UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 95894 / September 23, 2022

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-21150

In the Matter of
Raymond T. Clark,
Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") against Raymond T. Clark ("Respondent").

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, Respondent admits the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in paragraph III.B.2 below, and consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions ("Order"), as set forth below.
III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. Between August 2010 and August 7, 2014, Clark was associated with Dynasty Capital Partners, Inc. (“Dynasty”), a broker-dealer registered with the Commission until September 26, 2015. Clark, 45 years old, is a resident of Valrico, Florida.

2. On August 6, 2018, the U.S. District Court for the Western District of New York accepted Clark’s guilty plea to one count of wire fraud in violation of U.S.C. § 1343, and one count of money laundering in violation of U.S.C. § 1957, in United States v. Clark, Case No. 18-CR-00134-001. On March 27, 2019, Clark was sentenced to a prison term of 27 months followed by three years of supervised release and ordered to make restitution in the amount of $822,279.

3. In connection with his plea agreement, Clark admitted he induced three investors to collectively invest over $822,279 with him by falsely representing that their funds would be used to, among other things, purchase shares of a publicly traded oil-and-gas company and ownership interests in two hedge funds. Instead, Clark used these investors’ funds to pay for his personal expenses and other purposes that were not disclosed to investors. Clark’s conduct occurred from approximately February 2013 through August 2014, while he was associated with Dynasty.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Clark’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, that Respondent Clark be, and hereby is banned from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, Respondent Clark be, and hereby is barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, compliance with the Commission’s order and payment of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement or civil penalties ordered by a Court against the Respondent in any action brought by the Commission; (b) any disgorgement amounts ordered against the Respondent for which the Commission waived payment; (c) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (d) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as
the basis for the Commission order; and (e) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary