest at a rate higher than it could otherwise obtain through such other investments; and (e) the borrowing Fund would pay interest at a rate lower than otherwise available to it under its bank loan agreements and avoid the up-front commitment fees associated with committed lines of credit. Moreover, applicants assert that the other terms and
conditions that applicants propose also would effectively preclude the possibility of any Fund obtaining an undue advantage over any other Fund.

4. Section 17(a)(1) of the Act generally prohibits an affiliated person of a registered investment company, or any affiliated person of such a person, from selling securities or other property to the investment company. Section 17(a)(2) of the Act generally prohibits an affiliated person of a registered investment company, or any affiliated person of such a person, from purchasing securities or other property from the investment company. Section 12(d)(1) of the Act generally prohibits a registered investment company from purchasing or otherwise acquiring any security issued by any other investment company except in accordance with the limitations set forth in that section.

5. Applicants state that the obligation of a borrowing Fund to repay an Interfund Loan could be deemed to constitute a security for the purposes of sections 17(a)(1) and 12(d)(1) of the Act. Applicants also state that a pledge of assets in connection with an Interfund Loan could be construed as a purchase of the borrowing Fund’s securities or other property for purposes of section 17(a)(2) of the Act. Section 12(d)(1)(J) of the Act provides that the Commission may exempt persons or transactions from any provision of section 12(d)(1) if and to the extent that such exemption is consistent with the public interest and the protection of investors. Applicants contend that the standards under sections 6(c), 17(b), and 12(d)(1)(J) are satisfied for all the reasons set forth above in support of their request for relief from sections 17(a)(3) and 21(b) and for the reasons discussed below. Applicants also state that the requested relief from section 17(a)(2) of the Act meets the standards of section 6(c) and 17(b) because any collateral pledged to secure an Interfund Loan would be subject to the same conditions imposed by any other lender to a Fund that imposes conditions on the quality of or access to collateral for
a borrowing (if the lender is another Fund) or the same or better conditions (in any other circumstance).

6. Applicants state that section 12(d)(1) was intended to prevent the pyramiding of investment companies in order to avoid imposing on investors additional and duplicative costs and fees attendant upon multiple layers of investments. Applicants submit that the proposed credit facility does not involve these abuses. Applicants note that there will be no duplicative costs or fees to the Funds or their shareholders, and that PMC will receive no additional compensation for its services in administering the credit facility. Applicants also note that the purpose of the proposed credit facility is to provide economic benefits for all the participating Funds and their shareholders.

7. Section 18(f)(1) of the Act prohibits open-end investment companies from issuing any senior security except that a company is permitted to borrow from any bank, provided, that immediately after the borrowing, there is asset coverage of at least 300 per centum for all borrowings of the company. Under section 18(g) of the Act, the term “senior security” generally includes any bond, debenture, note or similar obligation or instrument constituting a security and evidencing indebtedness. Applicants request exemptive relief under section 6(c) from section 18(f)(1) to the limited extent necessary to implement the proposed credit facility (because the lending Funds are not banks).

8. Applicants believe that granting relief under section 6(c) is appropriate because the Funds would remain subject to the requirement of section 18(f)(1) that all borrowings of a Fund, including combined interfund and bank borrowings, have at least 300% asset coverage. Based on the conditions and safeguards described in the application, applicants also submit that
to allow the Funds to borrow from other Funds pursuant to the proposed credit facility is consistent with the purposes and policies of section 18(f)(1).

9. Section 17(d) of the Act and rule 17d-1 under the Act generally prohibit an affiliated person of a registered investment company, or any affiliated person of such a person, when acting as principal, from effecting any joint transaction in which the investment company participates, unless, upon application, the transaction has been approved by the Commission. Rule 17d-1(b) under the Act provides that in passing upon an application filed under the rule, the Commission will consider whether the participation of the registered investment company in a joint enterprise on the basis proposed is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the Act and the extent to which such participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of the other participants.

10. Applicants assert that the purpose of section 17(d) is to avoid overreaching by and unfair advantage to insiders. Applicants assert that the proposed credit facility is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the Act in that it offers both reduced borrowing costs and enhanced returns on loaned funds to all participating Funds and their shareholders. Applicants note that each Fund would have an equal opportunity to borrow and lend on equal terms consistent with its investment policies and fundamental investment limitations. Applicants assert that each Fund’s participation in the proposed credit facility would be on terms that are no different from or less advantageous than that of other participating Funds.

Applicants’ Conditions:

Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following conditions:
1. The Interfund Loan Rate will be the average of the Repo Rate and the Bank Loan Rate.

2. On each business day, the Credit Facility Team will compare the Bank Loan Rate with the Repo Rate and will make cash available for Interfund Loans only if the Interfund Loan Rate is: (a) more favorable to the lending Fund than the Repo Rate; and (b) more favorable to the borrowing Fund than the Bank Loan Rate.

3. If a Fund has outstanding bank borrowings, any Interfund Loans to the Fund: (a) will be at an interest rate equal to or lower than the interest rate of any outstanding bank loan; (b) will be secured at least on an equal priority basis with at least an equivalent percentage of collateral to loan value as any outstanding bank loan that requires collateral; (c) will have a maturity no longer than any outstanding bank loan (and in any event not over seven days); and (d) will provide that, if an event of default by the Fund occurs under any agreement evidencing an outstanding bank loan to the Fund, that event of default will automatically (without need for action or notice by the lending Fund) constitute an immediate event of default under the Interfund Lending Agreement entitling the lending Fund to call the Interfund Loan (and exercise all rights with respect to any collateral) and that such call will be made if the lending bank exercises its right to call its loan under its agreement with the borrowing Fund.

4. A Fund may make an unsecured borrowing through the proposed credit facility if its outstanding borrowings from all sources immediately after the interfund borrowing total 10% or less of its total assets, provided that if the Fund has a secured loan outstanding from any other lender, including but not limited to another Fund, the Fund's interfund borrowing will be secured on at least an equal priority basis with at least an equivalent percentage of collateral to loan value as any outstanding loan that requires collateral. If a Fund's total outstanding borrowings
immediately after an interfund borrowing would be greater than 10% of its total assets, the Fund may borrow through the proposed credit facility only on a secured basis. A Fund may not borrow through the proposed credit facility or from any other source if its total outstanding borrowings immediately after such borrowing would be more than 33 1/3% of its total assets.

5. Before any Fund that has outstanding interfund borrowings may, through additional borrowings, cause its outstanding borrowings from all sources to exceed 10% of its total assets, the Fund must first secure each outstanding Interfund Loan by the pledge of segregated collateral with a market value at least equal to 102% of the outstanding principal value of the loan. If the total outstanding borrowings of a Fund with outstanding Interfund Loans exceed 10% of its total assets for any other reason (such as a decline in net asset value or because of shareholder redemptions), the Fund will within one business day thereafter: (a) repay all of its outstanding Interfund Loans; (b) reduce its outstanding indebtedness to 10% or less of its total assets; or (c) secure each outstanding Interfund Loan by the pledge of segregated collateral with a market value at least equal to 102% of the outstanding principal value of the loan until the Fund’s total outstanding borrowings cease to exceed 10% of its total assets, at which time the collateral called for by this condition (5) shall no longer be required. Until each Interfund Loan that is outstanding at any time that a Fund’s total outstanding borrowings exceed 10% is repaid or the Fund’s total outstanding borrowings cease to exceed 10% of its total assets, the Fund will mark the value of the collateral to market each day and will pledge such additional collateral as is necessary to maintain the market value of the collateral that secures each outstanding Interfund Loan at least equal to 102% of the outstanding principal value of the Interfund Loan.
6. No Fund may lend to another Fund through the proposed credit facility if the loan would cause its aggregate outstanding loans through the proposed credit facility to exceed 15% of the lending Fund’s current net assets at the time of the loan.

7. A Fund’s Interfund Loans to any one Fund shall not exceed 5% of the lending Fund’s net assets.

8. The duration of Interfund Loans will be limited to the time required to receive payment for securities sold, but in no event more than seven days. Loans effected within seven days of each other will be treated as separate loan transactions for purposes of this condition.

9. A Fund’s borrowings through the proposed credit facility, as measured on the day when the most recent loan was made, will not exceed the greater of 125% of the Fund’s total net cash redemptions for the preceding seven calendar days or 102% of the Fund’s sales fails for the preceding seven calendar days.

10. Each Interfund Loan may be called on one business day’s notice by a lending Fund and may be repaid on any day by a borrowing Fund.

11. A Fund’s participation in the proposed credit facility must be consistent with its investment objectives and limitations and organizational documents.

12. The Credit Facility Team will calculate total Fund borrowing and lending demand through the proposed credit facility, and allocate loans on an equitable basis among the Funds, without the intervention of any portfolio manager of the Funds (other than the money market Fund portfolio manager acting in his or her capacity as a member of the Credit Facility Team). All allocations will require the approval of at least one member of the Credit Facility Team, who is a high level employee, other than the money market Fund portfolio manager. The Credit Facility Team will not solicit cash for the proposed credit facility from any Fund or prospectively
publish or disseminate loan demand data to portfolio managers (except to the extent that the
money market fund portfolio manager on the Credit Facility Team has access to loan demand
data). Any amounts remaining after satisfaction of borrowing demand will be invested in the
Joint Account or pursuant to the Cash Management Program in accordance with the instructions
of the portfolio managers.

13. PMC will monitor the Interfund Loan Rate and the other terms and conditions of
the Interfund Loans and will make a quarterly report to the Board of each Company concerning
the participation of the Funds in the proposed credit facility and the terms and other conditions of
any extensions of credit under the credit facility.

14. The Board of each Fund, including a majority of the Independent Directors, will:
    (a) review, no less frequently than quarterly, the Fund’s participation in the proposed
credit facility during the preceding quarter for compliance with the conditions of any order
permitting such transactions;
    (b) establish the Bank Loan Rate formula used to determine the interest rate on
Interfund Loans and review, no less frequently than annually, the continuing appropriateness of
the Bank Loan Rate formula; and
    (c) review, no less frequently than annually, the continuing appropriateness of the
Fund’s participation in the proposed credit facility.

15. In the event an Interfund Loan is not paid according to its terms and such default
is not cured within two business days from its maturity or from the time the lending Fund makes
a demand for payment under the provisions of the Interfund Lending Agreement, PMC will
promptly refer such loan for arbitration to an independent arbitrator, selected by the Board of
each Fund involved in the loan, who will serve as arbitrator of disputes concerning Interfund
Loans. The arbitrator will resolve any problem promptly, and the arbitrator’s decision will be binding on both Funds. The arbitrator will submit, at least annually, a written report to the Board of each Fund setting forth a description of the nature of any dispute and the actions taken by the Funds to resolve the dispute.

16. Each Fund will maintain and preserve for a period of not less than six years from the end of the fiscal year in which any transaction by it under the proposed credit facility occurred, the first two years in an easily accessible place, written records of all such transactions setting forth a description of the terms of the transactions, including the amount, the maturity and the Interfund Loan Rate, the rate of interest available at the time each Interfund Loan is made on overnight repurchase agreements and commercial bank borrowings and such other information presented to the Fund's Board in connection with the review required by conditions 13 and 14.

17. PMC will prepare and submit to the Board of each Fund for review an initial report describing the operations of the proposed credit facility and the procedures to be implemented to ensure that all Funds are treated fairly. After the commencement of the proposed credit facility, PMC will report on the operations of the proposed credit facility at each Board’s quarterly meetings.

Each Fund’s chief compliance officer, as defined in Rule 38a-1(a)(4) under the Act, shall prepare an annual report for its Board each year that the Fund participates in the proposed credit facility, which report evaluates the Fund’s compliance with the terms and conditions of the application and the procedures established to achieve such compliance. Each Fund’s chief compliance officer will also annually file a certification pursuant to Item 77Q3 of Form N-SAR

---

3 If the dispute involves Funds with different Boards of Directors, the respective Board of each Fund will select an independent arbitrator that is satisfactory to each Fund.
as such Form may be revised, amended, or superseded from time to time, for each year that the
Fund participates in the proposed credit facility, that certifies that the Fund and PMC have
established procedures reasonably designed to achieve compliance with the terms and conditions
of the application. In particular, such certification will address procedures designed to achieve
the following objectives:

(a) that the Interfund Loan Rate will be higher than the Repo Rate, but lower than the
Bank Loan Rate;
(b) compliance with the collateral requirements as set forth in the application;
(c) compliance with the percentage limitations on interfund borrowing and lending;
(d) allocation of interfund borrowing and lending demand in an equitable manner and
in accordance with procedures established by the Board of each Fund; and
(e) that the Interfund Loan Rate does not exceed the interest rate on any third party
borrowings of a borrowing Fund at the time of the Interfund Loan.

Additionally, each Fund’s independent public accountants, in connection with their audit
examination of the Fund, will review the operation of the proposed credit facility for compliance
with the conditions of the application and their review will form the basis, in part, of the
auditor’s report on internal accounting controls in Form N-SAR.
18. No Fund will participate in the proposed credit facility upon receipt of requisite regulatory approval unless it has fully disclosed in its prospectus and/or statement of additional information all material facts about its intended participation.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary