I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative and cease-and-desist proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Sections 203(e) and 203(k) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (“Advisers Act”) against BiscayneAmericas Advisers L.L.C. (“Biscayne” or “Respondent”).

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over it and the subject matter of these proceedings, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative and Cease-and-Desist Proceedings Pursuant to Sections 203(e) and 203(k) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions and a Cease-and-Desist Order (“Order”), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds\(^1\) that:

\(^1\) The findings herein are made pursuant to Respondent’s Offer and are not binding on any other person or entity in this or any other proceeding.
Summary

1. Biscayne, a registered investment adviser, is an investment adviser to private funds. This matter concerns Biscayne’s violations of the federal securities laws in connection with the financial statement audits of private funds that Biscayne advised. Biscayne failed to timely distribute annual audited financial statements prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”) to investors in certain private funds that it advised. In addition, Biscayne did not promptly update its Forms ADV as new events regarding those audits occurred. These failures resulted in violations of Section 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rule 206(4)-2 thereunder, commonly referred to as the “custody rule,” and Section 204(a) and Rule 204-1(a) thereunder, which required Biscayne to update certain information about Biscayne’s private fund audits in its Forms ADV.

Respondent

2. BiscayneAmericas Advisers L.L.C. ("Biscayne") is a Florida limited liability company with its principal place of business in Miami, Florida. Biscayne has been registered with the Commission as an investment adviser since May 17, 1999. On its Form ADV dated March 29, 2022, Biscayne reported that it had approximately $694 million in regulatory assets under management, including $677 million managed in pooled investment vehicles.

Other Relevant Entities

3. abl SPV, L.P. ("abl") is a private fund formed as a Cayman Islands limited partnership. At all relevant times, an affiliate under common control with Biscayne was the general partner of abl. Biscayne has been the investment adviser to abl since March 2020.

4. BA Tech Master, L.P. ("BA Tech") is a private fund formed as a Cayman Islands limited partnership. At all relevant times, an affiliate under common control with Biscayne was the general partner of BA Tech. Biscayne has been the investment adviser to BA Tech since July 2016.

5. Ngena Investment SPV, L.P. ("Ngena"). and, collectively with abl and BA Tech, the "Funds") is a private fund formed as a Cayman Islands limited partnership. At all relevant times, an affiliate under common control with Biscayne was the general partner of Ngena. Biscayne has been the investment adviser to Ngena since July 2018.

Biscayne Failed to Distribute Required Audited Financial Statements

6. The custody rule requires that registered investment advisers who have custody of client funds or securities implement an enumerated set of requirements to prevent the loss, misuse, or misappropriation of those assets.
7. An investment adviser has custody of client assets if it holds, directly or indirectly, client funds or securities, or if it has the ability to obtain possession of those assets. See Advisers Act Rule 206(4)-2(d)(2). A related person of Biscayne has served as the managing member or general partner of the Funds at all relevant times, and has had the authority to make decisions for, and act on behalf of, the Funds. Biscayne is therefore deemed to have custody of each Fund’s assets as defined in Advisers Act Rule 206(4)-2.

8. An investment adviser with custody of client assets must, among other things: (i) ensure that a qualified custodian maintains the client assets; (ii) notify the client in writing of accounts opened by the adviser at a qualified custodian on the client’s behalf; (iii) have a reasonable basis for believing that the qualified custodian sends account statements at least quarterly to clients, except if the client is a limited partnership or limited liability company for which the adviser or a related person is a general partner or managing member, the account statements must be sent to each limited partner or member; and (iv) ensure that client funds and securities are verified by actual examination each year by an independent public accountant at a time chosen by the accountant without prior notice or announcement to the adviser. See Advisers Act Rule 206(4)-2(a)(1)-(5).

9. The custody rule provides an alternative to complying with the requirements of Advisers Act Rule 206(4)-2(a)(2), (3) and (4) for investment advisers to limited partnerships or other types of pooled investment vehicles. The custody rule provides that an investment adviser “shall be deemed to have complied with” the independent verification requirement and is not required to satisfy the notification and accounts statements delivery requirements with respect to a fund if the fund is subject to audit at least annually and “distributes [the fund’s] audited financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles to all limited partners . . . within 120 days of the end of [the fund’s] fiscal year” (“Audited Financials Alternative”). See Advisers Act Rule 206(4)-2(b)(4). The accountant performing the audit must be an independent public accountant that is registered with, and subject to regular inspection by, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (“PCAOB”). See Advisers Act Rule 206(4)-2(b)(4)(ii). An investment adviser to a limited partnership that fails to meet the requirements of the Audited Financials Alternative to timely distribute audited financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP would need to satisfy all of the requirements of Rule 206(4)-2(a)(2)-(4) in order to avoid violating the custody rule.

10. In 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021, with respect to Ngena, Biscayne purported to rely on the Audited Financials Alternative in order to comply with the custody rule, but Biscayne failed to timely deliver the audited financials to the Ngena’s investors. Accordingly, Biscayne did not satisfy the requirements of the Audited Financials Alternative in Rule 206(4)-2(b)(4) for Ngena. It was therefore obligated to comply with Advisers Act Rule 206(4)-2(a)(2), (3) and (4), which Biscayne also failed to do.

11. In 2019, 2020, and 2021, with respect to BA Tech, Biscayne purported to rely on the Audited Financials Alternative in order to comply with the custody rule, but Biscayne failed to timely deliver the audited financials to BA Tech’s investors. Accordingly, Biscayne did not satisfy the requirements of the Audited Financials Alternative in Rule 206(4)-2(b)(4) for BA Tech. It was
therefore obligated to comply with Advisers Act Rule 206(4)-2(a)(2), (3) and (4), which Biscayne also failed to do.

12. In 2020 and 2021, with respect to abl, Biscayne purported to rely on the Audited Financials Alternative in order to comply with the custody rule, but Biscayne failed to timely deliver the audited financials to abl’s investors. Accordingly, Biscayne did not satisfy the requirements of the Audited Financials Alternative in Rule 206(4)-2(b)(4) for abl. It was therefore obligated to comply with Advisers Act Rule 206(4)-2(a)(2), (3) and (4), which Biscayne also failed to do.

Biscayne Failed to Promptly Amend Information In Its Forms ADV Concerning the Private Fund Audits

13. Item 7.B of Form ADV, Part 1A requires an investment adviser to state whether it is an adviser to any private fund. In that case, the adviser must also complete Section 7.B.(1) of Form ADV, Part 1A, Schedule D.

14. Section 7.B.23.(a) requires an investment adviser to disclose the following information for each private fund managed by the adviser: (i) whether the private fund’s financial statements are subject to an annual audit (Section 7.B.23.(a)(1)); (ii) whether those financial statements, if annually audited, are prepared in accordance with GAAP (Section 7.B.23.(a)(2)); (iii) an identification of the auditing firm and whether the firm is an independent public accountant registered with the PCAOB that is subject to the PCAOB’s regular inspection (Section 7.B.23.(a), (b), (d), (e), and (f)); and (iv) whether the private fund’s audited financial statements for the most recently completed fiscal year have been distributed to fund investors (Section 7.B.23.(g)).

15. Last, Section 7.B.23.(h) requires an investment adviser to state whether all of the audit reports prepared by the auditing firm for each of its advised funds, since the adviser’s last annual updating amendment, contained unqualified audit opinions. In Section 7.B.23.(h), the private fund investment adviser must state “Yes,” “No,” or “Report Not Yet Received.”

16. Section 204(a) of the Advisers Act and Rule 204-1(a) thereunder require a registered investment adviser to amend its Form ADV at least annually, and more frequently as required by the instructions to Form ADV. In addition, the instructions to Form ADV, Part 1A, Schedule D, Section 7.B.23.(h) state that “If you check ‘Report Not Yet Received,’ you must promptly file an amendment to your Form ADV to update your response when the report is available.”

17. In its Form ADV filings dated March 27, 2019, March 27, 2020, and March 31, 2021, Part 1A, Schedule D, Section 7.B., paragraph 23(h), concerning Ngena, Biscayne stated “Report Not Yet Received” to the question, “Do all of the reports prepared by the auditing firm for the private fund since your last updating amendment contain unqualified opinions?” Biscayne received audit opinions for Ngena on July 1, 2019, June 26, 2020, and May 20, 2021, respectively. However, Biscayne did not update or revise its Forms ADV until its next annual updating amendments (approximately 8-10 months after receiving the audit opinions).
Violations

18. As a result of the conduct described above, Biscayne willfully\(^2\) violated Sections 204(a) and 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rules 204-1(a) and 206(4)-2 thereunder.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Biscayne’s Offer.

Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 203(e) and 203(k) of the Advisers Act, it is hereby ORDERED that:

A. Respondent cease and desist from committing or causing any violations and any future violations of Sections 204(a) and 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rules 204-1(a) and 206(4)-2 thereunder.

B. Respondent is censured.

C. Respondent shall pay a civil money penalty in the amount of $135,000 to the Securities and Exchange Commission for transfer to the general fund of the United States Treasury, subject to Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Section 21F(g)(3). If timely payment is not made, additional interest shall accrue pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §3717. Payment shall be made in the following installments: $33,750 within 10 days of entry of this Order; $33,750 within 120 days of entry of this Order; $33,750 within 240 days of entry of this Order; and $33,750 within 360 days of entry of this Order. Payments shall be applied first to post order interest, which accrues pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3717. Prior to making the final payment set forth herein, Respondent shall contact the staff of the Commission for the amount due. If Respondent fails to make any payment by the date agreed and/or in the amount agreed according to the schedule set forth above, all outstanding payments under this Order, including post-order interest, minus any payments made, shall become due and payable immediately at the discretion of the staff of the Commission without further application to the Commission.

Payment must be made in one of the following ways:

(1) Respondent may transmit payment electronically to the Commission, which will provide detailed ACH transfer/Fedwire instructions upon request;

---

\(^2\) “Willfully,” for purposes of imposing relief under Section 203(e) of the Advisers Act “‘means no more than that the person charged with the duty knows what he is doing.’” Wonsover v. SEC, 205 F.3d 408, 414 (D.C. Cir. 2000) (quoting Hughes v. SEC, 174 F.2d 969, 977 (D.C. Cir. 1949)). There is no requirement that the actor “also be aware that he is violating one of the Rules or Acts.” Tager v. SEC, 344 F.2d 5, 8 (2d Cir. 1965). The decision in The Robare Group, Ltd. v. SEC, which construed the term “willfully” for purposes of a differently structured statutory provision, does not alter that standard. 922 F.3d 468, 478-79 (D.C. Cir. 2019) (setting forth the showing required to establish that a person has “willfully omit[ted]” material information from a required disclosure in violation of Section 207 of the Advisers Act).
Respondent may make direct payment from a bank account via Pay.gov through the SEC website at http://www.sec.gov/about/offices/ofm.htm; or

Respondent may pay by certified check, bank cashier’s check, or United States postal money order, made payable to the Securities and Exchange Commission and hand-delivered or mailed to:

Enterprise Services Center
Accounts Receivable Branch
HQ Bldg., Room 181, AMZ-341
6500 South MacArthur Boulevard
Oklahoma City, OK 73169

Payments by check or money order must be accompanied by a cover letter identifying Biscayne as a Respondent in these proceedings, and the file number of these proceedings; a copy of the cover letter and check or money order must be sent to Kimberly L. Frederick, Assistant Regional Director, Denver Regional Office, Securities and Exchange Commission, 1961 Stout Street, Suite 1700, Denver, CO 80294.

D. Amounts ordered to be paid as civil money penalties pursuant to this Order shall be treated as penalties paid to the government for all purposes, including all tax purposes. To preserve the deterrent effect of the civil penalty, Respondent agrees that in any Related Investor Action, it shall not argue that it is entitled to, nor shall it benefit by, offset or reduction of any award of compensatory damages by the amount of any part of Respondent’s payment of a civil penalty in this action (“Penalty Offset”). If the court in any Related Investor Action grants such a Penalty Offset, Respondent agrees that it shall, within 30 days after entry of a final order granting the Penalty Offset, notify the Commission’s counsel in this action and pay the amount of the Penalty Offset to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such a payment shall not be deemed an additional civil penalty and shall not be deemed to change the amount of the civil penalty imposed in this proceeding. For purposes of this paragraph, a “Related Investor Action” means a private damages action brought against Respondent by or on behalf of one or more investors based on substantially the same facts as alleged in the Order instituted by the Commission in this proceeding.

By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary