UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 87973 / January 15, 2020

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-19660

In the Matter of

ANTHONY ORTH,
Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") against Anthony Orth ("Respondent" or "Orth").

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement ("Offer") that the Commission has determined to accept. Respondent admits the facts set forth in Section III. below, acknowledges that his conduct violated the federal securities laws, admits the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions ("Order"), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. From approximately 2006 through 2012, Orth served as a senior vice president of
Bio Defense Corporation, a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Boston, Massachusetts. Bio Defense ceased operations in 2013. While it operated, Bio Defense’s purported business was the development and sale of a machine that allegedly disinfected mail contaminated by bioterrorism pathogens. Orth is currently a resident of California.

2. On September 6, 2019, a final judgment was entered by summary judgment against Orth, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Sections 5 and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”), Sections 10(b) and 15(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Bio Defense Corporation, et al., Civil Action Number 1:12-cv-11669, in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.

3. The Commission’s complaint alleged that, from August 2008 through at least October 2010, Orth participated in a scheme to defraud overseas investors in the offer and sale of Bio Defense securities through international boiler room operators that collected 75% of every dollar raised by Bio Defense’s sales of securities. The complaint also alleged that, in connection with his management of two of the call center fundraising operations, Orth received a fee of fifteen percent of the net investor proceeds retained by Bio Defense after payments to the boiler rooms. The complaint further alleged that from 2008 through October 2010, Orth acted as an unregistered broker in the sale of Bio Defense’s unregistered securities.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Orth’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act that Respondent Orth be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act Respondent Orth be, and hereby is barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, compliance with the Commission’s order and payment of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement or civil penalties ordered by a Court against the Respondent in any action brought by the Commission; (b) any disgorgement amounts ordered against the Respondent for which the Commission waived payment; (c) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (d) any self-regulatory
organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as
the basis for the Commission order; and (e) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization,
whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary