The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act").

II.

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

A. RESPONDENTS

1. Air Packaging Technologies, Inc. ("AIRP")1 (CIK 1085117) is a Delaware corporation located in Valencia, California with common stock registered with the Commission under Exchange Act Section 12(g). AIRP is delinquent in its periodic filings, having last filed a periodic report for the period ending September 30, 2002, and has a void status with the Secretary of State of Delaware. AIRP reported assets of $1,688,862, liabilities of $3,995,661, and a net loss of $2,352,676 for the nine months ending September 30, 2002. AIRP’s common stock was quoted on the Pink Sheets as of August 26, 2005. For the six months ending November 2, 2005, AIRP had an average daily trading volume of 7,241 shares.

1 The short form of each issuer’s name is also its stock symbol.
2. Apparel Technologies, Inc. (“APTX”) (CIK 908338) is a Delaware corporation located in Commerce, California with common stock registered with the Commission under Exchange Act Section 12(g). APTX is delinquent in its periodic filings, having last filed a periodic report for the period ending February 28, 1998, and has a void status with the Secretary of State of Delaware. APTX reported assets of $3,759,000, liabilities of $5,419,000, and a net loss of $4,966,000 for the nine months ending February 28, 1998. On October 30, 1998, APTX filed for bankruptcy under Chapter 7 in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California. The bankruptcy proceeding closed on March 2, 2005, at which time the estate of APTX had a zero balance. APTX’s common stock was quoted on the Pink Sheets as of August 26, 2005. For the six months ending November 2, 2005, APTX had an average daily trading volume of 2,155 shares.

3. Chantal Pharmaceutical Corp. (“CHTL”) (CIK 721408) is a Delaware corporation located in Los Angeles, California with common stock registered with the Commission under Exchange Act Section 12(g). CHTL is delinquent in its periodic filings, having last filed a periodic report for the period ending March 31, 1998. CHTL reported assets of $11,828,075, liabilities of $10,578,939, and a net loss of $4,268,112 for the nine months ending March 31, 1998. In a Form 8-K filed on October 13, 1998, CHTL stated that it had substantially curtailed its operations, and expressed doubt as to whether it could continue as a going concern. CHTL’s common stock was quoted on the Pink Sheets as of August 26, 2005. For the six months ending November 2, 2005, CHTL had an average daily trading volume of 11,981 shares.

4. Crown Laboratories, Inc. (“CLWB”) (CIK 847385) is a Delaware corporation located in Scottsdale, Arizona with common stock registered with the Commission under Exchange Act Section 12(g). CLWB is delinquent in its periodic filings, having last filed a periodic report for the period ending June 30, 1998. CLWB reported assets of $10,715,847, liabilities of $6,325,234, and a net loss of $2,138,770 for the six months ending June 30, 1998. CLWB’s common stock was quoted on the Pink Sheets as of August 26, 2005. For the six months ending November 2, 2005, CLWB had an average daily trading volume of 3,774 shares.

5. DBS Industries, Inc. (“DBSS”) (CIK 857502) is a Delaware corporation located in Mill Valley, California with common stock registered with the Commission under Exchange Act Section 12(g). DBSS is delinquent in its periodic filings, having last filed a periodic report for the period ending March 31, 2002, and has a void status with the Secretary of State of Delaware. DBSS reported assets of $14,861,641, liabilities of $4,975,900, and a net loss of $2,311,196 for the three months ending March 31, 2002. DBSS’s common stock was quoted on the Pink Sheets as of August 26, 2005. For the six months ending November 2, 2005, DBSS had an average daily trading volume of 10,834 shares.

6. Dental/Medical Diagnostic Systems, Inc. (“DMDS”) (CIK 738194) is a Delaware corporation located in Woodland Hills, California with common stock registered with the Commission under Exchange Act Section 12(g). DMDS is delinquent in its periodic filings, having last filed a periodic report for the period ending December
31, 2000, and has a void status with the Secretary of State of Delaware. DMDS reported assets of $12,809,065, liabilities of $12,997,786, and a net loss of $20,130,194 for the year ending December 31, 2000. On July 24, 2001, DMDS filed for bankruptcy under Chapter 7 in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California. The bankruptcy proceeding closed on October 23, 2003, at which time DMDS's estate had a zero balance. DMDS's common stock was quoted on the Pink Sheets as of August 26, 2005. For the six months ending November 2, 2005, DMDS had an average daily trading volume of 776 shares.

7. Hybrid Networks, Inc. (“HYBR”) (CIK 900091) is a Delaware corporation located in San Jose, California with common stock registered with the Commission under Exchange Act Section 12(g). On June 29, 2000, the Commission obtained a permanent injunction against HYBR in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The injunction enjoined the issuer and its officers and agents from violating Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder. HYBR has violated that permanent injunction, having not filed a periodic report since the period ending March 31, 2002. HYBR also has a void status with the Secretary of State of Delaware. HYBR reported assets of $2,120,000, liabilities of $14,798,000, and a net loss of $7,155,000 for the three months ending March 31, 2002. HYBR terminated all of its business operations and sold substantially all of its remaining assets in foreclosure on May 31, 2002. HYBR’s common stock was quoted on the Pink Sheets as of August 26, 2005. For the six months ending November 2, 2005, HYBR had an average daily trading volume of 5,289 shares.

8. iPrint Technologies, Inc. (“IPRT”) (CIK 1099795) is a Delaware corporation located in Santa Clara, California with common stock registered with the Commission under Exchange Act Section 12(g). IPRT is delinquent in its periodic filings, having last filed a periodic report for the period ending June 30, 2002, and has a forfeited status with the Secretary of State of Delaware. IPRT reported assets of $15,503,000, liabilities of $15,091,000, and a net loss of $28,096,000 for the six months ending June 30, 2002. On October 22, 2002, IPRT assigned all of its assets for the benefit of its creditors. IPRT’s common stock was quoted on the Pink Sheets as of August 26, 2005. For the six months ending November 2, 2005, IPRT had an average daily trading volume of 6,864 shares.

B. DELINQUENT PERIODIC FILINGS

9. This case concerns eight companies with classes of securities registered with the Commission that are delinquent in their periodic reports with the Commission (see Chart of Delinquent Filings, attached hereto as Appendix 1). These registrants have the following facts in common. First, they have been delinquent in their periodic filing obligations with the Commission for at least 32 months. Second, all have registered securities that are quoted on the Pink Sheets. Third, they are all located in the Western United States.

10. Exchange Act Section 13(a) and the rules promulgated thereunder require issuers with classes of securities registered pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12 to file
with the Commission current and accurate information in periodic reports, even if the registration is voluntary under Section 12(g). Specifically, Rule 13a-1 requires issuers to file annual reports (Forms 10-K or 10-KSB), and Rule 13a-13 requires issuers to file quarterly reports (Forms 10-Q or 10-QSB).

11. As a result of the foregoing, the Respondents failed to comply with Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder.

III.

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors to institute public administrative proceedings to determine:

A. Whether the allegations in Section II are true and, in connection therewith, to afford the Respondents an opportunity to establish any defenses to such allegations; and,

B. Whether it is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors to suspend for a period not exceeding twelve months, or revoke the registration of each class of securities of the Respondents identified in Section II registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

IV.

IT IS ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.110].

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondents shall file Answers to the allegations contained in this Order within ten (10) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.220].

If Respondents fail to file the directed Answers, or fail to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondents may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against them upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f) and 310 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f), and 201.310].

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondents personally or by certified mail.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision not later than 120 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.360(a)(2)].
In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not “rule making” within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

By the Commission.

Jonathan G. Katz
Secretary

Attachment