INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940

ORDER PURSUANT TO SECTION 26(c) OF THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940 GRANTING APPROVAL OF SUBSTITUTIONS AND PURSUANT TO SECTION 17(b) OF THE ACT GRANTING EXEMPTION FROM THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 17(a) THEREUNDER

Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) (“Sun Life U.S.”), Sun Life Insurance and Annuity Company of New York (“Sun Life N.Y.”) (together with Sun Life U.S., the “Companies”), Keyport Variable Account A (“Keyport Account A”), Sun Life of Canada (U.S.) Variable Account F (“Account F”), Sun Life of Canada (U.S.) Variable Account I (“Account I”), KBL Variable Annuity Account (“KBL Annuity Account”), KBL Variable Account A (“KBL Account A”), Sun Life (N.Y.) Variable Account C (“Account C”) (collectively, the “Applicants”) and Sun Capital Advisers Trust (“Sun Capital Trust”) (together with Applicants, the “Section 17(b) Applicants”) filed an application on April 19, 2006, and an amended and restated application on December 20, 2006, for an order of the Commission under Section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the “1940 Act”) approving the proposed substitution of Class O shares of Alger American Growth Portfolio of the Alger American Fund and Class A and Class B shares of the SC FI Large Cap Growth Fund of Sun Capital Trust under certain variable life insurance policies and variable annuity contracts (the “Substitutions”). Section 17(b) Applicants also requested an order pursuant to Section 17(b) of the 1940 Act exempting them from the provisions of Section 17(a) of the 1940 Act to the extent necessary to permit certain in-kind transactions in connection with the Substitutions.
A notice of the filing of the application was issued on December 29, 2006 (Release No. IC-27651). The notice gave interested persons an opportunity to request a hearing and stated that an order granting the application would be issued, unless a hearing should be ordered. No request for a hearing has been received, and the Commission has not ordered a hearing.

The matter has been considered, and it is found that the substitution is consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the 1940 Act. It is also found that the terms of the proposed transactions, including the consideration to be paid or received, are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned; the proposed transactions are consistent with the policy of each registered investment company concerned, as recited in its registration statement and reports filed under the 1940 Act; and the proposed transactions are consistent with the general purposes of the 1940 Act.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Section 26(c) of 1940 Act, that the proposed substitutions are approved; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to Section 17(b) of the 1940 Act, that the requested exemptions from the provisions of Section 17(a) of the 1940 Act be, and hereby are, granted, effective forthwith.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Florence E. Harmon
Deputy Secretary