

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 90051 / September 30, 2020

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-20108

In the Matter of

Milton J. Dosal, Jr.,

Respondent.

**ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS**

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Milton J. Dosal, Jr. (“Dosal” or “Respondent”).

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings and the findings contained in paragraph III.2 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that

1. From approximately December 2017 to February 2019, Dosal acted as an unregistered broker by offering to trade securities on behalf of various investors and by holding

himself out as a broker. Dosal did not hold any securities licenses and was never registered as or associated with a registered broker-dealer. Dosal, age 29, is a resident of Brighton, Colorado.

2. On September 28, 2020, a final judgment was entered by consent against Dosal, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”) and Sections 10(b) and 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Milton J. Dosal, Jr., Civil Action Number 1:20-cv-02844-RBJ, in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado.

3. The Commission’s complaint alleged that, among other things, from approximately December 2017 through February 2019, Dosal operated a Ponzi scheme that targeted U.S. military service members and others. Dosal obtained nearly \$98,000 from approximately 41 investors, a number of whom were cadets at the United States Air Force Academy, under the guise that he would day-trade stocks on their behalf. Rather than trading stocks, Dosal used most of the investor funds to pay back prior investors and for personal expenses, including gambling and jewelry. Dosal furthered and covered up his fraud by making Ponzi payments (i.e., using new investor funds to make payments owed to other investors) and by making false statements to investors about his trading activity and their investment returns.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Dosal’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, that Respondent Dosal be, and hereby is barred from association with any investment adviser, broker, dealer, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act Respondent Dosal be, and hereby is barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, compliance with the Commission’s order and payment of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement or civil penalties ordered by a Court against the Respondent in any action brought by the Commission; (b) any disgorgement amounts ordered against the Respondent for which the Commission waived payment; (c) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (d)

any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (e) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary