SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, Plaintiff,
v. MORRIE TOBIN, MILAN PATEL, MATTHEW LEDVINA, AND DANIEL LACHER, Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”), alleges the following against Defendants Morrie Tobin (“Tobin”), Milan Patel (“Patel”), Matthew Ledvina (“Ledvina”), and Daniel Lacher (“Lacher”):

SUMMARY

1. This is a securities fraud enforcement action. The defendants engaged in a deceptive scheme to sell publicly traded stock to investors. Tobin secretly controlled two public companies: Environmental Packaging Technologies Holdings, Inc. (“Environmental Packaging”) and CURE Pharmaceutical Holding Corp. (“CURE”). From approximately June 2013 to the present (the “Relevant Period”), the defendants defrauded investors by concealing Tobin’s control of these companies, enabling Tobin to sell his shares: (a) without registering the offers or sales of stock with the Commission; (b) without disclosing accurate information about Tobin’s ownership and control over the companies; and (c) without complying with limitations on the sale of stock by company “affiliates” such as Tobin. As a result of the defendants’ scheme, what appeared to be ordinary trading by unaffiliated investors was actually a massive
dump of shares by a company insider and his team seeking to profit at the expense of defrauded investors.

2. A company is considered “public” when its securities trade on public markets and the company discloses certain business and financial information regularly to the public. Investors in certain public companies are required to disclose publicly any ownership interest in excess of 5% of the company’s stock. As part of the scheme, Tobin, Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher disguised Tobin’s ownership to make it appear that his shares were owned by multiple unaffiliated entities and individuals. In reality, these entities and individuals were merely holding the stock as nominees for Tobin, the true owner and control person.

3. Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher also arranged for Tobin’s stock to be transferred to two offshore asset managers, including Wintercap SA (“Wintercap”), a Swiss company run by Roger Knox. The accounts at the offshore asset managers were in the names of nominee entities—entities created to hold stock for Tobin—which further concealed Tobin’s ownership and control. The offshore asset managers deposited the stock in omnibus securities accounts (accounts used to execute orders on behalf of asset managers’ undisclosed clients, such as Tobin) at foreign and domestic brokerage firms, in blocks of shares constituting less than 5% of each company’s outstanding shares. The offshore asset managers, through the brokerage firms, then sold millions of dollars of shares of Tobin’s stock to investors.

4. To further preserve Tobin’s anonymity, Ledvina and Lacher each served as purported “beneficial owners” of nominee accounts held at Wintercap, and also arranged for multiple other individuals to do so. To establish these accounts for Tobin’s nominees, Lacher and Ledvina each filled out account opening documents falsely claiming to be the beneficial owners, and furnished personal information such as copies of their passports. Accordingly, in
the event that law enforcement authorities ever examined the records of Wintercap, there would be no mention of Tobin.

5. A Newport Beach, California resident (“Individual A”) who operated an investor relations company was Tobin’s partner in the scheme involving Environmental Packaging, and was promised a share of the proceeds of the sale of Tobin’s Environmental Packaging stock. Individual A’s role in the scheme was to: (a) facilitate a reverse merger between the public company controlled by Tobin (then a “shell company” with no real operations) and a private bulk-packaging company, the combination of which became Environmental Packaging; (b) arrange and oversee a $1 million promotional campaign designed to inflate the share price and trading volume of Environmental Packaging stock after the reverse merger; and (c) direct the offshore asset managers’ selling of the Environmental Packaging stock in order to profit from the artificially-inflated demand for Environmental Packaging stock.

6. The Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) of the private bulk-packaging company became the CEO of Environmental Packaging after its reverse merger with Tobin’s public shell company. Tobin and Individual A negotiated the reverse merger with the CEO and, as part of their agreement, the CEO raised money from investors to pay $1 million for the promotional campaign and attempted to disguise the payment as part of a purported consulting agreement.

7. Patel and Lacher helped Tobin and Individual A funnel the $1 million payment for the promotional campaign from the CEO to the lead stock promoter. In particular, Patel arranged for Wintercap to create an entity named Svarna Ltd. (“Svarna”) for the purpose of misleading investors and potential investors into believing that the promotional campaign was paid for by a third party—and not by Tobin or the company itself. Lacher helped to open Svarna’s account at Wintercap, and arranged for his girlfriend to pose as Svarna’s purported
beneficial owner. The promotional campaign touted the stock of Environmental Packaging while falsely stating that the campaign had been paid for by Svarna.

8. Tobin, Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher engaged in a similar scheme with respect to CURE, utilizing a network of nominee entities to secretly hold Tobin’s shares, arranging for offshore asset managers to deposit those shares with brokers in blocks constituting less than 5% of CURE’s outstanding shares, selling Tobin’s shares to investors, and sharing in the profits.

9. Tobin and his team failed to register their sales of either Environmental Packaging or CURE stock with the Commission. Consequently, the defendants violated Sections 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act of 1933.

10. On June 27, 2017, the Commission suspended trading in the securities of Environmental Packaging. After the Commission suspended trading, the defendants attempted further to conceal Tobin’s control over Environmental Packaging and its stock and to obstruct the Commission’s investigation. For example, Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher arranged to change the names on certain accounts at Wintercap to the names of individuals not directly involved with the scheme.

11. As a result of the conduct alleged herein, Tobin, Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Sections 17(a)(1) and (3) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”) [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(1), (3)], Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rules 10b-5(a) and (c) thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 340.10b-5(a), (c)] and Sections 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), (c)].

12. The Commission seeks a permanent injunction against the defendants, enjoining each from engaging in transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business of the type alleged in
this Complaint, disgorgement of all ill-gotten gains from the unlawful conduct set forth in this Complaint, together with prejudgment interest, civil penalties pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)] and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3)], an order barring the defendants from participating in any offering of a penny stock, pursuant to Section 20(g) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(g)] and/or 21(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)], and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

13. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Sections 21(d), 21(e), and 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u(e), and 78aa].

14. Venue lies in this Court pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa]. Certain of the acts, practices, transactions and courses of business alleged in this Complaint occurred within the District of Massachusetts, and were effected, directly or indirectly, by making use of means or instrumentalities of transportation or communication in interstate commerce, or the mails. For example, during the Relevant Period, promotional materials concerning Environmental Packaging were mailed to various individuals’ residences in Massachusetts, and certain individuals who reside in Massachusetts purchased stock of Environmental Packaging and CURE.

**DEFENDANTS**

15. Morrie Tobin, (“Tobin”), age 55, is a resident of Los Angeles, California.

16. Milan Patel, (“Patel”), age 48, is a resident of Miami, Florida. Patel is a licensed attorney, and during the Relevant Period was a partner in the United States tax group of an
international law firm headquartered in Zurich, Switzerland.

17. Matthew Ledvina, (“Ledvina”), age 46, is a resident of Switzerland. Ledvina is an attorney and colleague of Patel’s at an international law firm headquartered in Zurich, Switzerland.

18. Daniel Lacher, (“Lacher”), age 54, is a resident of Switzerland. During the Relevant Period, Lacher operated a financial services company with a principal place of business in Volketswil, Switzerland. Lacher provided services to undisclosed company insiders or control persons as an intermediary to foreign asset managers and brokers.

RELATED PARTIES AND ENTITIES

19. Environmental Packaging Technologies Holdings, Inc. (“Environmental Packaging”) is a public company which was incorporated in Nevada in 2011. Environmental Packaging initially was named GS Valet, Inc. For several years, it purported to be a valet-parking business. In or about October 2012, its stock began trading on OTC Markets. During the same time period, the company began voluntarily filing quarterly, annual, and other periodic reports with the Commission following an initial public offering to sell stock to prospective investors. In or around August 2013, Tobin acquired control of GS Valet, Inc., and subsequently arranged for its name to be changed to International Metals Streaming Corp. (“International Metals”). International Metals subsequently declared itself to be a shell company—a company with no or nominal operations or assets. In or about 2017, International Metals merged with Environmental Packaging Technologies, Inc., a private company, and changed its name to Environmental Packaging. Environmental Packaging continued to trade on OTC Markets under the ticker symbol EPTI and continued voluntarily to file periodic reports with the Commission. According to reports filed with the Commission, Environmental Packaging is in the business of
manufacturing “flexitanks” used to transport liquids in shipping containers. After the Commission suspended trading in Environmental Packaging’s stock in June 2017, Environmental Packaging stock was traded on the so-called “Grey Market,” where its stock continues to be available for purchase or sale by brokers acting on instructions initiated by their customers.

20. **CURE Pharmaceutical Holding Corp.** (“CURE”), formerly known as Makkanotti Group Corp. (“Makkanotti”), is a public company which was incorporated in Nevada in May 2014. In or about December 2015, Makkanotti began trading on OTC Markets. During the same time period, Makkanotti began voluntarily filing periodic reports with the Commission following an initial public offering to sell stock to prospective investors. In or about November 2016, Makkanotti merged with a private company named Cure Pharmaceutical Corporation and changed its name to CURE. CURE continued to be available for trading on OTC Markets under the ticker CURR and continued voluntarily to file periodic reports with the Commission. In March 2018, CURE registered its securities with the Commission, thereby requiring it to file periodic reports. According to reports filed with the Commission, CURE is in the business of developing patents it holds for an oral drug delivery system.

21. **Roger J. Knox,** (“Knox”), age 47, is a citizen of the United Kingdom and resident of France. Knox is the founder and chief executive officer of Wintercap SA.

22. **Wintercap SA,** (“Wintercap”), formerly known as **Silverton SA** (“Silverton”), is a Swiss entity that purports to be an asset manager. Wintercap SA trades stock for its clients, who are company insiders or control persons, and sells that stock into the United States’ securities market. For consistency, the Complaint refers to Wintercap, although it was known as Silverton during much of the Relevant Period.
BACKGROUND

23. “Restricted stock” is stock of a publicly traded company (also known as an “issuer”) that is acquired from an issuer, or an affiliate of the issuer, in a private transaction that is not registered with the Commission. Stock held by an issuer or affiliate of an issuer is restricted stock. Absent an exemption under the federal securities laws and rules, restricted stock cannot legally be offered or sold to the public unless a securities registration statement has been filed with the Commission (for an offer) or is in effect (for a sale). A registration statement contains important information about an issuer’s business operations, financial condition, results of operation, risk factors, and management. It also should disclose any person or group who is the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the company’s securities.

24. An “affiliate” of an issuer is a person or entity that, directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with, such issuer (i.e. a control person). “Control” means the power to direct management and policies of the company in question. Typically, affiliates include officers, directors and controlling shareholders but any person who is “under common control” with an issuer may also be an affiliate. Absent registration, affiliates are only permitted to sell a small percentage of their stock according to SEC Rule 144 [17 C.F.R. 230.144].

25. “Unrestricted stock” is stock that may legally be offered and sold in the public marketplace by a non-affiliate, without registration or restriction, ordinarily having previously been subject to a registration statement filed with the Commission. Registration statements are transaction specific, however, and apply to each separate offer and sale as detailed in the registration statement. Registration does not attach to the security itself, and registration at one stage for one party does not necessarily suffice to register subsequent offers and sales by the
same or different parties. For example, if a control person buys shares in the company s/he controls, those shares are subject to restrictions and ordinarily require a registration for bulk sales of such shares.

26. A “transfer agent” is a company which, among other things, issues and cancels certificates of a company’s stock to reflect changes in ownership. Many companies that have publicly traded securities use transfer agents to keep track of the individuals and entities that own their stocks.

27. The Over-The-Counter Securities Market (“OTC Markets”) is an inter-dealer quotation and reporting service through which certain stocks are available for trading and are publicly purchased and sold through brokered orders.

ENVIRONMENTAL PACKAGING

Scheme to Conceal Tobin’s Control and Stock Ownership

28. In or about August 2013, Tobin acquired, directly or indirectly, undisclosed control of substantially all of the stock of GS Valet, Inc., the public company which would ultimately become Environmental Packaging.

29. Tobin did not hold any shares of GS Valet, Inc., in his own name. Instead, he used a nominee entity created for Tobin by Patel and Ledvina—MT Global Holdings—to hold a large block of restricted stock, and a group of friends and relatives to hold smaller blocks of purportedly unrestricted stock. By using these nominees, Tobin concealed his ownership and control of GS Valet, Inc., stock.

30. Tobin arranged for GS Valet, Inc., to change its name to International Metals in September 2013. International Metals subsequently declared itself to be a shell company—a company with no or nominal operations or assets. Prior to 2016, International Metals had little
to no business operations. Although International Metals had a CEO, the CEO took instructions from Tobin with respect to numerous corporate activities.

31. Tobin, Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher planned to use Tobin’s secret control over International Metals as part of a scheme to profit from illegal stock sales using a network of foreign and domestic nominee entities. Lacher charged Tobin a fee of 1.5% of the group’s trading proceeds for his involvement in the scheme. Patel and Ledvina agreed with Tobin that they would be paid 4.5% of the group’s net trading proceeds, after paying fees associated with offshore asset managers and other costs.

Negotiation for Reverse Merger and Stock Promotion

32. As part of the scheme, Tobin set out to find a private company that he could use to generate positive news, thereby increasing the value of International Metals’ stock.

33. In or around early 2016, Individual A introduced Tobin to the CEO of Environmental Packaging Technologies, Inc., a private company that was a potential partner in their scheme.

34. Individual A had a prior business relationship with the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Environmental Packaging Technologies, Inc., which Individual A understood to be seeking to raise capital by merging with a public company, such as the one controlled by Tobin.

35. In exchange for Individual A’s introduction to the CEO and continued assistance, Tobin agreed to share half of his share of the trading proceeds with Individual A (after paying fees to Lacher, Patel, Ledvina, and offshore asset managers, as well as other costs of the scheme). Together they planned to use Environmental Packaging Technologies, Inc., as an entity whose operations would provide the pretext for a promotional campaign aimed at raising the market value of Tobin’s secret holdings of International Metals. Tobin’s stock could then be
sold to investors for a profit using the network of nominee entities and accounts managed by Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher. Because of their agreement, Tobin and Individual A communicated with each other about Environmental Packaging stock as if it were jointly owned, though Tobin was the one who had the ability to exercise control over the stock through Patel, Ledvina, Lacher, and their network of nominees.

36. During the remainder of 2016, Tobin and Individual A negotiated with the CEO for Environmental Packaging Technologies, Inc., to “reverse merge” into International Metals.

37. In a reverse merger, a private company becomes a public company by purchasing a public shell company. Typically, the shareholders of the private operating company exchange their shares for a large majority of the shares of the public company. Although the public shell company survives the merger, the private operating company’s shareholders gain a controlling interest in the voting power and outstanding shares of stock of the public shell company. The assets and business operations of the post-merger surviving public company are primarily, if not solely, those of the former private operating company.

38. The CEO knew that Tobin and Individual A secretly controlled International Metals, and that they intended to retain substantially all of its purportedly unrestricted stock after the reverse merger was complete so that they could promote the stock, artificially create demand, and then illegally sell the stock to unsuspecting investors.

39. Tobin, Individual A, and the CEO initially agreed that Environmental Packaging Technologies, Inc., would pay $1.5 million for International Metals, including $1 million which would be spent on a stock promotion campaign. A stock promotion campaign is typically an effort by parties to generate interest in a company’s stock among investors, often in an effort to increase trading volume in the stock and/or boost the company’s stock price. Stock promotion
materials are usually disseminated to potential investors in a variety of ways, including by mass emails or Internet-based newsletters. Under the initial agreement, Tobin and Individual A would retain approximately 12 million shares of purportedly unrestricted stock in International Metals, which was substantially all of its unrestricted stock. International Metals would issue 40 million shares of restricted stock—that is, stock that could not yet be sold in the market—to the shareholders of Environmental Packaging Technologies, Inc. International Metals would become Environmental Packaging and, in effect, the reverse merger would leave Tobin and Individual A secretly holding nearly all of the Environmental Packaging stock that could purportedly be sold in the U.S. market.

40. Tobin, Individual A, and the CEO also agreed to a financing deal related to the reverse merger, in which Environmental Packaging Technologies, Inc., would raise approximately $5 million from investors in a private offering in anticipation of the reverse merger, and would use $1 million of these funds to pay for the promotional campaign.

41. To conceal their scheme, Tobin and the CEO attempted to disguise the $1 million payment as a fee for consulting services. Accordingly, the merger agreement was modified to remove $1 million of the $1.5 million purchase price, and drafts of a purported consulting agreement for $1 million were exchanged. In reality, the consulting agreement was a sham, and the only purpose of the $1 million payment was to finance the stock promotion campaign.

42. In December 2016, International Metals publicly announced the reverse merger, and filed a Form 8-K (a form used to notify investors about significant events) with the Commission. The Form 8-K described the agreement and attached a copy of the merger agreement. The merger agreement, which was signed by the CEO, reflected that Environmental Packaging Technologies, Inc., had agreed to pay $500,000 “to the shareholder of the controlling
block” of stock in International Metals. This was materiality false and misleading in that it concealed the fact that the CEO had also agreed that Environmental Packaging Technologies, Inc., would pay an additional $1 million, to be used for the stock promotion desired by Tobin and Individual A as a condition of the merger.

**Preparations for Reverse Merger, Stock Promotion, and Stock Sales**

43. The reverse merger between International Metals and Environmental Packaging Technologies, Inc., closed on or around June 9, 2017. During the approximately six months between the announcement of the merger in December 2016 and the completion of the transaction in June 2017, Tobin, Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher worked to prepare to sell Tobin’s shares during the stock promotion campaign. This involved, among other things: (a) readying International Metals for the reverse merger; (b) transferring Tobin’s stock from his friends and relatives to nominee entities (the “Environmental Packaging Nominees”) that would appear to be unconnected to Tobin; (c) opening accounts at Wintercap and another offshore asset manager in the names of the Environmental Packaging Nominees; and (d) arranging for these asset managers to deposit Tobin’s Environmental Packaging shares with brokers in small blocks, each constituting just under 5% of Environmental Packaging’s outstanding shares, in order to conceal the fact that one individual or group (here, Tobin and his team) controlled more than 5% of Environmental Packaging’s outstanding shares and substantially all of its purportedly unrestricted shares.

44. Tobin and Patel used Tobin’s control over International Metals to prepare it for the reverse merger. The private company, Environmental Packaging Technologies, Inc., was supposed to pay a certain amount ($500,000, later adjusted to $550,000) in exchange for Tobin
“cancelling” the large block of restricted shares of International Metals which had been held for Tobin by MT Global since 2013.

45. In December 2016, Tobin, Patel, and Lacher arranged for MT Global to transfer its block of restricted shares to Sundrive Holdings Corp. (“Sundrive”), an entity incorporated in the Caribbean island of Nevis by an offshore asset manager as arranged by Lacher, Patel, and Ledvina. This created the false appearance that International Metals was controlled by Sundrive, an entity with no apparent connection to Tobin.

46. In February 2017, Tobin and Patel arranged for International Metals to change its name to Environmental Packaging in anticipation of the completed merger. To do this, Patel signed an authorization for the name change on behalf of Sundrive, at Tobin’s direction.

47. The defendants planned to sell the purportedly unrestricted shares that had been held for Tobin by friends and relatives since 2013. As they each knew, or recklessly disregarded, these shares were restricted from resale without registration because the true owner, Tobin, was an affiliate of the issuer, Environmental Packaging. They further knew, or recklessly disregarded, that because the shares falsely appeared to be unrestricted, a broker was likely to accept a deposit of the shares and sell them to investors without further inquiry as to a possible exemption from registration and without limiting the amount of shares that could be sold in accordance with SEC Rule 144.

48. Starting in or around January 2017, Patel coordinated with Tobin and others to transfer Environmental Packaging stock from Tobin’s relatives and friends to the Environmental Packaging Nominees.

49. Patel signed phony stock purchase agreements reciting amounts of consideration that had purportedly been paid by the Environmental Packaging Nominees to Tobin’s relatives
and friends. In reality, the Environmental Packaging Nominees never paid for the stock and Tobin was, and remained, the true beneficial owner of the stock. For example, Patel signed a stock purchase agreement dated January 20, 2017, on behalf of an Environmental Packaging Nominee purporting to purchase 359,316 shares of Environmental Packaging stock from Tobin’s brother-in-law for $359.32. In reality, that payment was not made.

50. After Tobin’s stock in Environmental Packaging had been transferred to the Environmental Packaging Nominees, Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher arranged to open several accounts on Tobin’s behalf with Wintercap, with each account in the name of one of the Environmental Packaging Nominees. On January 12, 2017, Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher met in person with Knox in Switzerland to arrange to open the accounts. To avoid direct association with the stock sales, Ledvina, Lacher, and a colleague at Patel’s and Ledvina’s law firm posed as the supposed beneficial owners of one of these accounts instead of Tobin. Wintercap kept track of these “beneficial owners” on a Swiss regulatory form called “Form A” that asset managers are required to maintain to track beneficial ownership of assets.

51. Patel and Lacher then facilitated the transfer of Tobin’s stock to the accounts held by Wintercap and to one account at another asset manager. For each of these transfers, Patel signed transfer agent instruction forms, which caused the stock to be transferred to the offshore asset managers. For example, Patel signed two transfer instruction forms dated March 27, 2017, to instruct the transfer agent to transfer stock certificates from two Environmental Packaging Nominees to Wintercap. Lacher sent transfer instruction forms signed by Patel to the transfer agent, and arranged for payment to the transfer agent for the costs of the transactions.

52. Knox and Wintercap deposited Tobin’s shares of Environmental Packaging with multiple brokers in order to sell the shares in the U.S. securities market. The deposits to brokers
were all in amounts constituting less than 5% of Environmental Packaging’s outstanding shares, so as to conceal the fact that these shares were all owned and controlled by an individual or group that was an affiliate of Environmental Packaging. The use of the offshore asset managers’ accounts added another layer of concealment concerning Tobin’s ownership of the stock because the stock was deposited into omnibus brokerage accounts (i.e., accounts that do not identify specific beneficial ownership information) at foreign and domestic brokerage firms.

53. Immediately prior to the completion of the reverse merger between Environmental Packaging and the private company, Tobin controlled substantially all of Environmental Packaging’s stock, while concealing his ownership through multiple nominees and false representations about beneficial ownership.

54. By using a network of nominees, accounts at offshore asset managers in the names of those nominees, and omnibus accounts at brokers in the names of the asset managers, Tobin, with Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher, hid his control over Environmental Packaging’s stock, thereby creating the false appearance to investors that no single Environmental Packaging Nominee or Tobin owned more than 5% of the company’s stock. This façade enabled the defendants to evade SEC rules that required Environmental Packaging to list all persons holding greater than 5% of its outstanding stock in reports filed with the Commission.

Stock Promotion Efforts to Sell Tobin’s Environmental Packaging Stock

55. As agreed with Tobin and Individual A, the CEO solicited investments in anticipation of the merger of Environmental Packaging and the private company in order to finance the stock promotion campaign for Environmental Packaging in support of Tobin’s unregistered sale of millions of shares of his stock. Unbeknownst to those investors, Tobin, Individual A, and the CEO had agreed that $1 million of the funds raised from investors would
be used to pay for a promotional campaign targeting investors and potential investors in Environmental Packaging.

56. To that end, in anticipation of the reverse merger, Tobin and Individual A hired a company (the “Stock Promoter”) to lead a promotional campaign carefully timed to coincide with the announcement of the completion of the reverse merger in June 2017. The Stock Promoter’s role was to target potential investors with a mass mail and mass email promotional campaign designed to create interest in Environmental Packaging stock. The Stock Promoter also referred Individual A to a writer whom Tobin and Individual A hired to draft the creative content for the promotion, which Individual A and Tobin reviewed and edited.

57. The CEO provided Tobin and Individual A with a detailed PowerPoint presentation about Environmental Packaging Technologies, Inc., an executive summary, and a spreadsheet of financial projections, with the understanding that Tobin and Individual A would use that information for the stock promotion campaign. Individual A sent the presentation to the Stock Promoter and to the writer, and the writer used the information contained therein to author the creative content for the promotion.

58. Although Individual A knew that the stock promotion would be paid for by Environmental Packaging, as directed by Tobin and Individual A, Individual A falsely informed the Stock Promoter that a third party named “Svarna” was paying for the stock promotion.

59. The defendants knew, or were reckless in not knowing, that the Stock Promoter would disclose to the investing public the name of the party that was purportedly paying for the promotion and that such disclosures would be misleading absent a disclosure that the promotion was being underwritten by controlling affiliates of Environmental Packaging.
60. In order to deceive investors about Tobin’s connection with Environmental Packaging and the stock promotion, Patel asked Wintercap to create an offshore nominee account through which to funnel payment for the promotional campaign.

61. On or about May 19, 2017, Lacher emailed Patel an invoice for $1 million from the Stock Promoter, billed to “Svarna, LLC,” along with wiring instructions. That same day, Patel wrote an email to Knox which states, in relevant part: “[w]e need to form an entity with the name Svarna LLC . . . .” Patel also wrote that his team would provide the name of an individual that could be named as the “beneficial owner” of Svarna LLC. Patel also stated in this email that, in sum and substance, the Svarna entity would be used as a conduit to pay the Stock Promoter.

62. On or about May 22, 2017, Knox emailed the following to Patel: “[a]s discussed, the amended Marshall Island company named Svarna Ltd is available and incorporation has been requested today.” Patel and Lacher arranged for Lacher’s girlfriend to serve as the purported “beneficial owner” of Svarna, and provided Wintercap with a curriculum vitae, a copy of her passport, and a signed “Form A” which represented that she was the beneficial owner of Svarna.

63. On or about May 20 through May 25, 2017, Tobin and the CEO caused the transfer of a total of approximately $1 million from Environmental Packaging to Svarna’s account at Wintercap. On or about May 24 through June 1, 2017, Tobin, Patel, and Lacher arranged for these funds to be transferred from Svarna’s account to the Stock Promoter for the purpose of promoting Environmental Packaging and its stock.

64. On or about June 12, 2017, when the Stock Promoter began disseminating marketing and promotional materials concerning Environmental Packaging, the Stock Promoter listed “Svarna” as the paying “third party” in its disclosures to investors. For example, a 16-page
glossy mailer was sent by U.S. mail to thousands of investors across the United States, and
touted the stock of Environmental Packaging with statements such as, “Environmental Packaging
. . . Could be Perfectly Positioned To Put Up To 1,118% In Your Pocket!”

65. Tobin exercised control over Environmental Packaging and the CEO during the
promotional campaign. At Tobin’s direction, the CEO had Environmental Packaging issue press
releases touting positive news about Environmental Packaging. For example, on June 23, 2017,
Tobin emailed the CEO a draft press release announcing that Environmental Packaging was
expanding the capacity of its manufacturing facility due to increased customer demand. Tobin
wrote in an email to the CEO, “Attached is the press release to go out before the market opens . .
. . please confirm this is going out before the open.” The CEO followed Tobin’s instruction and
Environmental Packaging issued the press release that day. Tobin and Individual A coordinated
the timing of Environmental Packaging’s press releases to dovetail with the dissemination of
emails in the stock promotion.

**Illegal Stock Sales**

66. Prior to the promotional campaign, there was virtually no public trading of
Environmental Packaging’s stock. The average daily trading volume of Environmental
Packaging’s stock during the period from May 1, 2017, through June 9, 2017 (a Friday), was less
than 23,000 shares per day, and on most days during that period of time, Environmental
Packaging’s stock did not trade at all in the public securities markets. But, on or about June 12,
2017, the first day of the paid promotional campaign, approximately 424,956 shares of
Environmental Packaging traded in the public securities markets, of which approximately
224,000 shares were Tobin’s stock, sold by Wintercap and a second offshore asset manager.
67. Individual A directed the trading on behalf of the group by telling Tobin how many shares of Environmental Packaging to sell and at what price. Tobin passed these instructions to Lacher, who in turn instructed the offshore asset managers.

68. On or about June 13, 2017, approximately 226,263 shares of Environmental Packaging traded in the public securities market, of which approximately 66,000 shares were Tobin’s stock, sold by Wintecap.

69. Thereafter, the offshore asset managers continued to sell Tobin’s Environmental Packaging stock. Between June 14 and 27, 2017, the offshore asset managers dumped approximately 735,000 additional shares of Environmental Packaging stock into the public securities markets to investors. Collectively, Tobin’s sales of Environmental Packaging stock in June 2017 generated approximately $1.5 million in trading proceeds. Lacher received trading and account records from the offshore asset managers and prepared spreadsheets which aggregated the activity of the several Environmental Packaging Nominee accounts in order to track all of the selling of Tobin’s shares through the various nominee accounts.

70. By virtue of the scheme perpetrated by the defendants, the sales of Tobin’s stock were presented and processed as if they were ordinary market purchases of a widely-traded stock. In reality, the purchasers were buying the stock of a company insider who was illegally and secretly dumping his shares.

71. The defendants failed to register these stock sales pursuant to Section 5 of the Securities Act. Tobin’s Environmental Packaging stock was, by law, restricted from resale because Tobin was an affiliate of Environmental Packaging. The sales of Tobin’s Environmental Packaging stock also failed to meet the conditions of SEC Rule 144.
Attempts to Cover-Up the Fraud

72. Starting in or about August 2017, the Commission staff issued investigative subpoenas for documents concerning Environmental Packaging and the above-mentioned conduct to a number of individuals, including Tobin, Environmental Packaging, and Individual A’s company.

73. Tobin discussed the Commission’s investigation with the other defendants, and they coordinated efforts to conceal the Environmental Packaging scheme and to thwart and obstruct the Commission’s investigation. In particular, Tobin, Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher became concerned that the purported beneficial owners listed on paperwork (including Form As) for the Environmental Packaging Nominees were too closely connected to them and that the Commission would be able to determine that Tobin, Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher were really in control of the Environmental Packaging stock held by the Environmental Packaging Nominees.

74. In or about September 2017, Patel and Ledvina met in Switzerland with Knox and another Wintercap representative to discuss their group’s concern. Knox advised them that they should change the names of the purported beneficial owners on the Form As and should use the names of people who were not so obviously connected to their group. Knox further advised them that the Form As should be changed before December 2017 because a Swiss regulator was scheduled to audit the asset manager’s records at that time.

75. Prior to December 2017, Ledvina sought out two new names to list as beneficial owners—individuals from Dubai and Switzerland—to take the place of Ledvina and his law firm colleague. Ledvina obtained and submitted to Wintercap the documents necessary to accomplish this, including false Form As and backdated “know-your-client” letters in which Ledvina falsely
represented that these individuals were each the “sole (100%) beneficial owner” of their respective Environmental Packaging Nominee.

76. Tobin agreed to pay approximately $60,000 of the Environmental Packaging trading proceeds to each of Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher in exchange for their help in concealing the fraud. Lacher agreed with Tobin to keep his designation as the purported beneficial owner of one of the Environmental Packaging Nominee accounts at Wintercap, and to be the “target” if the account was discovered during the investigation.

77. Tobin, Patel, and the CEO attempted to conceal the source of the $1 million payment for the promotion by: (a) raising another $1 million from new investors by selling them some of Tobin’s shares in private transactions and (b) using those funds to refund the $1 million to Environmental Packaging under the guise of a cancelled consulting agreement. In reality, there was never any real consultant, the $1 million purportedly paid to the “consultant” had actually been spent on the promotion, and the money being “refunded” was actually money that had been newly raised from investors through stock sales.

**CURE**

**Scheme to Conceal Tobin’s Control and Sales of CURE Stock**

78. Defendants Tobin, Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher engaged in a substantially similar scheme with respect to the stock of CURE. As with Environmental Packaging, Tobin, Patel, Lacher, and Ledvina sought to sell Tobin’s shares of CURE: (a) without registering the offer or sale of stock with the Commission; (b) without disclosing accurate information about Tobin’s ownership and control over CURE; and (c) without complying with limitations on the sale of stock by company “affiliates” such as Tobin.
79. In or about June 2016, Tobin entered into an agreement to acquire, directly or indirectly, undisclosed control of substantially all of the stock of a public company, Makkanotti, by purchasing: (a) a large block of restricted stock, and (b) a significant percentage of the stock that had been trading as unrestricted shares.

80. Between June 2016 and November 2016, Tobin exercised his control over Makkanotti by installing a new CEO, and arranging for Makkanotti’s reverse merger with a private drug-delivery company. Following the reverse merger, Makkanotti changed its name to CURE. As of September 30, 2016, immediately prior to the merger, Tobin controlled approximately 95% of CURE’s stock; consequently, Tobin was an affiliate of CURE.

81. In order to hide Tobin’s control over CURE, the defendants: (a) arranged for the restricted stock to be held by a nominee created and controlled by Patel, Lacher, and Ledvina, and (b) arranged for the majority of Tobin’s holdings in previously unrestricted stock to be held on his behalf by a series of offshore corporations and accounts created by Patel, Lacher, and Ledvina (the “CURE Nominees”).

82. Patel, Lacher, and Ledvina knew, or were reckless in not knowing, that the entity used by Tobin to hold his block of restricted shares, Aureus Fiduciary Nevis Limited (“Aureus Fiduciary”), was designed to conceal Tobin’s control of CURE in order to facilitate his illegal sale of shares that he held as a control person. For example, Patel signed a document, dated November 7, 2016, on behalf of Aureus Fiduciary (as its purported controlling shareholder) that approved the terms of the merger and the public company’s name change following the merger. In reality, and as Patel knew, Tobin, operating through Aureus Fiduciary, was calling the shots and directed the merger and name change.
83. Tobin, working with Patel, Lacher, and Ledvina, hid his control over CURE’s purportedly unrestricted stock by using the CURE Nominees, and treated the CURE stock held by the CURE Nominees as if the stock was unrestricted. In reality, as the defendants knew or recklessly disregarded, this CURE stock was restricted because it was owned by Tobin, who was an affiliate of the issuer and controlled the issuer through financing and through his substantial ownership interest. The use of multiple CURE Nominees hid Tobin’s control over CURE’s stock to make it seem as if no single CURE Nominee or Tobin controlled more than 5% of the company’s stock. This façade enabled Tobin to evade SEC rules that required CURE to disclose all persons holding greater than 5% of its outstanding stock in reports filed with the Commission.

84. Patel and Ledvina created another corporation named Aureus Financial Holdings LLC (“Aureus Financial”), with an address of record located at Patel’s then residence in Short Hills, New Jersey. Patel and Ledvina then used Aureus Financial to directly invest in the CURE scheme, on their own behalf, by purchasing 300,000 shares of stock from Tobin for $300,000.

85. Patel’s and Ledvina’s CURE stock was subject to sale restrictions because they, like Tobin, were affiliates of CURE. By working on behalf of Tobin as his agents and by scheming with Tobin to illegally sell stock, Patel and Ledvina were affiliates in light of Tobin’s control of CURE. Thus Patel and Ledvina’s CURE stock was subject to Rule 144’s restrictions on the amount of stock of CURE they could sell without registration.

86. By November 2016, following the merger, Tobin, Patel, and Ledvina controlled approximately 6.8 million shares of CURE (29% of the company), of which approximately 4 million shares (the vast majority of CURE’s purportedly unrestricted stock) were held by the CURE Nominees.
In or around February and March 2017, Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher facilitated the transfer of Tobin’s CURE stock from the CURE Nominees to accounts at Wintercap and another offshore asset manager. The offshore asset managers’ accounts added another layer of concealment of Tobin’s ownership of the stock because the offshore asset managers could deposit Tobin’s stock into omnibus brokerage accounts at foreign and domestic brokerage firms.

For each transfer, Patel signed transfer instruction forms which caused the stock to be transferred from the CURE Nominees to the offshore asset manager. For example, Patel signed three transfer instruction forms dated February 10, 2017 to instruct the transfer agent to transfer CURE stock from three CURE Nominees to Wintercap.

Ledvina, Lacher, and a relative of Lacher each posed as a purported beneficial owner of one of the CURE Nominees’ accounts at Wintercap. Patel sent Wintercap letters falsely declaring that each was “the sole (100%) beneficial owner” of their respective CURE Nominee. Ledvina and Lacher then each sent Wintercap signed Form As in which they falsely declared themselves to be the beneficial owners of their respective CURE Nominees, and Lacher also sent Wintercap a false Form A purportedly signed by the relative of Lacher.

Between February and March 2017, Wintercap and a second offshore asset manager placed large orders to sell Tobin’s CURE stock (held in the name of the CURE Nominees). At or about the same time, CURE issued approximately eight press releases, and Tobin’s group paid to market the company through online advertising. During this time period, there was a price and daily trading volume spike of CURE’s stock. Between February 27, 2017, and March 31, 2017, the volume of trading in CURE stock increased from approximately 4,500 shares per day to an average of approximately 36,000 shares per day, and the price rose from approximately $2-$3 per share to a high of $15 per share. Tobin sold approximately 466,000
shares of CURE stock through the two offshore asset managers, realizing trading proceeds of approximately $2.1 million. Tobin agreed with Patel and Ledvina to treat 300,000 of the 466,000 shares sold as belonging to Patel and Ledvina, because the shares held by Patel’s and Ledvina’s nominee (Aureus Financial) had not been deposited with a broker.

91. Tobin, Patel, Ledvina, and Lacher failed to register these stock sales pursuant to Section 5 of the Securities Act. Tobin’s CURE stock should have been restricted from resale because Tobin was an affiliate of CURE. The sales of Tobin’s CURE stock also failed to meet the conditions of SEC Rule 144.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
FRAUD IN THE OFFER OR SALE OF SECURITIES
(Violations of Sections 17(a)(1) and (3) of the Securities Act)

92. Paragraphs 1 through 91 above are re-alleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

93. During the Relevant Period, the stock of Environmental Packaging and CURE were securities under Section 2(a)(1) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77b(a)(1)].

94. By reason of the conduct described above, the defendants, in connection with the offer or sale of securities, by the use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce or of the mails, directly or indirectly, acting with the requisite degree of knowledge, state of mind or negligence (i) employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; and (ii) engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any persons, including purchasers or sellers of the securities.

95. By reason of the conduct described above, the defendants each violated Securities Act Sections 17(a)(1) and (3) [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(1) and (3)] and will continue to violate those sections unless enjoined.
SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
FRAUD IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASE OR SALE OF SECURITIES
(Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rules 10b-5(a) and (c) thereunder)

96. Paragraphs 1 through 91 above are re-alleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

97. During the Relevant Period, the stock of Environmental Packaging and CURE were securities under Section 3(a)(1) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78c(a)(10)].

98. By reason of the conduct described above, the defendants, directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, by the use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, intentionally, knowingly or recklessly, (i) employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; and (ii) engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any persons, including purchasers or sellers of the securities.

99. By reason of the conduct described above, the defendants violated Exchange Act Section 10(b) [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rules 10b-5(a) and (c) [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5(a) and (c)] thereunder.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
UNREGISTERED OFFERINGS OF SECURITIES
(Violations of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act)

100. Paragraphs 1 through 91 above are re-alleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

101. During the Relevant Period, the stock of Environmental Packaging and CURE were securities under Section 2(a)(1) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77b(a)(1)].

102. By reason of the conduct described above, the defendants, directly or indirectly: (a) made use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate
commerce or of the mails to sell, through the use or medium of a prospectus or otherwise, securities as to which no registration statement has been in effect and for which no exemption from registration has been available; and/or (b) made use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell, through the use or medium of a prospectus or otherwise, securities as to which no registration statement has been filed and for which no exemption from registration has been available.

103. As a result, the defendants violated Sections 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), (c)].

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Enter a permanent injunction restraining each of the defendants, his officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with him who receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, from violating Sections 17(a)(1) and (3) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(1) and (3)], and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rules 10b-5(a) and (c) thereunder [17 C.F.R. 240.10b-5(a) and (c)].

B. Enter a permanent injunction restraining each of the defendants, his officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with him who receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, from violating Sections 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a), (c)].

C. Order the defendants to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, all ill-gotten gains obtained by reason of the unlawful conduct alleged in this Complaint;

D. Order the defendants to pay civil monetary penalties pursuant to Section 20(d) of

E. Enter an order barring the defendants from participating in any offering of a penny stock, pursuant to Section 20(g) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(g)] and 21(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)];

G. Retain jurisdiction over this action to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered; and

H. Grant such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

The Commission demands a jury in this matter for all claims so triable.

DATED this 27th day of November 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kathleen B. Shields
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