UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 82539 / January 19, 2018

INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940
Release No. 4846 / January 19, 2018

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-18343

In the Matter of

JOHN V. BIVONA,

Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
AND SECTION 203(f) OF THE
INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940,
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") and Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act") against John V. Bivona ("Bivona" or "Respondent").

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings and the findings contained in Paragraph III.2 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b)

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. From 2009 to 2014, Bivona was a controlling owner and registered representative of Felix Investments, LLC, which was formerly registered as a broker-dealer with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) until the firm’s expulsion in August 2014. From at least October 2013, Bivona managed and controlled Saddle River Advisors, LLC (“Saddle River”), which advised pooled investment vehicles, and SRA Management, LLC, which was the managing member and an adviser to three pooled investment vehicles, SRA I, LLC, SRA II, LLC, and SRA III, LLC (together, the “SRA Funds”).

2. On December 22, 2017, a final judgment was entered by consent against Bivona, permanently enjoining him from violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”), 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a); Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5; Section 5 of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77e; Sections 206(1), (2) and (4) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1), (2) & (4), and Rule 206(4)-8 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 275.206(4)-8; Section 203(f) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-3(f); and Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78o(b)(6). The Final Judgment also permanently enjoined Bivona from directly or indirectly soliciting any person or entity to purchase or sell any security or security-based swap in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. John V. Bivona, et al., Civil Action Number 3:16-CV-01386-EMC, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

3. The Commission’s complaint alleged that, in connection with the offer and sale of securities and his activities as an investment adviser to the SRA Funds, Bivona helped the SRA Funds raise over $53 million from investors in early-to late-stage, pre-IPO technology companies, most of which are based in the San Francisco Bay Area. Bivona and his companies, Saddle River and SRA Management, promised the SRA Funds’ investors that their money would be used only to buy shares in the specific pre-IPO companies they were interested in and to pay specified fees. Bivona however used the investor money to purchase shares promised to earlier investors in other unrelated companies or in other affiliated investment funds. Bivona also allegedly used the SRA Funds’ bank accounts to pay a myriad of personal expenses for himself and his family and to divert money to earlier pooled investment vehicles that he also managed and advised. Bivona and entities that he controlled disguised their misconduct by continually transferring money in and out of scores of bank accounts associated with nearly a dozen
different funds and entities. Bivona also allegedly allowed his nephew, 
Frank G. Mazzola, to continue to be associated with Saddle River and Felix 
Investments by soliciting investments for compensation on behalf of these 
entities, after the Commission’s March 2014 administrative order, which 
imposed a collateral bar upon Mazzola, with the right to reapply after three 
years.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to 
 impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Bivona’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, 
and Section 203(f) of the Advisers Act that Respondent Bivona be, and hereby is, barred from 
association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal 
advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act Respondent Bivona be, and hereby is, 
barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, 
consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for 
purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the 
purchase or sale of any penny stock.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws 
and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of 
factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any 
disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially 
waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served 
as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a 
customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; 
and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct 
that served as the basis for the Commission order

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Brent J. Fields 
Secretary