UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 95858 / September 22, 2022

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-21126

In the Matter of
ROBERT A. VANETTEN,
Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the
public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to
Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Robert A.
Vanetten (“Respondent”).

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer
of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the
purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the
Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings
herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these
proceedings and the findings contained in paragraphs III. (2) below, which are admitted,
Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant To
Section 15(B) Of The Securities Exchange Act Of 1934, Making Findings, And Imposing
Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.
III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that

1. Between in or about March 2016 and in or about May 2017, Vanetten acted as an unregistered broker for Nova Oculus Partners, LLC, formerly known as the Eye Machine. Through a company he owned, Vanetten solicited investors on behalf of Eye Machine. Vanetten raised at least $442,000 in investor money for Eye Machine, for which he received approximately $152,020 in commissions. The Eye Machine offering did not qualify for any exemptions from these registration requirements, in part because of the prior securities fraud enforcement orders and judgments against the Eye Machine founder Peter H. Pocklington and its other unregistered broker Yolanda C. Velazquez. Vanetten, 65 years old, is resident of Mission Viejo, California.

2. On May 9, 2022, a final judgment was entered by consent against Vanetten, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”) and Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Peter H. Pocklington, et al., Civil Action Number 5:18-cv-00701-JGB-SP, in the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

3. The Commission’s complaint alleged that Vanetten sold unregistered securities, and that Vanetten acted as an unregistered broker.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, that Respondent be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act Respondent be, and hereby is barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock, with the right to apply for reentry after one year to the appropriate self-regulatory organization, or if there is none, to the Commission.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, compliance with the Commission’s order and payment of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement or civil penalties ordered by a Court against the Respondent in any action brought by the Commission; (b) any disgorgement amounts ordered
against the Respondent for which the Commission waived payment; (c) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (d) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (e) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary