1	JINA L. CHOI (N.Y. Bar No. 2699718) ERIN E. SCHNEIDER (Cal. Bar No. 216114)	
2	SUSAN F. LaMARCA (Cal. Bar No. 215231) lamarcas@sec.gov	
3	JENNIFER J. LEE (Cal. Bar No. 261399)	
4	leejen@sec.gov SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA (Cal. Bar No. 288014)	
5	krikunovas@sec.gov	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	
7	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800 San Francisco, California 94104	
8	Telephone: (415) 705-2500 Facsimile: (415) 705-2501	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOSE DI	IVISION
13	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No.
14	Plaintiff,	
15	v.	COMPLAINT
16	PETER C. CHANG,	
17	Defendant.	
18		
19		
19 20		
	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission	on (the "Commission") alleges:
20	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission	
20 21	SUMMARY OF 7	
20 21 22	SUMMARY OF 7	THE ACTION ng in the securities of Alliance Fiber Optic
20 21 22 23	SUMMARY OF 1. This case involves serial insider tradin	<u>THE ACTION</u> ng in the securities of Alliance Fiber Optic ng, who served as the company's Chairman of
20 21 22 23 24	SUMMARY OF 7 1. This case involves serial insider tradin Products, Inc. ("AFOP") by Defendant Peter C. Char	<u>THE ACTION</u> ng in the securities of Alliance Fiber Optic ng, who served as the company's Chairman of
 20 21 22 23 24 25 	SUMMARY OF 2 1. This case involves serial insider tradin Products, Inc. ("AFOP") by Defendant Peter C. Chan the Board, its Chief Executive Officer, and President in 2016 by Corning, Inc. ("Corning").	<u>THE ACTION</u> ng in the securities of Alliance Fiber Optic ng, who served as the company's Chairman of

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 2 of 21

the intended acquisition of AFOP by Corning, all of which were significant, market moving
information. Chang was the largest holder of AFOP stock and was required to disclose his ownership
of AFOP securities as an officer and director in accordance with the federal securities laws. But to
capitalize on the highly sensitive information he learned about AFOP without detection, Chang
secretly traded AFOP shares in two nominee accounts – one held in his wife's name, and the other in
his brother's name – in advance of two public earnings announcements and the public acquisition
announcement.

8 3. Chang also tipped highly sensitive, material and nonpublic information regarding
9 AFOP to his brother in Taiwan, who traded AFOP shares in his own account, in close coordination
10 with Chang's trades ahead of the same announcements.

4. Chang's insider trading scheme was brazen. For instance, Chang made several of his
illicit trades in the nominee accounts while he was at work, from AFOP's headquarters. In addition,
Chang engaged in the scheme despite already standing to gain over \$30 million through the
acquisition from AFOP stock and options that he legitimately obtained from AFOP. In total, Chang's
insider trading scheme generated more than \$2 million in illicit profits and losses avoided, with at
least \$1.5 million for Chang's nominee accounts, and more than \$600,000 for Chang's brother's
account.

18

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

19 5. The Commission brings this action pursuant to Sections 21(d) and 21A of the
20 Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d) and 78u-1.

21 6. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 21(d), 21A, and 27 of
22 the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u-1 and 78aa.

7. Defendant Chang, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of
interstate commerce, and of the mails, and of the facilities of a national securities exchange in
connection with the transactions, acts, practices and courses of business alleged herein.

8. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C.
§ 78aa, because a substantial part of the acts and transactions constituting the violations alleged in
this Complaint occurred within the Northern District of California, and because Defendant Chang

1 resides or transacts business in the district. 2 **INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT** 3 9. Under Civil Local Rule 3-2(e), this civil action should be assigned to the San Jose 4 Division, because a substantial part of the events which give rise to the claims alleged herein 5 occurred in Santa Clara County. 6 **DEFENDANT** 7 10. Peter C. Chang, also known as "Chi-Chyau Chang," is 59 years old, is a U.S. citizen, 8 and resides in Los Altos, California. In 1995, Chang founded AFOP. He served as the Chairman of the Board of Directors, CEO, and President from the company's inception until its acquisition by 9 10 Corning in 2016. As such, Chang was an officer and a director of AFOP, subject to certain reporting 11 requirements under Section 16 of the Exchange Act. At all relevant times, Chang was AFOP's 12 largest shareholder and made over \$30 million through Corning's acquisition from AFOP securities 13 that he had received over the years as compensation from AFOP. 14 11. During the same timeframe, Chang and his wife jointly owned numerous accounts at 15 various brokerages, including accounts held in the name of the "Chang Family LLC," for which 16 Chang and his wife were managing members. From at least 2015, Chang disclosed AFOP securities 17 held on behalf of "Peter C. Chang," the "Chang Family LLC," the "Peter and Mary Chang 18 Foundation," and Chang's two sons in accordance with the federal securities laws. 19 **OTHER RELEVANT ENTITIES AND INDIVIDUALS** 20 12. Alliance Fiber Optic Products, Inc. was, until June 2016, a Delaware corporation 21 headquartered in Sunnyvale, California, which designed, manufactured, and sold high performance 22 fiber optic components and integrated modules. Founded in 1995 by Chang, AFOP conducted its 23 initial public offering of securities on or about November 21, 2000, and its common stock was 24 registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act. AFOP announced its 25 acquisition via tender by Corning on April 7, 2016, and its common stock traded on NASDAQ under 26 the ticker symbol "AFOP" until June 6, 2016.

27 13. <u>Corning, Inc.</u> is a New York corporation headquartered in Corning, New York and
28 develops, manufactures, and sells specialty glass, ceramics, and related materials. In 2015 and 2016,

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 4 of 21

Optical Communications was one of five reportable segments of Corning's business. Corning's
 common stock is registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act and
 trades on NYSE under the ticker symbol "GLW."

4 14. <u>Mary Chang</u>, also known as "Mary C. Chen," "Mary Cheau-Shya Chen," "Cheau5 Shya Chen," or "Cheau-Shya Chang," is 55 years old and is married to, and shares a residence with,
6 Defendant Chang. Mary Chang is a U.S. citizen. Mary Chang shall hereinafter be referred to as
7 "Chang's wife."

