UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES ACT OF 1933
Release No. 10647 / June 14, 2019

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 86108 / June 14, 2019

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-19202

In the Matter of

INDUSTRIAL AND
COMMERCIAL BANK OF
CHINA FINANCIAL
SERVICES LLC,

Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING ADMINISTRATIVE
AND CEASE-AND-DESIST PROCEEDINGS,
PURSUANT TO SECTION 8A OF THE
SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 AND SECTION
15(b) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934, MAKING FINDINGS, AND
IMPOSING REMEDIAL SANCTIONS AND A
CEASE-AND-DESIST ORDER

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the
public interest that public administrative and cease-and-desist proceedings be, and hereby are,
instituted pursuant to Section 8A of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") and Section 15(b)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") against Industrial and Commercial Bank
of China Financial Services LLC ("ICBCFS" or "Respondent").

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer
of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the
purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the
Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings
herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over it and the subject matter of these
proceedings, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting
Administrative and Cease-and-Desist Proceedings, Pursuant to Section 8A of the Securities Act of
1933 and Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing
Remedial Sanctions and a Cease-and-Desist Order ("Order"), as set forth below.
III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

**Summary**

1. These proceedings arise out of ICBCFS’s improper practices with respect to securities lending transactions involving pre-released American Depositary Receipts (“ADRs”).

2. ADR facilities, which provide for the issuance of ADRs, are established by a depository bank (“Depositary”) pursuant to a deposit agreement (“Deposit Agreement”).

3. Typically, a Depositary issues ADRs to a market participant that has delivered the corresponding number of foreign securities to the Depositary’s foreign custodian (“Custodian”). However, in certain situations, Deposit Agreements may provide for “pre-release” transactions in which a market participant can obtain newly issued ADRs from the Depositary before delivering ordinary shares to the Custodian. Only brokers (or other market participants) that have entered into pre-release agreements with a Depositary (“Pre-Release Agreements”) can obtain pre-released ADRs from the Depositary. The Pre-Release Agreements, consistent with the Deposit Agreements, require the broker receiving the pre-released ADRs (“Pre-Release Broker”), or its customer on whose behalf the Pre-Release Broker is acting, to beneficially own the ordinary shares represented by the ADRs, and to assign all beneficial rights, title, and interest in those ordinary shares to the Depositary while the pre-release transaction is outstanding. In effect, the Pre-Release Broker or its customer becomes the temporary custodian of the ordinary shares that would otherwise have been delivered to the Custodian.

4. From at least September 2011 until approximately December 2015, ICBCFS was a Pre-Release Broker that obtained pre-released ADRs directly from four Depositaries pursuant to Pre-Release Agreements. Contrary to certain provisions in the Pre-Release Agreements and the Deposit Agreements, associated persons on ICBCFS’s securities lending desk regularly obtained pre-released ADRs from Depositaries and loaned them to customers or counterparties without taking reasonable steps to determine whether the requisite number of ordinary shares

---

1 The findings herein are made pursuant to Respondent’s Offer of Settlement and are not binding on any other person or entity in this or any other proceeding.

2 ADRs are securities that allow U.S. investors to invest in foreign companies without having to purchase shares in the foreign markets and allow foreign companies to get increased exposure to U.S. markets.

3 The securities deposited typically are equity securities, but debt securities may also underlie ADRs.
was owned and custodied by ICBCFS or its counterparties. The result of this conduct was the issuance of ADRs that in many instances were not backed by ordinary shares as required by the Deposit Agreements. This conduct violated Section 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act.

5. In addition, ICBCFS failed to establish and implement effective policies and procedures to address whether ICBCFS’s associated persons complied with the firm’s obligations in connection with pre-release transactions, such as determining ownership of the underlying ordinary shares. As a result, ICBCFS’s supervisory policies and procedures were not reasonably designed and implemented to provide effective oversight of associated persons to prevent and detect their violations of Securities Act Section 17(a)(3), and ICBCFS failed reasonably to supervise its associated persons within the meaning of Section 15(b)(4)(E) of the Exchange Act.

Respondent

6. ICBCFS, headquartered in New York, is registered with the Commission as a broker-dealer. ICBCFS has been a wholly-owned subsidiary of Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Limited since 2010.

Background

ADRs and the Pre-Release of ADRs

7. ADRs are negotiable instruments that represent an ownership interest in a specified number of foreign securities that have been deposited with a Depositary. ADRs may be traded on U.S. stock exchanges or over-the-counter.

8. An ADR is either “sponsored” or “unsponsored.” If the ADR is sponsored, the Deposit Agreement is among the foreign issuer whose securities are represented by the ADRs (i.e., the sponsor), the Depositary, and ADR holders. If the ADR is unsponsored, the agreement is between the Depositary and the ADR holders. In either case, the Deposit Agreement or ADR describes fees applicable to the ADRs and the party responsible for paying those fees. In addition, the Depositary files a Securities Act registration statement on Form F-6 with the

---

4 In a more technical sense, ADRs evidence American Depositary Shares, or ADSs, which represent the specific number of underlying securities of the same company on deposit with the Custodian in the foreign issuer’s home market. In addition, an ADR for a particular company may actually represent one ordinary share, more than one ordinary share, or a fraction of an ordinary share. The ADR-to-ordinary share ratio varies by ADR facility, based on pricing in the foreign and U.S. markets.

5 An unsponsored ADR is created by the Depositary and does not involve the formal participation (or require the agreement) of the foreign company whose securities the ADRs represent.
Commission to register the offer and sale of the ADRs, which includes the Deposit Agreement and the form of ADR as exhibits.

9. Form F-6 is used to register the offer and sale of ADRs under the Securities Act if certain conditions are met, including that the ADR holder must be entitled to withdraw the deposited securities at any time, subject to certain limited exceptions inapplicable to the matters here. Typically, when ADRs are issued, a specified number of the ordinary shares represented by the ADR are contemporaneously delivered to the Custodian. In this way, those underlying ordinary shares are in effect removed from the market and the total number of securities in the markets — ADRs plus ordinary shares — is unaffected.

10. In some situations, a person may seek to obtain ADRs through a “pre-release” transaction pursuant to a Pre-Release Agreement with a Depositary, as provided for in the Deposit Agreements and in the ADR itself. In a pre-release transaction, a market participant obtains newly issued ADRs from the Depositary (as opposed to purchasing existing ADRs on the market) without simultaneously delivering the corresponding ordinary shares to the Custodian.

11. The traditional rationale for pre-release transactions was to address settlement timing disparities that could delay delivery to the Custodian of recently purchased ordinary shares. In theory, following the traditional rationale, the pre-release transaction would be closed within a few days after the purchased ordinary shares were received by the Pre-Release Broker. Once issued, pre-released ADRs are indistinguishable from other ADRs of the same issuer and can be freely traded, even while the pre-release transaction remains open.

12. Deposit Agreements, the ADR itself, and Pre-Release Agreements govern the terms of pre-release transactions. Pre-Release Brokers may obtain pre-released ADRs directly from Depositaries with which they have entered into Pre-Release Agreements.

13. Deposit Agreements, the ADR itself, and Pre-Release Agreements typically require a representation that at the time of each pre-release and for the duration such pre-release remains outstanding, the Pre-Release Broker or its customer (i) beneficially owns corresponding ordinary shares, (ii) assigns all beneficial right, title, and interest in the shares to the Depositary, and (iii) will not take any action with respect to such shares that is inconsistent with the transfer of beneficial ownership (collectively, the “Pre-Release Obligations”). In effect, the Pre-Release Broker or the customer on whose behalf the Pre-Release Broker is acting must maintain the ordinary shares for the benefit of ADR holders, similar to how the Depositary, through its Custodian, maintains the ordinary shares when it issues ADRs that are not pre-released.

14. Deposit Agreements, the ADR itself, and Pre-Release Agreements also include provisions addressing the situation where ADRs have been pre-released over a dividend record date. The provisions typically require the Pre-Release Broker or its counterparty to ensure that foreign withholding taxes, to the extent due in connection with the dividend on the corresponding ordinary shares, are paid to the foreign jurisdiction at the rate required for ADR holders, to forward to the Depositary all dividends received on the ordinary shares, net of any foreign withholding tax paid, and to pass through any tax credits or refunds from the dividends to the Depositary. In this way, the rights and obligations of all ADR holders (including those who
hold pre-released ADRs) will be protected, and the flow of dividend and tax payments will not be altered by the fact that the ordinary shares were not simultaneously deposited with the Custodian when the pre-released ADRs were issued.

15. Significantly, these agreements are intended to ensure that, at all times until the pre-release position is closed by delivery of ordinary shares to the Custodian (or delivery of an equivalent number of ADRs to the Depositary), the Depositary and the Pre-Release Broker or its counterparty are collectively maintaining, for the benefit of ADR holders, the number of ordinary shares that corresponds to the number of outstanding ADRs. This ensures that the total number of ordinary shares plus shares represented by ADRs available in the markets is unaffected by the fact that ADRs were pre-released, and that any economic or tax impact related to holding the ordinary shares flows to the Depositary and the ADR holders for whose benefit the Depositary custodies ordinary shares.

**ICBCFS’s Pre-Release Practices**

16. From September 2011 through December 2015, ICBCFS operated a matched book or conduit securities lending desk, meaning that the desk’s goal was not to hold any position on the firm’s books. The desk generated revenue by lending securities it borrowed or otherwise obtained for more than it paid to borrow or obtain the securities.

17. ADRs were among the securities that the ICBCFS securities lending desk sourced for its customers. ICBCFS obtained its ADRs from a variety of sources, including by borrowing them from custodial banks and larger broker-dealers. In many cases, however, when ICBCFS was unable to borrow the ADRs it needed from such sources, ICBCFS sought to obtain pre-released ADRs from Depositaries.

18. ICBCFS had Pre-Release Agreements with each of the four Depositaries. In addition, three of the Depositaries required ICBCFS to sign certifications (“Certifications”) stating that it was complying with the terms of the Pre-Release Agreements. For the period September 2011 through 2014, ICBCFS representatives signed a total of ten such Certifications.

19. Despite the obligations provided for in the Deposit Agreements, Pre-Release Agreements, and Certifications, ICBCFS was negligent in failing to take reasonable steps to determine whether it complied with the Pre-Release Representations.

20. ICBCFS itself did not own ordinary shares in connection with any pre-release transactions with Depositaries. Nor did ICBCFS take reasonable steps to determine whether the broker-dealer counterparties to whom it lent the pre-released ADRs (or their customers) owned corresponding ordinary shares.

21. Instead, ICBCFS securities lending desk personnel routinely obtained pre-released ADRs through the Pre-Release Agreements and then lent them to counterparties pursuant to standard master securities loan agreements (“MSLAs”). The MSLAs did not address pre-released ADRs, and did not contain any provisions requiring compliance with any of the Pre-Release Representations.
22. In effect, ICBCFS securities lending desk personnel treated the pre-released ADRs as if they were ordinary shares used in typical securities lending transactions. Accordingly, ICBCFS securities lending desk personnel routinely obtained pre-released ADRs without taking sufficient steps to comply with the Pre-Release Representations. Moreover, given the circumstances in which ICBCFS obtained and lent pre-released ADRs, ICBCFS securities lending desk personnel should have recognized the likelihood that ICBCFS was acting as a conduit through which its counterparties were obtaining and the Depositories were issuing ADRs that were not evidenced by any ordinary shares held for the benefit of the Depositary.

23. ICBCFS securities lending desk personnel typically sought pre-released ADRs from Depositaries for two primary reasons.

24. First, ICBCFS securities lending desk personnel obtained, on a regular basis, pre-released ADRs of numerous securities and lent them to other broker-dealers that were looking to fulfill settlement obligations. Based on the nature of the broker-dealers’ requests to borrow from ICBCFS, and the fact that the requests often were for ADRs that were hard-to-borrow at the time, ICBCFS securities lending desk personnel should have recognized that the requests at times may have arisen from circumstances involving broker-dealers needing to obtain ADRs in order to comply with Regulation SHO’s locate, delivery, and close-out requirements. None of those circumstances would indicate that the broker-dealers to whom ICBCFS was lending the pre-released ADRs owned or had custody of the underlying ordinary shares. As a result, ICBCFS failed to take reasonable steps to comply with the Pre-Release Representations in connection with these transactions.

25. As a result of this conduct, ICBCFS, at times, facilitated short selling and enabled the settlement of trades with ADRs that were not actually backed by ordinary shares held for the benefit of the Depositary in accordance with the terms of the Pre-Release Agreements.

26. Second, ICBCFS securities lending desk personnel engaged in hundreds of pre-release transactions involving the sponsored ADRs of foreign issuers that were scheduled to pay dividends. ICBCFS’s counterparties (the brokers to whom ICBCFS lent the pre-released ADRs) and other parties (such as the counterparties’ customers or counterparties’ counterparties) sought to profit by holding ordinary shares in a tax advantaged situation if the tax savings were higher than the costs of borrowing or acquiring the ordinary shares at dividend time. ICBCFS, in turn, profited from these transactions by lending the pre-released ADRs at a higher rate than the rate at which it obtained ADRs from the Depositary.

27. Pursuant to the Deposit and Pre-Release Agreements, the payment of dividends to ADR holders, and any resulting taxes due to foreign tax authorities, should not have been affected by the pre-release of ADRs if all relevant parties were fulfilling their obligations under those agreements. Under these agreements, the dividend payments on ordinary shares that would otherwise have been received by the Depositories’ Custodians (i.e., in those circumstances where there was no pre-release transaction) generally should have been forwarded by ICBCFS’s counterparty to ICBCFS and on to the Depositaries. In addition, the Pre-Release Agreements provided that the applicable foreign tax withholding on that dividend payment should have been calculated as though the Depositaries owned and held the underlying ordinary shares for the
benefit of a U.S. resident holder of ADRs, consistent with the transfer of beneficial ownership of the shares to the Depositaries. In that situation, the Pre-Release Agreement would have required the Pre-Release Broker or its counterparty to pay any applicable withholding tax to the foreign jurisdiction. Thus, despite the existence of pre-released ADRs in the marketplace, all ADR holders on the record date would have been entitled to (a) receive the appropriate dividend amount, minus any withholding for foreign taxes, and (b) rely on the representations concerning transfer of beneficial ownership and, by extension, payment of any foreign taxes.

28. ICBCFS forwarded the correct net dividend amounts to the Depositaries. However, ICBCFS’s securities lending desk personnel should have understood from the circumstances of many of the transactions that those amounts may not have originated from ordinary shares held at the time of the pre-release transaction, and that its borrowers may not have been making tax payments that, under the Pre-Release Agreements, should have been paid to the foreign jurisdiction.

29. For example, ICBCFS securities lending desk personnel often entered into conversion transactions with the Depositary that issued the ADR pre-release in which the Depositary converted the pre-released ADRs into ordinary shares and then lent the ordinary shares – a fact that should have led ICBCFS to question why a counterparty, if it actually owned ordinary shares, would transfer title to those shares and then pay to borrow the same number of ordinary shares. Thus, the circumstances indicated the possibility that their counterparty was going to forward dividend payments received from the ordinary shares that ICBCFS obtained from the pre-released ADRs, rather than from any ordinary shares previously owned by the borrower.

30. ICBCFS personnel also should have understood it would not have made economic sense for its counterparties to own the underlying ordinary shares and make the tax payments as required. The potential profit in these transactions was the difference between the dividend net of taxes that a standard U.S. taxpayer would receive and the amount of dividend that a borrower could receive if it had a tax advantaged status. For example, if a standard U.S. taxpayer would only receive a net 85% of a dividend, with 15% being paid as withholding tax to the foreign jurisdiction, but the borrower (or its customer) qualified for 0% withholding, the borrower might be willing to pay total lending fees equal to an amount between 0% and 15% of the dividend in order to borrow the pre-released ADRs. In other words, the economics of the transaction indicated that the borrower would pay some portion of the ordinary withholding amount to ICBCFS, so that it could keep the remainder as its profit. Because the pre-release obligations required the borrower to also pay 15% withholding to the foreign tax authority, that should have raised questions about whether the transaction would have been profitable for the borrower if it fulfilled those obligations.

31. Under the circumstances described above, ICBCFS’s securities lending desk personnel should have known that ICBCFS’s borrowers may not have been paying withholding taxes that may have been owed to the foreign jurisdiction on dividends received on ordinary shares, and that ordinary shares were not properly custodied for the benefit of ADR holders.
32. ICBCFS failed to establish and implement policies and procedures that would be reasonably expected to determine whether its associated persons on the securities lending desk complied with the Pre-Release Representations in connection with pre-release transactions.

33. From September 2011 until approximately December 2015, ICBCFS’s net revenues from the pre-release transactions totaled approximately $24 million.

34. ICBCFS cooperated with the staff’s investigation, including by entering into tolling agreements with the Commission.

35. During the staff’s investigation, ICBCFS appointed new senior management, voluntarily discontinued its ADR pre-release practices, and enhanced its compliance department and compliance training program.

Violations and Failure Reasonably to Supervise

36. As result of the conduct described above, Respondent violated Section 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act, which prohibits, in the offer or sale of securities, engaging in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

37. Under Section 15(b)(4)(E) of the Exchange Act, broker-dealers are responsible for supervising, with a view to preventing and detecting violations of the federal securities laws, persons subject to their supervision. ICBCFS was responsible for supervising its securities lending desk personnel to address whether they were borrowing and lending pre-released ADRs that were not backed by underlying ordinary shares. ICBCFS failed reasonably to fulfill such supervisory responsibilities within the meaning of Section 15(b)(4)(E) of the Exchange Act because ICBCFS failed to establish reasonable policies and procedures, and a system for implementing such policies and procedures, that would reasonably be expected to prevent and detect the violations of Section 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act by the associated persons on the securities lending desk described above. If ICBCFS had developed reasonable policies and procedures and systems to implement those procedures, it is likely that the firm would have prevented and detected the violations of its associated persons on the securities lending desk.

ICBCFS’s Cooperation

38. In determining to accept the Offer, the Commission considered the remedial acts undertaken by Respondent and the cooperation afforded the Commission staff.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in ICBCFS’s Offer.

Accordingly, pursuant to Section 8A of the Securities Act and Section 15(b)(4) of the Exchange Act, it is hereby ORDERED that:
A. Respondent cease and desist from committing or causing any violations and any future violations of Section 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act.

B. Respondent is censured.

C. ICBCFS shall, within 30 days of the entry of this Order, pay disgorgement of $23,985,439 and prejudgment interest of $4,458,491 to the Securities and Exchange Commission for transfer to the general fund of the United States Treasury, subject to Exchange Act Section 21F(g)(3). If timely payment is not made, additional interest shall accrue pursuant to SEC Rule of Practice 600.

D. ICBCFS shall, within 30 days of the entry of this Order, pay a civil money penalty in the amount of $14,391,262 to the Securities and Exchange Commission for transfer to the general fund of the United States Treasury, subject to Exchange Act Section 21F(g)(3). If timely payment is not made, additional interest shall accrue pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §3717.

Payment must be made in one of the following ways:

(1) Respondent may transmit payment electronically to the Commission, which will provide detailed ACH transfer/Fedwire instructions upon request;

(2) Respondent may make direct payment from a bank account via Pay.gov through the SEC website at http://www.sec.gov/about/offices/ofm.htm; or

(3) Respondent may pay by certified check, bank cashier’s check, or United States postal money order, made payable to the Securities and Exchange Commission and hand-delivered or mailed to:

Enterprise Services Center
Accounts Receivable Branch
HQ Bldg., Room 181, AMZ-341
6500 South MacArthur Boulevard
Oklahoma City, OK 73169

Payments by check or money order must be accompanied by a cover letter identifying ICBCFS as Respondent in these proceedings, and the file number of these proceedings; a copy of the cover letter and check or money order must be sent to Sanjay Wadhwa, Senior Associate Director, Division of Enforcement, Securities and Exchange Commission, 200 Vesey Street, New York, NY 10281.

E. Amounts ordered to be paid as civil money penalties pursuant to this Order shall be treated as penalties paid to the government for all purposes, including all tax purposes. To preserve the deterrent effect of the civil penalty, Respondent agrees that in any Related Investor Action, it shall not argue that it is entitled to, nor shall it benefit by, offset or reduction of any award of compensatory damages by the amount of any part of Respondent’s payment of a civil
penalty in this action (" Penalty Offset"). If the court in any Related Investor Action grants such a Penalty Offset, Respondent agrees that it shall, within 30 days after entry of a final order granting the Penalty Offset, notify the Commission’s counsel in this action and pay the amount of the Penalty Offset to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such a payment shall not be deemed an additional civil penalty and shall not be deemed to change the amount of the civil penalty imposed in this proceeding. For purposes of this paragraph, a “Related Investor Action” means a private damages action brought against Respondent by or on behalf of one or more investors based on substantially the same facts as alleged in the Order instituted by the Commission in this proceeding.

F. Respondent acknowledges that the Commission is not imposing a civil penalty in excess of $14,391,262 based upon its cooperation and agreement to cooperate in a Commission investigation and related enforcement action. If at any time following the entry of the Order, the Division of Enforcement ("Division") obtains information indicating that Respondent knowingly provided materially false or misleading information or materials to the Commission, or in a related proceeding, the Division may, at its sole discretion and with prior notice to the Respondent, petition the Commission to reopen this matter and seek an order directing that the Respondent pay an additional civil penalty. Respondent may contest by way of defense in any resulting administrative proceeding whether it knowingly provided materially false or misleading information, but may not: (1) contest the findings in the Order; or (2) assert any defense to liability or remedy, including, but not limited to, any statute of limitations defense.

By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Acting Secretary