SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 28998; File No. 812-13636]

Pioneer Floating Rate Trust and Pioneer High Income Trust; Notice of Application

November 20, 2009


Action: Notice of application for an order under section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“Act”) for an exemption from sections 18(a)(1)(A) and 18(a)(1)(B) of the Act.

Applicants: Pioneer Floating Rate Trust and Pioneer High Income Trust (each, an “Applicant” and collectively, “Applicants”).

Summary of Application: Applicants request an order (“Order”) granting an exemption from sections 18(a)(1)(A) and 18(a)(1)(B) of the Act for a period from the date of the Order until October 31, 2010. The Order would permit each Applicant to issue or incur debt that would be used to redeem all or a portion of the auction market preferred shares (“AMPS”) that it issued prior to February 1, 2008 and that are outstanding at the time of such issuance or incurrence of debt (“post-Order debt”), and to refinance such post-Order debt, subject to the 200% asset coverage requirement ordinarily applicable to a senior security that is stock. The Order also would permit each Applicant to declare dividends or any other distributions on, or purchase, capital stock during the term of the Order, provided that any such post-Order debt has asset coverage of at least 200% after deducting the amount of such transaction.

Filing Dates: The application was filed on February 27, 2009, and amended on August 25, 2009 and November 19, 2009.

Hearing or Notification of Hearing: An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the
Commission’s Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 pm on December 14, 2009, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer’s interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission’s Secretary.

Addresses: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. Applicants: Dorothy E. Bourassa, Esq., Pioneer Investment Management, Inc., 60 State Street, Boston, MA 02109-1820.

For Further Information Contact: Laura J. Riegel, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551-6873, or Marilyn Mann, Branch Chief, at (202) 551-6821 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

Supplementary Information: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission’s Web site by searching for the file number, or an applicant using the Company name box, at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm or by calling (202) 551-8090.

Applicants’ Representations:

1. Each Applicant is organized as a Delaware statutory trust and is registered under the Act as a non-diversified closed-end management investment company. Each Applicant is advised by Pioneer Investment Management, Inc. and has issued and outstanding a class of common shares and a class of one or more series of AMPS.

2. Applicants state that they issued their AMPS for purposes of investment leverage to augment the amount of investment capital available for use in the pursuit of their investment
Applicants state that, through the use of leverage, they seek to enhance the investment return available to the holders of their common shares by earning a rate of portfolio return (which includes the return obtained from securities that are purchased from the proceeds of AMPS offerings) that exceeds the dividend rate that each Applicant pays to the AMPS holders. Applicants represent that the AMPS holders are entitled to receive a stated liquidation preference amount of $25,000 per share (plus any accumulated but unpaid dividends) in any liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the relevant Applicant before any distribution or payment to holders of the Applicant’s common shares. They state that dividends declared and payable on their AMPS have a similar priority over dividends declared and payable on their common shares. In addition, Applicants state that their AMPS are “perpetual” securities and that Applicants are not required to redeem them so long as certain asset coverage tests are met. Further, Applicants state that their AMPS are redeemable at each Applicant’s option.

3. Applicants state that prior to February 2008, dividend rates on the AMPS for each dividend period were set at the market clearing rate determined through an auction process that brought together bidders, who sought to buy AMPS, and AMPS holders, who sought to sell AMPS. Applicants represent that each Applicant’s Statement of Preferences setting forth the terms and conditions of the AMPS (the “Statement of Preferences”) provides that if an auction fails to clear (because of an imbalance of sell orders over bids), the dividend payment rate over the next dividend period is set at a specified maximum applicable rate (the “Maximum Rate”) determined by reference to a short-term market interest rate (such as LIBOR or a commercial paper rate). Applicants state that an unsuccessful auction is not a default; the relevant Applicant continues to pay dividends to all AMPS holders, but at the specified Maximum Rate rather than
a market clearing rate. Applicants represent that they experienced no unsuccessful auctions prior to February 2008.

4. Applicants state that if investors did not purchase all of the AMPS tendered for sale at an auction prior to the failure of the auction market, dealers historically would enter into the auction and purchase any excess shares to prevent the auction from failing. Applicants represent that this auction mechanism had generally provided readily available liquidity to holders of AMPS for more than twenty years. Applicants state that they understand that many investors may have invested short-term cash balances in AMPS believing they were safe short-term investments and, in many cases, the equivalent of cash. Applicants state that in February 2008, the financial institutions that historically provided “back stop” liquidity to AMPS auctions stopped participating in them and the auctions began to fail. Applicants further state that, beginning in February 2008, Applicants experienced auction failures due to an imbalance between buy and sell orders. Applicants believe that there is no established secondary market that would provide holders of the Applicants’ AMPS with the liquidation preference of $25,000 per share. Applicants state that neither of the Applicants would be able to replace its AMPS entirely with new debt without the Order providing temporary relief from the 300% asset coverage test. As a result, Applicants state that there is currently no reliable mechanism for holders of their AMPS to obtain liquidity, and believe that the current lack of liquidity is causing distress and creating severe hardship for holders of their AMPS.

5. Applicants seek relief for a temporary period from the date on which the Order is granted until October 31, 2010 (“Exemption Period”). The proposed replacement of AMPS with debt would provide liquidity for holders of the AMPS, while Applicants continue their diligent efforts to obtain a more permanent form of financing, such as a new type of senior security that
is equity. Applicants submit that the gradual reduction of leverage through the use of proceeds of any common share issuances or the development of an alternative form of preferred stock might take several months, if at all, after the Order has been issued. Applicants state that it is uncertain when, or if, the securities and capital markets will return to conditions that would enable the Applicants to achieve compliance with the asset coverage requirements that would apply in the absence of the Order. Given the uncertainty and the current and continuing unsettled state of the securities and capital markets, applicants believe that the Exemption Period is reasonable and appropriate. Each Applicant’s incurrence of debt to redeem its AMPS would be subject to approval by the Applicant’s board of trustees (“Board”).

**Applicants’ Legal Analysis:**

1. Section 18(a)(1)(A) of the Act provides that it is unlawful for any registered closed-end investment company to issue any class of senior security representing indebtedness, or to sell such security of which it is the issuer, unless the class of senior security will have an asset coverage of at least 300% immediately after issuance or sale. Section 18(a)(2)(A) of the Act provides that it is unlawful for any registered closed-end investment company to issue any class of senior security that is a stock, or to sell any such security of which it is the issuer, unless the class of senior security will have an asset coverage of at least 200% immediately after such issuance or sale.²

---

¹ See, e.g., Eaton Vance Management, SEC No-Action Letter (June 13, 2008) (permitting the issuance of “liquidity protected preferred shares” to supplement or replace Eaton Vance funds’ auction rate preferred stock).

² Section 18(h) of the Act defines asset coverage of a class of senior security representing an indebtedness of an issuer as the ratio which the value of the total assets of the issuer, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, bears to the aggregate amount of senior securities representing indebtedness of the issuer. The section defines asset coverage of the preferred stock of an issuer as the ratio which the value of the total assets of the issuer, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, bears to the aggregate amount of senior securities representing indebtedness of the issuer plus the aggregate amount the class of senior security would be entitled to on involuntary liquidation.
2. Section 18(a)(1)(B) prohibits a registered closed-end investment company from declaring a dividend or any other distribution on, or purchasing, its own capital stock unless its outstanding indebtedness will have an asset coverage of at least 300% immediately after deducting the amount of such dividend, distribution or purchase price.\(^3\) Section 18(a)(2)(B) prohibits a registered closed-end investment company from declaring a dividend or other distribution on, or purchasing, its own common stock unless its outstanding preferred stock will have an asset coverage of at least 200% immediately after deducting the amount of such dividend, distribution or purchase price.

3. Section 6(c) of the Act provides, in relevant part, that the Commission, by order upon application, may conditionally or unconditionally exempt any person, security, or transaction from any provision of the Act if and to the extent necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

4. Applicants request that the Commission issue an Order under section 6(c) of the Act to exempt each Applicant from the 300% asset coverage requirements set forth in sections 18(a)(1)(A) and (B) of the Act. Specifically, Applicants seek relief to permit each Applicant, for the Exemption Period, to issue or incur post-Order debt for the purpose of redeeming all or a portion of its AMPS that were issued prior to February 1, 2008 and that are outstanding at the time of such issuance or incurrence, as well as any refinancing of such debt until the expiration of the Exemption Period, subject to asset coverage of 200% ordinarily applicable to a senior

\(^3\) An exception is made for the declaration of a dividend on a class of preferred stock if the senior security representing indebtedness has an asset coverage of at least 200% at the time of declaration after deduction of the amount of such dividend. See section 18(a)(1)(B) of the Act. Further, section 18(g) of the Act provides, among other things, that “senior security,” for purposes of section 18(a)(1)(B), does not include any promissory note or other evidence of indebtedness issued in consideration of any loan, extension or renewal thereof, made by a bank or other person and privately arranged, and not intended to be publicly distributed.
security that is stock, rather than the asset coverage of 300% ordinarily applicable to a senior security constituting indebtedness. Applicants also seek relief to permit each Applicant to declare dividends or any other distributions on, or purchase, capital stock during the Exemption Period, provided that any such post-Order debt has asset coverage of at least 200% after deducting the amount of such transaction. Applicants state that, except as permitted under the Order, the Applicants would meet all of the asset coverage requirements of section 18(a) of the Act. In addition, Applicants state that within the Exemption Period each Applicant that borrows in reliance on the Order will either pay down or refinance the post-Order debt so that the Applicant would, upon expiration of the Exemption Period and thereafter, have asset coverage of at least 300% for each class of senior security representing indebtedness to the extent required by the Act.

5. Applicants state that section 18 reflects congressional concerns regarding preferential treatment for certain classes of shareholders, complex capital structures, and the use of excessive leverage. Applicants submit that another concern was that senior securities gave the misleading impression of safety from risk. Applicants believe that the request for temporary relief is necessary, appropriate and in the public interest and that such relief is consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

6. Applicants note that the illiquidity of AMPS is a unique, exigent situation that is posing severe hardships on AMPS holders. Applicants represent that the proposed replacement of their AMPS with debt would provide liquidity for the Applicants’ AMPS holders while the Applicants continue their efforts to obtain a more permanent form of financing (such as through
the issuance of preferred equity-based instruments) that fully complies with the asset coverage requirements of section 18.4.

7. Applicants represent that the Order would help avoid the potential harm to common shareholders that could result if the Applicants were to deleverage their portfolios in the current difficult market environment or that could result if a reduction in investment return reduced the market price of common shares. Applicants also state that the Order would permit Applicants to continue to provide their common shareholders with the enhanced returns that leverage may provide.

8. Applicants believe that the interests of both classes of the Applicants’ current investors would be well served by the requested order – the AMPS holders because they would achieve the liquidity that the market currently cannot provide (as well as full recovery of the liquidation value of their shares), and the common shareholders because the adverse consequences of forced deleveraging would be avoided and each Applicant’s investment return would be enhanced to the extent that the cost of the new form of leverage is lower than the cost of continuing to pay the Maximum Rate on their outstanding AMPS.

9. Applicants represent that the proposed borrowing would be obtained from banks, insurance companies or qualified institutional buyers (as defined in rule 144A(a)(1) under the Securities Act of 1933) who would be capable of assessing the risk associated with the transaction. Applicants also state that, to the extent the Act’s asset coverage requirements were aimed at limiting leverage because of its potential to magnify losses as well as gains, they

---

4 See supra note 1.

5 Applicants state that each Applicant invests a portion of its assets in either senior securities loans or preferred securities. Applicants believe that it is difficult to sell such securities in the current market because the liquidity of that market has been reduced in substantial part as a result of the market makers’ own impaired capital positions. Applicants thus believe that it would be disadvantageous to sell these portfolio securities in the current market.
believe that the proposal would not unduly increase the speculative nature of the Applicants’
common shares because the relief is temporary and the Applicants would be no more highly
leveraged if they replace the existing AMPS with borrowing. Applicants also state that the
proposed liquidity solution would not make Applicants’ capital structure more complex, opaque,
or hard to understand or result in pyramiding or inequitable distribution of control.

10. Applicants state that the current state of the credit markets, which has affected
their AMPS, is an historic event of unusual severity, which requires a creative and flexible
response on the part of both the public and private sectors. Applicants believe that these issues
have created an urgent need for limited, quick, thoughtful and responsive solutions. Applicants
believe that the request meets the standards for exemption under section 6(c) of the Act.

Applicants’ Conditions:

Applicants agree that any order of the Commission granting the requested relief shall be
subject to the following conditions:

1. Each Applicant that borrows subject to 200% asset coverage under the Order will
do so only if such Applicant’s Board, including a majority of the trustees who are not “interested
persons” as defined in section 2(a)(19) of the Act (“Independent Trustees”), shall have
determined that such borrowing is in the best interests of such Applicant, its common
shareholders, and its AMPS shareholders. Each Applicant shall make and preserve for a period
of not less than six years from the date of such determination, the first two years in an easily
accessible place, minutes specifically describing the deliberations by the Board and the

---

6 Applicants acknowledge that managing any portfolio that relies on borrowing for leverage entails the risk that,
when the borrowing matures and must be repaid or refinanced, an economically attractive form of replacement
leverage may not be available in the capital markets. For that reason, any portfolio that relies on borrowing for
leverage is subject to the risk that it may have to deleverage, which could be disadvantageous to the portfolio’s
common shareholders.
information and documents supporting those deliberations, the factors considered by the Board in connection with such determination, and the basis of such determination.

2. Upon expiration of the Exemption Period, each Applicant will have asset coverage of at least 300% for each class of senior security representing indebtedness.

3. The Board of an Applicant that has borrowed in reliance on the Order shall receive and review, no less frequently than quarterly during the Exemption Period, detailed progress reports prepared by management (or other parties selected by the Independent Trustees) regarding and assessing the efforts that the Applicant has undertaken, and the progress that the Applicant has made, towards achieving compliance with the appropriate asset coverage requirements under section 18 by the expiration of the Exemption Period. The Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, will make such adjustments as it deems necessary or appropriate to ensure that the Applicant comes into compliance with section 18 of the Act within a reasonable period of time, not to exceed the expiration of the Exemption Period. Each Applicant will make and preserve minutes describing these reports and the Board’s review, including copies of such reports and all other information provided to or relied upon by the Board, for a period of not less than six years, the first two years in an easily accessible place.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Florence E. Harmon
Deputy Secretary