ORDER UNDER SECTIONS 6(c), 12(d)(1)(J), 57(c), and 57(i) OF THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940 AND RULE 17d-1 UNDER THE ACT; AND UNDER SECTION 12(h) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Triangle Capital Corporation (“Triangle”), et al. filed an application on January 3, 2007, and amendments to the application on November 5, 2007 and September 16, 2008, requesting an order under sections 6(c), 12(d)(1)(J), and 57(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“Act”) granting exemptions from sections 12(d)(1)(A) and (C), 18(a), 21(b), 57(a)(1)-(a)(3), and 61(a) of the Act; under section 57(i) of the Act and rule 17d-1 under the Act to permit certain joint transactions otherwise prohibited by section 57(a)(4) of the Act; and under section 12(h) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) granting an exemption from section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. The order would permit a business development company (“BDC”) and its wholly-owned small business company (“SBIC”) subsidiary to (1) engage in certain transactions that otherwise would be permitted if the BDC and its SBIC subsidiary were one company, (2) adhere to a modified asset coverage requirement, and (3) file certain reports on a consolidated basis.

On September 19, 2008, a notice of the filing of the application was issued (Investment Company Act Release No. 28383). The notice gave interested persons an opportunity to request a hearing and stated that an order disposing of the application would be issued unless a hearing was ordered. No request for a hearing has been filed, and the Commission has not ordered a hearing.

The matter has been considered and it is found, on the basis of the information set forth in the application, as amended, that granting the requested exemption is appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.
It is also found that the terms of the proposed transactions are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching of the BDC or its shareholders on the part of any person concerned, and the proposed transactions are consistent with the policies of each BDC and with the general purposes of the Act.

It is further found that the participation by Triangle in the proposed transactions is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the Act and is on a basis no less advantageous than that of other participants.

It is further found that the granting of the requested order under the Exchange Act is not inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED, under section 6(c) of the Act, that the requested exemption from sections 18(a) and 21(b), requested by Triangle, et al. (File No. 812-13355), is granted, effective immediately, subject to the conditions contained in the application, as amended.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, under section 12(d)(1)(J) of the Act, that the requested exemption from sections 12(d)(1)(A) and (C) is granted, effective immediately, and subject to the conditions contained in the application, as amended.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, under section 57(c) of the Act, that the requested exemption from sections 57(a)(1) – (a)(3) of the Act is granted, effective immediately, and subject to the conditions contained in the application, as amended.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, under section 57(i) of the Act and rule 17d-1 under the Act, that Triangle's participation in the proposed transactions is approved, effective immediately, subject to the conditions contained in the application, as amended.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, under section 12(h) of the Exchange Act, that the requested exemption from section 13(a) of the Exchange Act is approved, effective immediately, subject to the conditions contained in the application, as amended.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Florence E. Harmon
Acting Secretary