The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) and Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (“Advisers Act”) against Peter R. Kohli (“Respondent”).

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings and the findings contained in paragraphs III.2 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that

1. Kohli is the president and CEO of DMS Advisors, Inc. ("DMS Advisors"), an investment adviser registered with the Commission, and is also trustee, chairman, and CEO of The DMS Funds, an investment company registered with the Commission. From 2004 through April 2015, Kohli also was a registered representative associated with broker-dealers registered with the Commission. Kohli, 67 years old, is a resident of Pottstown, Pennsylvania.

2. On November 16, 2017, a final judgment was entered by consent against Kohli, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Sections 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, Sections 206(1), 206(2) and 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rule 206(4)-8 thereunder, and Section 34(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Peter R. Kohli, et al., Civil Action Number 5:16-cv-05143-JLS, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

3. The Commission’s complaint alleges that while Kohli solicited investors to invest in the four mutual funds run by The DMS Funds, he instead used the money for other purposes. To conceal this fraud, Kohli sent investors fake financial statements purporting to show their investments in the mutual funds. The complaint also alleges that Kohli made materially false statements in soliciting the sale of the securities of DMS Advisors’ parent company, and made material misrepresentations and omissions in mutual fund registration statements filed with the Commission.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Kohli’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, and Section 203(f) of the Advisers Act, that Respondent Kohli be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act Respondent Kohli be, and hereby is barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.
Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Brent J. Fields
Secretary