8 15. <u>Daniel Chang</u>, also known as "Chi-Tai Chang," is 64 years old, is Peter Chang's
9 brother, and resides in Taiwan. In or about 2010, Daniel Chang opened a brokerage account at
10 Scottrade Taiwan under the name "Chi-Tai Chang." The account opening documents describe Daniel
11 Chang as a citizen of Taiwan and "retired." From 2011 through at least mid-2016, this account
12 primarily traded AFOP common stock. Daniel Chang shall hereinafter be referred to as "Chang's
13 brother."

14

15

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Chang Had Immediate Access to Highly Confidential Information about AFOP

16 16. When AFOP became a public company in 2000, Chang, AFOP's founder, was
17 announced as the company's CEO, President and the Chairman of its Board of Directors ("Board").
18 17. Through his leadership positions in management and on the Board, Chang continually

19 acquired critical, nonpublic information about AFOP. In particular, Chang was privy to AFOP's

20 confidential results of its earnings, and its expected earnings, well in advance of quarterly

announcements that AFOP made to the public. In fact, Chang led quarterly discussions with analysts
regarding AFOP's financial performance during investor earnings calls.

18. Chang also directed, and participated in, meetings of AFOP's Board. He participated
in discussions regarding AFOP's earnings, and its financial position, as well as discussions regarding
a proposed strategic transaction, and, significantly, negotiations with Corning leading up to Corning's
acquisition of AFOP in April 2016.

27

28

B. Chang's Duties to Maintain Confidentiality and to Report Trading in AFOP

As an officer and director at AFOP, Chang was subject to several explicit restrictions

19.

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 5 of 21

designed to limit his ability to trade AFOP shares, to disclose any such trading, and to keep
 confidential information regarding AFOP (including its potential acquisition by Corning). Among
 the specific restrictions AFOP imposed:

4	a.	Insider trading policy: AFOP maintained an insider trading policy that prohibited all
5		employees and/or their immediate family members from trading on the basis of
6		material nonpublic information regarding AFOP, and required all AFOP trades to be
7		pre-cleared by the CFO (or, in her absence, Chang). The policy specifically defined
8		material nonpublic information to include (1) "[k]nowledge of actual, estimated or
9		projected revenues, earnings or losses; and (2) [n]ews of a possible merger, acquisition
10		or tender offer."
11	b.	Trading blackout periods: AFOP maintained a policy which stated that all members
12		of the Board of Directors and officers were "subject to a stock trading blackout period
13		beginning one month prior to the end of a fiscal quarter until two trading days after the
14		earnings release for that quarter." AFOP also imposed a trading blackout for all
15		individuals, including Chang, who had knowledge of the proposed acquisition by
16		Corning in advance of the public disclosure on April 7, 2016. Accordingly, AFOP
17		imposed trading blackouts from August 31, 2015 through November 2, 2015,
18		November 30, 2015 through February 23, 2016, and February 29, 2016 through May
19		5, 2016.

20 Deal-related agreements: Chang signed, as CEO of AFOP, deal-related agreements с. 21 that specifically obligated him to maintain the confidentiality of the proposed 22 acquisition with Corning. For instance, on or about February 17, 2015, Chang signed 23 a nondisclosure agreement on behalf of AFOP in connection with the proposed 24 acquisition by Corning. This nondisclosure agreement required that any "proprietary 25 or confidential information of the disclosing party" in connection with the potential 26 transaction would be "maintained in confidence" by "the receiving party and its 27 Representatives." On or about January 17, 2016, Chang also signed an exclusivity 28 agreement on behalf of AFOP with Corning that incorporated the confidentiality

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 6 of 21

1 provisions contained in the parties' February 17, 2015 confidentiality agreement. Code of business conduct and ethics: AFOP's code of business conduct and ethics d. prohibited all officers, employees, and directors from trading on the basis of material nonpublic information regarding the company, or tipping others to enable them to profit on trading from such information.

6 20. Furthermore, as an officer and as a director of AFOP, Chang was subject to securities 7 reporting requirements pursuant to Section 16 of the Exchange Act, requiring him to reveal the AFOP 8 securities he held and any changes in his AFOP position. As the Chairman, President and CEO of 9 AFOP, Chang was required to file statements reflecting his ownership, either on an annual basis 10 ("Form 5") or to reflect changes in his beneficial ownership immediately upon such changes ("Form 11 4"). During the relevant timeframe, Chang filed Forms 4 and a Form 5 to disclose his ownership of AFOP stock held on behalf of "Peter C. Chang," the "Chang Family LLC," the "Peter and Mary 12 13 Chang Foundation," and Chang's two sons.

14

2

3

4

5

C. Chang Secretly Traded AFOP Securities on the Basis of Inside Information

15 21. Contrary to the fiduciary duties he owed to AFOP and its shareholders, Chang 16 repeatedly traded in AFOP securities on the basis of material and nonpublic, inside information he 17 knew about AFOP's earnings and its impending acquisition by Corning without disclosing that to 18 shareholders. Chang did so surreptitiously – in two brokerage accounts controlled by him, but 19 nominally held by his wife and his brother. Indeed, Chang secretly and repeatedly traded AFOP 20 shares in the nominee accounts from his AFOP work computer after attending Board meetings where 21 confidential information about AFOP was discussed.

22 22. Chang also tipped his brother to trade AFOP shares on the basis of this same, material 23 nonpublic information regarding AFOP. As a result, Chang's brother also traded AFOP shares in 24 close coordination with Chang's trades. In total, Chang's insider trading scheme reaped more than \$2 25 million in illicit profits and losses avoided.

26

Chang Used Nominee Brokerage Accounts to Conceal His Insider Trading

27 23. Chang used two nominee brokerage accounts (accounts that he controlled but were 28 held in the names of his brother and wife) to conceal his AFOP insider trading. Chang opened his

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 7 of 21

first nominee brokerage account in 2002, just two years after AFOP's initial public offering of
 securities. The account was opened at T. Rowe Price under the name of his brother, "Daniel Chang"
 (hereinafter referred to as the "T. Rowe Price Nominee Account").

4 24. The account opening documents for the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account reflect
5 Chang's control over the account. In particular, the account documents identified a P.O. Box address
6 in Sunnyvale, California owned by Chang. The account documents also identified an email address
7 that included the phrase "peterafopwin" as a part of the address. Further, the account documents
8 identified a U.S. phone number with a 650 area code; at all relevant times, Chang was responsible for
9 paying the bills for this phone number.

25. Chang provided the vast majority of the initial funding of the account from
approximately 2002 through 2003, through several wire transfers totaling more than \$200,000 from
foreign bank accounts that he held or controlled. Chang used these funds to amass a substantial
position in AFOP shares in the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account, acquiring shares and building up a
position of over 242,000 AFOP shares (not split adjusted) valued at approximately \$138,225 as of
December 31, 2002. Chang did not disclose his AFOP shares in this account, despite his securities
reporting requirements under the federal securities laws.

17 26. From 2003 through October 2015, Chang continuously funded the T. Rowe Price
18 Nominee Account by making wire transfers or writing checks to the account – with each transaction
19 worth tens of thousands of dollars – from an account Chang owned jointly with his wife. Chang also
20 reaped profits from the account, as multiple checks were drawn from the account – often in amounts
21 of approximately \$9,990 – and written to either Chang or his wife.

22 27. From 2003 through October 2015, Chang continuously bought and sold AFOP
23 common shares and maintained a minimum position in AFOP common shares worth hundreds of
24 thousands of dollars in the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account.

25 28. On or about February 3, 2011, a second nominee brokerage account that Chang
26 controlled was opened at Scottrade Taiwan under the name of Chang's wife, "Cheau Shya Chen"
27 (hereinafter referred to as the "Scottrade Nominee Account"). The account opening documents
28 identified Chang's wife as a citizen of Taiwan. On or about February 22, 2011, a foreign bank

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 8 of 21

account held or controlled by Chang and his wife wired approximately \$230,000 in two transfers to
 the Scottrade Nominee Account, providing the initial funding for the account.

3 29. From 2011 through 2015, the Scottrade Nominee Account maintained a minimum
4 position in AFOP common stock worth hundreds of thousands of dollars. Again, despite his
5 reporting obligations under the federal securities laws, Chang did not disclose the AFOP shares held
6 in this account on Forms 4 or 5. The only other securities traded in the account during this timeframe
7 were shares of Corning (AFOP's ultimate acquirer).

8 30. During the relevant time period, Chang used the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account and 9 Scottrade Nominee Account to trade AFOP on multiple occasions. Because Chang accessed both 10 accounts electronically, at the time of Chang's activities in these accounts, the brokerage services of 11 T. Rowe Price and Scottrade logged IP addresses that identified the unique number of the device on 12 an electronic network that accessed the particular account. Accordingly, the IP logs for both nominee 13 accounts reflect numerous logins into the respective accounts by Chang, and numerous orders by 14 Chang for AFOP trades he placed, using devices located in AFOP's offices in Sunnyvale, California 15 and in Chang's home in Los Altos, California, and from other locations Chang was visiting.

- 16
- 17

Chang and His Brother Traded Ahead of AFOP Earnings and Acquisition Announcements

31. Chang, through his leadership positions, acquired material nonpublic information
regarding AFOP's earnings results and financial performance well ahead of public announcements, as
well as the confidential discussions between AFOP and Corning regarding the acquisition of AFOP.
In particular, in late 2014 and early 2015, Chang, as Chairman of the Board, CEO, and President,
learned that a number of potential strategic acquirers, including Corning, had reached out to AFOP to
discuss a potential business combination.

32. During the course of assessing AFOP's strategic options, Chang, in his capacity as
CEO and President, signed a nondisclosure agreement on behalf of AFOP in connection with a
proposed transaction with Corning on or about February 17, 2015. A few weeks later, on or about
March 16, 2015, Chang, as Chairman of the Board, presided over an AFOP Board meeting, during
which the Board approved the engagement of a financial advisor for a potential strategic transaction.

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 9 of 21

33. As merger discussions ensued for several months, Chang was also privy to AFOP's
 earnings results and financial performance before their public announcement. Chang used this inside
 information to sell AFOP shares quickly to avoid losses before two AFOP negative earnings
 announcements for Q3 2015 and Q4 2015. He also used the inside information to buy AFOP shares
 for a profit ahead of the public announcement of its acquisition by Corning on April 7, 2016.

6 34. Chang also tipped his brother to trade AFOP shares on the basis of the same, material
7 nonpublic information. The brothers traded close in time with each other during 2015 and 2016 to
8 place profitable orders to buy or sell AFOP common shares using the material nonpublic information
9 Chang obtained as Chairman and CEO of AFOP.

10 35. As their trading reflects, Chang's brother's AFOP trades closely tracked Chang's 11 AFOP trades in the nominee accounts in timing, size (typically in daily increments of 2,000-10,000 12 shares), and direction (whether a purchase or a sale). Several of the brothers' trades were placed on 13 the same day, at times within minutes of each other. In addition, from the time Chang's brother's account was opened on November 17, 2010 through April 2016, AFOP comprised the entire trading 14 15 activity in Chang's brother's account, with the exception of holdings in certain exchange traded 16 funds, as well as a smaller position in Apple that he purchased for a short time during the period. 17 Chang tipped inside information regarding AFOP to his brother intending for his brother to trade and 18 profit from the inside information; doing so was of value to Chang.

19 36. In total, Chang generated more than \$1.5 million in illicit profits or loss avoidance in
20 the nominee accounts, while his brother realized an additional more than \$600,000 in illicit profits or
21 loss avoidance in his own account. Specific examples and events surrounding these trades are
22 alleged below.

23

The Brothers Trade Ahead of AFOP's Q3 2015 Earnings Announcement

37. As merger discussions were ongoing, AFOP's fiscal quarter for Q3 2015 closed on
September 30, 2015. By the end of the quarter, Chang knew that AFOP had suffered a steep decline
in purchase orders from its largest customer and that its revenue would likely miss guidance.

27 38. As of September 30, 2015, each of the relevant accounts – i.e., the two nominee
28 accounts controlled by Chang, and the third account controlled by his brother – held significant

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 10 of 21

amounts of AFOP common shares. In particular, Chang's T. Rowe Price Nominee Account held
more than 140,000 AFOP shares (worth approximately \$2.4 million), Chang's Scottrade Nominee
Account held 43,000 AFOP shares (worth approximately \$734,000), and his brother's account held
more than 100,000 AFOP shares (worth approximately \$1.7 million). At this point, Chang was
subject to AFOP's trading blackout for all officers and directors, which had begun one month prior to
the end of the fiscal quarter (or August 31, 2015) and would last until two days after the earnings
release for the quarter (or October 30, 2015).

8 39. Despite the duties Chang owed to AFOP and its shareholders, Chang began selling off 9 AFOP shares in the nominee accounts, as well as tipping his brother to sell off his AFOP shares, in 10 advance of the scheduled October 28, 2015 earnings announcement. During October 2015 prior to 11 the public announcement, Chang sold the vast majority of the AFOP shares held in the nominee accounts (i.e., over 100,000 AFOP shares in the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account, and over 40,000 12 13 AFOP shares in the Scottrade Nominee Account). During the same period, his brother's account sold approximately 13,000 of the approximately 100,000 AFOP shares in his separate account. The 14 15 brothers succeeded in selling off their AFOP shares at an average price of approximately \$18.00 per 16 share before the October 28 earnings announcement.

40. Significantly, several of the brothers' trades were closely coordinated on the same day,
and even within hours of each other's trades. Indicative of the brothers' parallel trading:

19 On October 2, 2015, Chang's brother sold 1,000 AFOP shares at 6:19 a.m. PST (9:19 a. 20 p.m. Taiwan Time). Within two hours (7:56 a.m. PST), Chang sold 5,000 AFOP 21 shares in the Scottrade Nominee Account while logged in from an AFOP IP address. 22 b. On October 19, 2015, Chang's brother's account sold 3,000 AFOP shares from 8:17 23 a.m. until 8:30 a.m. PST (11:17 p.m. until 11:30 p.m. Taiwan Time). Approximately 24 thirty minutes later (at 9:06 a.m. PST), Chang sold 2,000 AFOP shares from the 25 Scottrade Nominee Account while logged in from an AFOP IP address. 26 41. After the close of the market on October 28, 2015, AFOP issued its earnings results 27 for Q3 2015, with revenue and EPS below the company's previously-issued guidance and analyst 28 research estimates. During the Q3 2015 earnings call also conducted on October 28, 2015, Chang

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 11 of 21

explained that the disappointing decline in revenue was attributable to a "steep drop in orders from
 our leading Web 2.0 customer, as they manage inventory and the cutbacks on order[s] during the
 quarter."

4 42. By the close of business the next day, October 29, 2015, AFOP's stock price had
5 decreased by 23% from the prior day's close, to \$13.80 per share. As a result of their sales of AFOP
6 shares, Chang avoided losses totaling more than \$500,000 in the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account
7 and in the Scottrade Nominee Account, while Chang's brother avoided losses of more than \$50,000
8 in his own account.

9

10

Chang Secretly Buys AFOP in the Nominee Accounts and Tips His Brother to Trade in Anticipation of a Tender Offer, But Then the Brothers Sell Based on AFOP's 2015 Year-End Earnings Announcement

43. In the period immediately following AFOP's Q3 2015 earnings announcement, Chang
learned of significant developments in Corning's proposed acquisition of AFOP, causing Chang to
respond by buying additional AFOP shares in the two nominee accounts.

14 44. For instance, on or about December 16, 2015, Chang received Corning's cash offer to 15 acquire AFOP in the range of \$17.25 to \$18.25 per share. Subsequently, on or about January 11, 16 2016, Chang, who served as Chairman of the Board and Secretary, presided over a Board meeting in 17 which the Board agreed to enter into an exclusivity agreement with Corning based on its revised 18 indication of interest of \$20.50 per share (which represented a 33% premium over the prior day's 19 closing price). Two days later, on January 13, 2016, Chang signed AFOP's exclusivity agreement 20 with Corning and participated in discussions with Corning about the due diligence process, proposed 21 transaction timeline, and key diligence items.

45. Based on this inside information, Chang purchased tens of thousands of AFOP shares
in the nominee accounts, and also tipped his brother to purchase AFOP shares from December 2015
through January 2016. By the end of January 2016, Chang's T. Rowe Price Nominee Account held
more than 260,000 AFOP shares (worth approximately \$3.8 million), Chang's Scottrade Nominee
Account held 55,000 AFOP shares (worth approximately \$790,000), and Chang's brother's account
held more than 114,000 AFOP shares (worth approximately \$1.6 million).

28

46. However, as the companies exchanged draft merger agreements, Chang suddenly

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 12 of 21

learned that Corning was suspending the merger negotiations. On or around February 9, 2016,
 Corning informed AFOP that it needed to review AFOP's fourth quarter and year-end 2015 results
 before proceeding with any merger. As the final quarter had closed on December 31, 2015, Chang
 learned that the company's purchase orders from its leading customers were insufficient to offset
 seasonal declines in demand, and would likely miss the company's previously issued guidance. As
 Chang also knew, the exclusivity agreement between AFOP and Corning, which Chang had signed,
 was due to expire on February 15, 2016.

8 47. Accordingly, in the days before AFOP's scheduled year-end earnings announcement 9 on February 19, 2016, Chang sold off AFOP shares in the nominee accounts, and tipped his brother 10 to sell his AFOP shares as well, despite being subject to a trading blackout period. During the week 11 preceding AFOP's public earnings announcement, Chang's T. Rowe Price Nominee Account sold 12 78,000 AFOP shares, Chang's Scottrade Nominee Account sold 51,000 AFOP shares, and Chang's 13 brother's account sold more than 50,000 AFOP shares. During this period, Chang and his brother 14 placed incremental orders to sell their AFOP shares, with several of the trades occurring on the same 15 days and in similar amounts. The brothers succeeded in selling these AFOP shares at an average 16 price of approximately \$\$15 per share before the February 19 earnings announcement.

48. Overall, the brothers' sudden and simultaneous change from buying AFOP shares to
selling them off within a matter of days reflects their close coordination. At times, the brothers'
extremely closely coordinated trading followed within hours of Chang participating in important
corporate events for AFOP, or Chang otherwise learning of critical information through his

21 leadership roles at AFOP. As examples:

a. On February 15, 2016, the exclusivity agreement between AFOP and Corning, which
Chang had signed, expired. The next morning, February 16, 2016, Chang's brother
placed orders to sell approximately 23,000 AFOP shares at approximately 6:30 a.m.
PST (9:30 p.m. Taiwan Time) (just over 20,000 shares executed by 6:40 a.m. PST).
At the same time his brother was placing orders, at 6:31 a.m. PST, Chang logged onto
the Scottrade Nominee Account from his home IP address and over the next few
minutes placed an order to sell 3,000 AFOP shares. Shortly after, at 7:50 a.m. PST,

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 13 of 21

1		Chang sold 8,000 AFOP shares in the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account from an
2		AFOP IP address, and between 8:47 a.m. and 8:55 a.m. PST, Chang sold another
3		15,000 AFOP shares from the Scottrade Nominee Account, also from the AFOP IP
4		address.
5	b.	On February 17, 2016, Chang's brother sold 15,000 AFOP shares between 6:34 and
6		7:06 a.m. PST (9:34 and 10:06 p.m. Taiwan Time). Within one hour, at
7		approximately 7:51 a.m. PST, Chang sold 3,834 AFOP shares from the Scottrade
8		Nominee Account while logged in from an AFOP IP address.
9	49.	After the close of the market on February 18, 2016, AFOP publicly released its year-
10	end 2015 and	fourth quarter earnings, reporting revenue and EPS below the company's previously-
11	issued guidance and below analysts' estimates. During AFOP's conference call to discuss its	
12	earnings, whic	ch also occurred on February 18, 2016, Chang explained that while the company had
13	experienced "	some recovery of the business from our leading customers it was not enough to
14	offset seasona	l decline in other areas."
15	50.	By the close of the market the next day, February 19, 2016, AFOP's stock price had
16	decreased 16%	6 from the prior day's close, to \$12.03 per share. By selling their AFOP shares prior to
17	these public a	nnouncements, Chang and his brother avoided significant losses, totaling more than
18	\$300,000 in th	ne T. Rowe Price Nominee Account and Scottrade Nominee Account, and more than
19	\$100,000 in C	hang's brother's account.
20		The Brothers Trade Ahead of the Announcement of AFOP's Acquisition
21	51.	Just as AFOP was making its public announcement of the 2015 year-end earnings,
22	Chang gained	information suggesting that Corning and AFOP were moving closer to a deal. In
23	particular, from	m February 18, 2016 through March 8, 2016, Chang participated in, or was otherwise
24	aware of, seve	eral conference calls between Corning and AFOP regarding due diligence matters.

25 Also, on March 9, 10, and 12, 2016, Chang, as Chairman of the Board and Secretary, presided over

26 Board meetings in which the Board discussed ongoing price negotiations with Corning. Ultimately,

27 during the March 12, 2016 AFOP Board meeting, the Board approved Corning's latest offer to

28 acquire AFOP via a tender offer priced at \$18.50 per share (which represented a 28% premium over

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 14 of 21

the prior day's closing price of \$13.32 per share), and the Board directed management to negotiate a
 definitive agreement.

52. Based on this inside information, Chang purchased AFOP shares in the nominee
accounts before the public acquisition announcement. Chang also passed material nonpublic
information regarding the tender offer to his brother, which he knew had been acquired directly from
Corning and AFOP with the expectation that his brother would use the information to trade AFOP
shares.

53. From February 19, 2016 until the close of market on April 7, 2016, Chang's T. Rowe
Price Nominee Account purchased more than 85,000 AFOP shares, Chang's Scottrade Nominee
Account purchased more than 55,000 AFOP shares, and the brother's account purchased more than
70,000 AFOP shares. The brothers succeeded in purchasing these shares at an average price of
approximately \$13.00 per share, when Chang already knew that the tender offer would likely be
priced at \$18.50 per share.

14 54. As with the earlier trades, Chang and his brother placed incremental orders to buy
15 AFOP shares, with several of the orders occurring on the same day and in similar amounts. The
16 brothers' coordinated trading also coincided closely with significant events that Chang learned
17 through his leadership roles at AFOP. For example:

18	a.	As AFOP and Corning were conducting due diligence calls, on March 4, 2016,
19		Chang's brother purchased 4,000 AFOP shares, placing orders at 6:31 a.m. and 6:34
20		a.m. PST (9:31 p.m. and 9:34 p.m. Taiwan Time). Within hours, Chang logged into
21		the Scottrade Nominee Account from an AFOP IP address and purchased 4,000 AFOP
22		shares at 7:55 a.m. PST, and 5,000 AFOP shares at 8:34 a.m. PST. At 9:21 a.m. PST,
23		Chang purchased another 5,000 shares for the Scotttrade Nominee Account, and
24		approximately twenty minutes later, at 9:42 a.m. PST, Chang purchased 5,000 AFOP
25		shares in the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account, all while logged in from an AFOP IP
26		address.
27	b.	On March 9, 2016 at 10:30 a.m. PST, Chang presided over a telephonic AFOP Board

meeting in which the Board directed management to respond to Corning's then-

28

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 15 of 21

1		proposed acquisition price of \$17.50 per share with a counteroffer of up to \$19.50 per
2		share. Within forty-five minutes after the meeting, at approximately 11:16 a.m. PST,
3		Chang bought 3,000 AFOP shares in the Scottrade Nominee Account and then at
4		11:20 a.m. PST, another 5,000 AFOP shares in the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account,
5		while logged into both accounts from an AFOP IP address.
6	с.	The next day, on March 10, 2016, Chang's brother purchased 5,000 AFOP shares,
7		placing three orders between 6:59 and 7:11 a.m. PST (9:59 and 10:11 p.m. Taiwan
8		Time). Just over one hour later, at 8:19 a.m. PST, Chang purchased 5,000 AFOP
9		shares in the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account, while logged in from an AFOP IP
10		address.
11	55.	At the time Chang and his brother purchased AFOP shares in advance of the tender
12	offer, several	substantial steps to commence the tender offer had taken place. For instance, Corning
13	had delivered	an indication of interest to acquire AFOP for approximately \$17.25 per share to \$18.50
14	per share in ca	ash on December 16, 2015; Corning engaged outside counsel on January 8, 2016;
15	AFOP re-enga	aged its financial advisor on January 11, 2016; AFOP and Corning entered into an

16 exclusivity agreement on January 13, 2016; and representatives from AFOP and Corning held a

telephonic meeting to discuss due diligence and a proposed transaction timeline on January 13, 2016.

