UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

BEFORE THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940
Release No. 5458 / March 4, 2020

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-19723

In the Matter of
Eric D. Lyons,
Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 203(f) OF THE
INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940,
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (“Advisers Act”) against Eric D. Lyons (“Respondent”).

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings and the findings contained in paragraph III.2 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.
III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. Lyons was an investment adviser who offered investments in three hedge-funds structured as limited partnerships. Lyons marketed these limited partnership investments under the name “Synchrony.” In each Synchrony fund, Lyons exercised exclusive control over the general partners’ operations, trading strategies and investments. And, for his investment advisory services, Lyons received compensation derived from periodic asset-based fees that the general partners charged funds. Lyons, 49 years old, is a resident of Concord, Massachusetts.

2. On February 28, 2020, a final judgment was entered by consent against Lyons, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”), Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, and Sections 206(1), 206(2), and 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rule 206(4)-8 thereunder, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Eric D. Lyons, et al., Civil Action Number 1:19-cv-10785, in the United States District Court for Massachusetts.

3. The Commission’s complaint alleged that, while acting as an investment adviser to the Synchrony funds, Lyons misused and misappropriated approximately $570,000 from the funds. The complaint also alleged that, in an effort to replace some of this misappropriated money, Lyons induced an investor to invest $300,000 in one of his general partnerships through the use of false and materially misleading statements about a purported large-scale offer to invest in a Synchrony fund and a purported hundred million dollar business valuation for his advisory business.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Lyons’ Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Advisers Act, that Respondent Lyons be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization;

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, compliance with the Commission’s order and payment of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement or civil penalties ordered by a Court against the Respondent in any action brought by the Commission; (b) any disgorgement amounts ordered against the Respondent for which the Commission waived payment; (c) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (d) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (e) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.
By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary