UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 81718 / September 26, 2017

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-18211

In the Matter of
NARIS CHAMROONRAT,
Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Naris Chamroonrat (“Chamroonrat” or “Respondent”).

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, Respondent admits the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in paragraphs III.2 and III.4 below, and consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.
III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. Chamroonrat, age 33, is a resident of Bangkok, Thailand. Between 2013 and 2015, Chamroonrat was associated with Nonko Trading (“Nonko”), an unregistered broker-dealer. Chamroonrat has no securities licenses.

2. On September 18, 2017, a judgment was entered by consent against Chamroonrat, which, among other things, permanently enjoined him from future violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”) and Sections 10(b) and 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, including, as to the Exchange Act provisions, from committing any such violations directly or indirectly through or by means of another person, as prohibited by Section 20(b) of the Exchange Act, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Chamroonrat, et al., Civil Action Number 16-CV-09403-KM-JBC, in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (the “Civil Action”).

3. The Commission’s complaint in the Civil Action alleged that, between 2013 and 2015, Chamroonrat, with others, perpetrated a fraudulent scheme in which Nonko and its associated persons misappropriated certain of Nonko’s customers trading deposits and provided those customers with what the customers were led to believe were live securities trading accounts, but in reality were mere training accounts, operated by a trading simulator program. The Commission’s complaint further alleged that Chamroonrat, with others, marketed Nonko’s services to United States investors.


IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Chamroonrat’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED, pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, that Chamroonrat be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of
factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Brent J. Fields
Secretary