UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
Before the  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
Release No. 95935 / September 27, 2022  

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING  
File No. 3-21177  

In the Matter of  

JOSHUA LOUIS RUPP,  
Respondent.  

ORDER INSTITUTING ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING REMEDIAL SANCTIONS  

I.  

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") against Joshua Louis Rupp ("Respondent").  

II.  

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, Respondent admits the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in paragraphs III.2 below, and consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions ("Order"), as set forth below.  

III.  

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:
1. From January 2018 through July 2019, Rupp solicited investors to trade exchange listed securities on their behalf. Rupp provided investors with fake documents purporting to show he was associated with a licensed broker dealer. Rupp was not licensed in the securities industry nor affiliated with any licensed broker dealer. Rupp, 37 years old, is a resident of Michigan.

2. On November 30, 2021, the Court accepted Rupp’s guilty plea to one count of securities fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1348(1) in the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, in United States v. Joshua Louis Rupp, No. 1:21-cr-185. On March 25, 2022, a judgment in the criminal case was entered against Rupp. He was sentenced to a prison term of 192 months followed by five years of supervised release and ordered to make restitution in the amount of $2,730,319.54.

3. In connection with that plea, Respondent admitted that he defrauded at least 19 victims by convincing them to give him money to trade securities. He claimed that he was a licensed broker and affiliated with licensed firms when he was neither.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Rupp’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act that Respondent Rupp be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, Respondent Rupp be, and hereby is barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promotor, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing to attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.
Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, compliance with the Commission’s order and payment of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement or civil penalties ordered by a Court against the Respondent in any action brought by the Commission; (b) any disgorgement amounts ordered against the Respondent for which the Commission waived payment; (c) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (d) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (e) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary