

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**  
**Before the**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**Release No. 88463 / March 24, 2020**

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING**  
**File No. 3-19734**

**In the Matter of**

**ANTHONY B. BRANDEL and**  
**M.Y. CONSULTANTS, INC.**

**Respondents.**

**ORDER INSTITUTING ADMINISTRATIVE**  
**PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION**  
**15(b) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE**  
**ACT OF 1934 AND NOTICE OF HEARING**

**I.**

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Anthony B. Brandel (“Respondent Brandel”) and M.Y. Consultants, Inc. (“Respondent M.Y. Consultants”) (collectively “Respondents”).

**II.**

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

1. Respondent Brandel was the sole director of Respondent M.Y. Consultants from at least August 2009 until fall 2011. Respondent Brandel, 52 years old, is serving a prison sentence of 87 months and is presently located at the Federal Corrections Institution in Terre Haute, Indiana.

2. Respondent M.Y. Consultants was a consulting firm formed under the laws of Nevada in April 2007 with its principal place of business in Las Vegas, Nevada.

3. From at least August 2009 until the fall 2011, Respondents acted as unregistered brokers.

4. On October 9, 2014, a final judgment was entered against Respondent M.Y. Consultants, finding that it violated Sections 5 and 17(a) of Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities

Act”) and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, in the civil action entitled SEC v. Malom Group AG, et al.; 2:13-cv-2280, in the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada. The final judgment also permanently enjoined Respondent M.Y. Consultants from future violations of the foregoing securities laws and imposed monetary relief that included disgorgement of \$10,595,000, prejudgment interest of \$766,565.37, and a civil penalty of \$980,318.

5. On June 29, 2017, a final judgment was entered against Respondent Brandel, finding that he violated Sections 5 and 17(a) of Securities Act and Sections 15(a) and 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, in the civil action entitled SEC v. Malom Group AG, et al.; 2:13-cv-2280, in the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada. The final judgment also permanently enjoined Respondent Brandel from future violations of the foregoing securities laws and imposed monetary relief that included disgorgement of \$4,920,000, prejudgment interest of \$1,015,020.15, and a civil penalty of \$630,000.

6. The Commission’s complaint alleged that from at least August 2009 until the fall 2011, the Respondents participated in an investment scheme that defrauded more than 30 investors out of approximately \$11 million, engaged in fraudulent and deceptive conduct in connection with investors’ purchases of securities in furtherance of the scheme, and acted as unregistered brokers. Respondents served as the main point of contact with investors explaining the investments, collecting millions of dollars of investor funds through escrow agreements, and lulling investors about the status of the transactions. The complaint also alleged that the Respondents made misrepresentations to investors including that their funds would be used in trading programs from which investors were guaranteed to earn astronomical profits. Instead of utilizing investor funds for the promised transactions, Respondent misappropriated the investors’ funds for his own use or diverted the funds to other participants in the scheme.

### III.

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

- A. Whether the allegations set forth in Section II hereof are true and, in connection therewith, to afford Respondent an opportunity to establish any defenses to such allegations;
- B. What, if any, remedial action is appropriate in the public interest against Respondent pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act;
- C. Whether, pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act, it is appropriate and in the public interest to suspend or bar Respondent from participating in any offering of penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock; or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.

#### IV.

IT IS ORDERED that a public hearing before the Commission for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed by further order of the Commission, pursuant to Rule 110 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.110.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within twenty (20) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.220(b).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Division of Enforcement and Respondent shall conduct a prehearing conference pursuant to Rule 221 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.221, within fourteen (14) days of service of the Answer. The parties may meet in person or participate by telephone or other remote means; following the conference, they shall file a statement with the Office of the Secretary advising the Commission of any agreements reached at said conference. If a prehearing conference was not held, a statement shall be filed with the Office of the Secretary advising the Commission of that fact and of the efforts made to meet and confer.

If Respondent fails to file the directed Answer, or fails to appear at a hearing or conference after being duly notified, the Respondent may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against him upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f) and 310 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f), and 201.310.

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondent by any means permitted by the Commission's Rules of Practice.

Attention is called to Rule 151(b) and (c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.151(b) and (c), providing that when, as here, a proceeding is set before the Commission, all papers (including those listed in the following paragraph) shall be filed with the Office of the Secretary and all motions, objections, or applications will be decided by the Commission. The Commission requests that an electronic courtesy copy of each filing should be emailed to [APFilings@sec.gov](mailto:APFilings@sec.gov) in PDF text-searchable format. Any exhibits should be sent as separate attachments, not a combined PDF.

The Commission finds that it would serve the interests of justice and not result in prejudice to any party to provide, pursuant to Rule 100(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.100(c), that notwithstanding any contrary reference in the Rules of Practice to filing with or disposition by a hearing officer, all filings, including those under Rules 210, 221, 222, 230, 231, 232, 233, and 250 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.210, 221, 222, 230, 231, 232, 233, and 250, shall be directed to and, as appropriate, decided by the Commission. This proceeding shall be deemed to be one under the 75-day timeframe specified in Rule of Practice 360(a)(2)(i), 17 C.F.R. § 201.360(a)(2)(i), for the purposes of applying Rules of Practice 233 and 250, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.233 and 250.

The Commission finds that it would serve the interests of justice and not result in prejudice to any party to provide, pursuant to Rule 100(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.100(c), that the Commission shall issue a decision on the basis of the record in this proceeding, which shall consist of the items listed at Rule 350(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.350(a), and any other document or item filed with the Office of the Secretary and accepted into the record by the Commission. The provisions of Rule 351 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.351, relating to preparation and certification of a record index by the Office of the Secretary or the hearing officer are not applicable to this proceeding.

The Commission will issue a final order resolving the proceeding after one of the following: (A) The completion of post-hearing briefing in a proceeding where the public hearing has been completed; (B) The completion of briefing on a motion for a ruling on the pleadings or a motion for summary disposition pursuant to Rule 250 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.250, where the Commission has determined that no public hearing is necessary; or (C) The determination that a party is deemed to be in default under Rule 155 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.155, and no public hearing is necessary.

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not "rule making" within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Vanessa A. Countryman  
Secretary