In the Matter of

JAY ZOLA SCORATOW,

Respondent.

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") against Jay Zola Scoratow ("Respondent").

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings and the findings contained in paragraph III.B. below, which is admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions ("Order"), as set forth below.
III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

A. Respondent, age 63, and a resident of La Jolla, California, was associated with and provided substantial assistance to individuals and entities that engaged in the business of effecting transactions in, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase and sale of, securities. During the period relevant to this action, Respondent’s associates were neither registered with the Commission as either a broker or a dealer nor associated with a broker or dealer registered with the Commission.

B. On January 22, 2020, a judgment was entered by consent against Respondent, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"); Sections 10(b) and 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act; and Exchange Act Rule 10b–5, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Messier et al., Civil Action Number 20-cv-105-BAS (AGS), in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California.

C. The Commission’s complaint alleged that, in connection with the offer and sale of securities, Respondent, among other things and acting through his various business entities, engaged in a fraudulent scheme. Respondent also, acting through his various business entities and using the mails or other means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, knowingly provided substantial assistance to others who effected transactions in, or induced or attempted to induce the purchase and sale of, securities while neither he nor those he assisted were registered with the Commission as a broker or dealer or associated with an entity registered with the Commission as a broker or dealer.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED, pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, that Respondent be, and hereby is, barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, Respondent be, and hereby is, barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.

Any reapplication for association by Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment.
of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary