On October 18, 2011, the Commission issued an Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Rule 102(e) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice, Making Findings and Imposing Remedial Sanctions against Lisa C. Berry, Esq. (“Berry”), that, among other things, suspended Berry from appearing or practicing before the Commission as an attorney for five years with a right to apply for reinstatement at the conclusion of that term (“Order”) provided certain conditions were met. In the Matter of Lisa C. Berry, Admin. Proc. No. 3-14589 (Oct. 18, 2011). As part of a separate consent judgment to resolve a related civil injunctive action brought by the Commission, on October 7, 2011, Berry was permanently enjoined by the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (“Northern District”) from future violations of Sections 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act of 1933, Section 13(b)(5) of the Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) and Rule 13b2-1 under the Exchange Act, and from aiding and abetting violations of Sections 13(a), 13(b)(2)(A), 13(b)(2)(B) and 14(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 12b-20, 13a-1, 13a-11, 13a-13 and 14a-9 thereunder. Berry was also ordered to pay: (i) disgorgement with interest totaling $77,120; and (ii) a $350,000 civil money penalty.
II.

On or about February 3, 2017, more than five years after she had been suspended by the Commission, Berry filed an application for reinstatement. As part of the reinstatement process, Berry has sworn under penalty of perjury that she has complied with the Order, that she is not subject to any suspension or disbarment as an attorney by a court of the United States or of any state, territory, district, commonwealth or possession, and that she has not been convicted of a felony or misdemeanor involving moral turpitude as set forth in Rule 102(e)(2) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice. Since entry of the Order, no information has come to the attention of the Commission relating to Berry’s character, integrity, professional conduct, or qualifications to practice before the Commission that would be a basis for denying her application, or for an adverse action against her pursuant to Rule 102(e) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice.

III.

Based on the foregoing, the Commission has determined that it is appropriate to reinstate Berry to appear and practice before the Commission as an attorney.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Lisa C. Berry is reinstated to practice before the Commission as an attorney.

By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Acting Secretary