18 56. After the close of the market on April 7, 2016, AFOP issued a press release publicly
19 announcing that it had agreed to be acquired by Corning in an all-cash tender offer for \$18.50 per
20 share.

57. The next day, on April 8, 2016, Chang's brother successfully sold 40,000 shares of the
more than 130,000 AFOP shares in his account, for a profit of approximately \$200,000.

S8. On April 11, 2016, while Chang was traveling in Taiwan, both the T. Rowe Price
Nominee Account and Chang's brother's Scottrade account were logged into, simultaneously, from
the same Taiwan IP address. During this session, the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account sold its entire
position of more than 270,000 AFOP shares for an actual profit of more than \$400,000. Within two
days of liquidating the AFOP position in the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account, Chang and his brother
participated in a series of calls with T. Rowe Price. First, Chang's brother called T. Rowe Price and

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 16 of 21

1 asked whether he could receive translation assistance from his "brother, Peter Chang." Then,

2 Chang's brother called again and requested that Peter Chang be the contact on the account going3 forward.

4 59. On April 12, 2016, Chang sold 62,000 AFOP shares in the Scottrade Nominee
5 Account for a profit of more than \$300,000.

6 60. On April 13, 2016, Chang's brother sold his remaining AFOP shares for an additional
7 profit of more than \$150,000.

D. Chang Acted with Scienter

9 61. At the time of the trading described above, Chang knew, or was reckless in not
10 knowing, that the information regarding AFOP's acquisition and its earnings results and financial
11 performance was material and confidential to AFOP.

12 62. At the time of the trading described above, Chang owed AFOP a fiduciary duty, or an 13 obligation arising from a similar relationship of trust or confidence, to keep confidential material nonpublic information regarding AFOP's acquisition, as well as its earnings results and financial 14 15 performance. Chang knew or was reckless in not knowing that he owed AFOP a fiduciary duty, or an 16 obligation arising from a similar relationship of trust or confidence, to keep this information 17 confidential. In particular, Chang was aware of several restrictions prohibiting him from trading, or 18 tipping others to trade, based on the confidential AFOP information, including but not limited to the 19 company's insider trading policy, trading blackout periods, and the deal-related agreements he signed 20 with Corning.

At the time of the trading described above, Chang acted with deceptive intent. Among
other things, Chang's scheme involved concealing the AFOP shares in the nominee accounts, his
control over the nominee accounts, and his relationship with his wife. Specific examples are detailed
below.

25

8

Chang's Failure to Report AFOP Trades in the Nominee Accounts He Controlled

64. As described above, Chang used the two brokerage accounts opened in the names of
other persons to disguise his control over the trading in those accounts. However, Chang did not
disclose the AFOP trades in the nominee accounts he controlled in accordance with certain securities

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 17 of 21

reporting requirements under the federal securities laws. In particular, as an officer and as a director
 of AFOP, Chang was required to publicly report the AFOP securities he held and any changes in his
 AFOP position within two business days following the transaction.

4 65. Indeed, Chang's disclosures reflected only the AFOP shares held on behalf of "Peter
5 C. Chang," his family trust, family foundation, or his sons. For instance, on or around February 17,
6 2015, Chang filed an Annual Statement of Changes in Beneficial Ownership with the Commission on
7 SEC Form 5, in which he claimed to own AFOP securities as of December 31, 2014, totaling
8 1,414,640; he claimed direct (versus indirect) ownership of these AFOP securities.

66. Chang made other representations about the AFOP securities he owned in the
February 2015 Form 5, but he did not describe his true ownership position in AFOP shares. In
particular, Chang did not disclose that as of December 31, 2014, he owned an additional 54,000
AFOP shares in the account he controlled in his wife's name, nor that he owned an additional
206,715 AFOP shares in the account he controlled in his brother's name.

In addition, during 2015 and 2016, Chang filed with the Commission three Statements
of Changes in Beneficial Ownership on SEC Form 4, on or about April 8, 2015, August 31, 2015,
and May 3, 2016. In the April 2015 Form 4, Chang described his acquisition of AFOP securities
issued to him as restricted stock units, and his purported holdings of securities beneficially owned
following that transaction (totaling 1,714,640); however, this figure omitted the AFOP shares Chang
then held in the account in the name of his wife (totaling 43,000), and in the account in the name of
his brother (totaling 141,442).

68. Similarly, Chang failed to include in the August 2015 Form 4 and the May 2016 Form
4 the true amount of AFOP securities beneficially owned following the reported transactions, because
he omitted the shares of AFOP he then held in the accounts in the name of his wife and his brother.

24

Chang's Concealment of His Control over the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account

69. As part of the scheme, Chang also disguised his control over the T. Rowe Price
Nominee Account in communications with T. Rowe Price regarding the account. On or about May 1,
27 2015, Chang placed a phone call to T. Rowe Price. Chang was inquiring of the brokerage firm as to
why a hold had been put on the account related to his AFOP position.

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 18 of 21

1 70. During the May 1 phone call, the T. Rowe Price representative asked the caller what 2 his name was, and Chang responded falsely: "Daniel Chang." The T. Rowe Price representative 3 explained that the hold had been placed on the account pending clarification as to whether the account owner, "Daniel Chang," was related to "Peter C. Chang," the "Chairman of AFOP." The 4 5 representative stated that if the account owner was related, T. Rowe Price would not be able to 6 complete the request, as the clearing broker would prohibit it. With confirmation that they were not 7 related, T. Rowe Price would complete his request.

8 71. In response, Chang stated to the T. Rowe Price representative that a large percentage 9 of Chinese people had the last name "Chang." This response was evasive and misleading. The 10 representative indicated his understanding that the account owner was not related to Peter Chang and 11 informed Chang that a note would therefore be made to the account confirming that the account 12 owner was not related to Peter Chang. Chang did not correct this misimpression.

13 72. Despite Chang's efforts to obfuscate his control of the account, T. Rowe Price later discovered that the account holder was at least related to Peter Chang. Thus, in late March 2016, T. 14 15 Rowe Price sent a notification to the email account on record (a new email address that included 16 'danielchangtwn" in the address) indicating that the account would need to be transferred out of T. 17 Rowe Price's brokerage services within 30 days. Within two weeks of this notification, on or about 18 April 11, 2016, the shares remaining in the account, including AFOP common stock, were sold.

19

Chang's Concealment of His Relationship with His Wife

20 73. Even after the sales of his AFOP shares and the completion of the Corning tender 21 offer, Chang continued to conceal his control of the nominee accounts, going so far as to deny or 22 obscure his relationship with his wife. For instance, on or about June 5, 2016, Financial Industry 23 Regulatory Authority ("FINRA") sent a letter to AFOP regarding trading in AFOP's shares around 24 the time of Corning's acquisition announcement, through its Office of Fraud Detection and Market 25 Intelligence. The FINRA letter requested that AFOP's officers and directors review a list of the 26 names of persons whose accounts had been identified by FINRA as having traded in AFOP, and to 27 identify any past or present relationships the officers or directors had with the account holders. 28

74. FINRA's list identified the accounts held by Chang's brother, "Chi-Tai Chang," and

COMPLAINT

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 19 of 21

1	his wife, "Cheau-Shya Chen," but did not identify the account nominally held by "Daniel Chang"	
2	(i.e., the T. Rowe Price Nominee Account). Although Chang identified "Chi-Tai Chang" as his	
3	brother, Chang did not identify his wife.	
4	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
5	Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder	
6	75. Paragraphs 1 through 74 are hereby re-alleged and are incorporated herein by	
7	reference.	
8	76. Defendant Chang, with scienter, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities	
9	as set forth above, directly or indirectly:	
10	a. employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;	
11	b. made untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary	
12	in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they	
13	were made, not misleading; and	
14	c. engaged in acts, practices, and courses of business which operated or would operate a	S
15	a fraud or deceit upon other persons, including purchasers and sellers of securities;	
16	by the use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, and of the mails, and the	
17	facilities of a national securities exchange.	
18	77. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant Chang violated, and unless restrained and	
19	enjoined will continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule	
20	10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.	
21	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
22	Violations of Section 14(e) of the Exchange Act and Rule 14e-3 Thereunder	
23	78. Paragraphs 1 through 74 are hereby re-alleged and are incorporated herein by	
24	reference.	
25	79. By January 2016, a substantial step or steps had been taken to commence a tender	
26	offer of AFOP's securities by Corning, as more fully set forth above. Defendant Chang thereafter	
27	purchased, or caused to be purchased, AFOP securities while in possession of material nonpublic	
28	information related to the tender offer. Defendant Chang knew or had reason to know the	

Case 5:17-cv-05438 Document 1 Filed 09/20/17 Page 20 of 21

information related to the tender offer was nonpublic and had been acquired directly or indirectly
 from AFOP or Corning, or from an officer, director, employee or another person acting on behalf of
 AFOP or Corning, before such information and its source were publicly disclosed.

80. Defendant Chang, in connection with a tender offer, directly or indirectly, made untrue
statements of material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the
statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

81. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant violated, and unless restrained and enjoined
will continue to violate, Section 14(e) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78n(e), and Rule 14e-3
thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.14e-3.

10

11

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violations of Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 16a-3 Thereunder

12 82. Paragraphs 1 through 74 are hereby re-alleged and are incorporated herein by13 reference.

14 83. Defendant Chang, by engaging in the conduct described above, and as a director and
15 an officer of AFOP, failed to file within the required timeframe, the required statements of his
16 beneficial ownership of AFOP securities and required statements of changes in beneficial ownership
17 of AFOP securities, setting forth his purchases and sales of AFOP securities alleged above.

18 84. Defendant Chang, by engaging in the conduct described above, and as a director and
19 an officer of AFOP, filed or caused to be filed with the Commission a statement of beneficial
20 ownership on Form 5 and subsequent statements of beneficial ownership on Forms 4, as more fully
21 set forth above, which were false and misleading in that they materially misstated Defendant Chang's
22 beneficial ownership, or the changes in Chang's beneficial ownership, of AFOP securities.

85. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendant Chang violated, and unless
restrained and enjoined, will continue to violate, Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C.
§78p(a), and Rule 16a-3 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §240.16a-3.

26

- 27
- 28

COMPLAINT

	1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
4 Permanently restraining and enjoining Defendant Chang, his officers, agents, servants, 5 employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with him who receiver actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, from directly or indirectly violating 7 Sections 10(b), 14(e) and 16(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), 78n(e), and 78p(a), and 8 Rules 10b-5, 14e-3, and 16a-3 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5, 240.14e-3, and 240.16a-3. 9 II. 10 Ordering Defendant Chang to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, all illicit trading profits, 11 losses avoided, or other ill-gotten gains received by him, directly or indirectly, as a result of the 12 conduct alleged herein. 13 III. 14 Prohibiting Defendant Chang from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a 15 class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 780(d). 17 IV. 18 Ordering Defendant Chang to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange 19 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-l, and pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3) 20 V. 21 Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. 22 SERAFIM	2	WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment:		
5 employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with him who receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, from directly or indirectly violating Sections 10(b), 14(e) and 16(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), 78n(e), and 78p(a), and Rules 10b-5, 14e-3, and 16a-3 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5, 240.14e-3, and 240.16a-3. 9 II. 10 Ordering Defendant Chang to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, all illicit trading profits, losses avoided, or other ill-gotten gains received by him, directly or indirectly, as a result of the conduct alleged herein. 11 Iosses avoided, or other ill-gotten gains received by him, directly or indirectly as a result of the conduct alleged herein. 13 III. 14 Prohibiting Defendant Chang from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78/ or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d). 17 IV. 18 Ordering Defendant Chang to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d). 19 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-l, and pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d). 20 V. 21 Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. 22 I/S Serafima Krikunova 23 Dated: September 20, 2017	3	I.		
actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, from directly or indirectly violating Sections 10(b), 14(e) and 16(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78n(e), and 78p(a), and Rules 10b-5, 14e-3, and 16a-3 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5, 240.14e-3, and 240.16a-3. II. Ordering Defendant Chang to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, all illicit trading profits, losses avoided, or other ill-gotten gains received by him, directly or indirectly, as a result of the conduct alleged herein. II. Prohibiting Defendant Chang from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78/ or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d). IV. Ordering Defendant Chang to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-1, and pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3) Other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. Dated: September 20, 2017 Respectfully submitted, /// Serafima Krikunova SERAFTMA KRIKUNOVA Attorney for Plaintiff Securities And EXCHANGE COMMISSION COMMISSION	4	Permanently restraining and enjoining Defendant Chang, his officers, agents, servants,		
 Sections 10(b), 14(e) and 16(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78n(e), and 78p(a), and Rules 10b-5, 14e-3, and 16a-3 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5, 240.14e-3, and 240.16a-3. II. Ordering Defendant Chang to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, all illicit trading profits, losses avoided, or other ill-gotten gains received by him, directly or indirectly, as a result of the conduct alleged herein. III. Prohibiting Defendant Chang from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78l or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78l(d). IV. Ordering Defendant Chang to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-l, and pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3) Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. Dated: September 20, 2017 Respectfully submitted, Atormey for Plaintiff SECURTIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 	5	employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with him who receive		
8 Rules 10b-5, 14e-3, and 16a-3 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5, 240.14e-3, and 240.16a-3. 9 II. 10 Ordering Defendant Chang to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, all illicit trading profits, 11 losses avoided, or other ill-gotten gains received by him, directly or indirectly, as a result of the 12 conduct alleged herein. 13 III. 14 Prohibiting Defendant Chang from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a 15 class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78/ or that is 16 required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78/ or that is 16 required to file reports pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d). 17 IV. 18 Ordering Defendant Chang to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange 19 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-1, and pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3) 20 V. 21 Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. 22 Jated: September 20, 2017 Respectfully submitted, 24 SECAFIMA KRIKUNOVA Attorney for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA 	6	actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, from directly or indirectly violating		
9 II. 10 Ordering Defendant Chang to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, all illicit trading profits, 11 losses avoided, or other ill-gotten gains received by him, directly or indirectly, as a result of the 12 conduct alleged herein. 13 Image: Image	7	Sections 10(b), 14(e) and 16(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78n(e), and 78p(a), and		
10 Ordering Defendant Chang to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, all illicit trading profits, 11 losses avoided, or other ill-gotten gains received by him, directly or indirectly, as a result of the 12 conduct alleged herein. 13 Image: Imag	8	Rules 10b-5, 14e-3, and 16a-3 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5, 240.14e-3, and 240.16a-3.		
11 losses avoided, or other ill-gotten gains received by him, directly or indirectly, as a result of the 12 conduct alleged herein. 13 III. 14 Prohibiting Defendant Chang from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a 15 class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78 <i>l</i> or that is 16 required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78o(d). 17 IV. 18 Ordering Defendant Chang to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange 19 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-l, and pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3) 20 V. 21 Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. 22 Jated: September 20, 2017 23 Dated: September 20, 2017 24 SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA 25 <u>/s/ Serafima Krikunova</u> 26 SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA 27 SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA 28 COMMISSION	9	II.		
12 conduct alleged herein. 13 III. 14 Prohibiting Defendant Chang from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a 15 class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78/ or that is 16 required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78/ or that is 17 IV. 18 Ordering Defendant Chang to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange 19 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-1, and pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3) 20 V. 21 Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. 22 Intel: September 20, 2017 23 Pated: September 20, 2017 24 Intel: September 20, 2017 25 Intel: September 20, 2017 26 Intel: September 20, 2017 27 Serafima Krikunova 28 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE 29 Intel: September 20, 2017 20 Intel: September 20, 2017 21 Serafima Krikunova 22 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE 23 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	10	Ordering Defendant Chang to disgorge, with prejudgment interest, all illicit trading profits,		
13 Image: Constraint of the text of	11	losses avoided, or other ill-gotten gains received by him, directly or indirectly, as a result of the		
14 Prohibiting Defendant Chang from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a 15 class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78/ or that is 16 required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78(d). 17 Image: Ima	12	conduct alleged herein.		
 class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78/ or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 780(d). IV. Ordering Defendant Chang to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-1, and pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3 V. Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. Dated: September 20, 2017 Respectfully submitted, <i>(s/ Serafima Krikunova</i>) SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA Attorney for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 	13	III.		
 required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78o(d). IV. Ordering Defendant Chang to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-1, and pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3) K. Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. Dated: September 20, 2017 Respectfully submitted, <i>/s/ Serafima Krikunova</i> SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA Attorney for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 	14	Prohibiting Defendant Chang from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a		
Instruction IN. 18 Ordering Defendant Chang to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange 19 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-l, and pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3) 20 V. 21 Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. 22 Dated: September 20, 2017 23 Dated: September 20, 2017 24 /s/ Serafima Krikunova 25 SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA 26 Attorney for Plaintiff 27 COMMISSION	15	class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78 <i>l</i> or that is		
 Ordering Defendant Chang to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-l, and pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3) V. Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. Dated: September 20, 2017 Respectfully submitted, <i>(s/ Serafima Krikunova</i>) SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA Attorney for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 	16	required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 780(d).		
19 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-l, and pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3) 20 V. 21 Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. 22 23 23 Dated: September 20, 2017 24 25 25 /s/ Serafima Krikunova 26 SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA 27 COMMISSION	17	IV.		
20V.21Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate.22Jated: September 20, 201723Dated: September 20, 201724Kespectfully submitted,25/s/ Serafima Krikunova26SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA Attorney for Plaintiff27Image: September 20, 2017	18	Ordering Defendant Chang to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange		
21Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate.22Dated: September 20, 2017Respectfully submitted,24	19	Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-l, and pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3).		
22 23 Dated: September 20, 2017 Respectfully submitted, 24 25 <u>/s/ Serafima Krikunova</u> 26 SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA Attorney for Plaintiff 27 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	20	V.		
 23 Dated: September 20, 2017 Respectfully submitted, 24 25 /s/ Serafima Krikunova 26 SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA Attorney for Plaintiff 27 COMMISSION 	21	Granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate.		
24 25 26 27 24 25 26 27 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	22			
 25 26 27 25 26 27 27 25 25 26 27 27 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 25 25 26 27 27 27 27 28 29 29 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 25 25 26 27 27 28 29 29 29 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 26 27 26 27 27 26 27 27 27 26 27 27 27 26 27 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 20 20 21 2	23	Dated: September 20, 2017 Respectfully submitted,		
 26 27 SERAFIMA KRIKUNOVA Attorney for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 	24			
26 Attorney for Plaintiff 27 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE 27 COMMISSION	25			
27 COMMISSION	26	Attorney for Plaintiff		
28	27			
	28			