

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**  
**Before the**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**Release No. 81464 / August 23, 2017**

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING**  
**File No. 3-18135**

**In the Matter of**

**Shawna Leigh Lynch,**

**Respondent.**

**ORDER INSTITUTING**  
**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS**  
**PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE**  
**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,**  
**MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING**  
**REMEDIAL SANCTIONS**

**I.**

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Shawna Leigh Lynch (“Respondent”).

**II.**

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, Respondent admits the Commission’s jurisdiction over her and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in Sections III.B.1 below, and consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.

**III.**

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

A. RESPONDENT

1. Between September 2009 and sometime in 2015, Respondent participated in the offer and sale of stock of Sanomedics International Holdings, Inc. (“SIMH”), which was a penny stock, to individual investors while acting as an associated person of Miguel Mesa, who acted as an unregistered securities broker-dealer.<sup>1</sup> Respondent, 45 years old, is a resident of Broward County, Florida.

B. RESPONDENT’S CRIMINAL CONVICTION

1. On January 12, 2017, Respondent pled guilty to one count of conspiracy to commit mail and wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, before the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, in *United States v. Sizer, et al.*, Case No. 16-CR-20715-MGC.

2. As part of her guilty plea, Respondent stipulated that from September 2009 through sometime in 2015, she worked for Miguel Mesa, a co-conspirator. Mesa employed Respondent as a sales agent assistant in a “boiler room” that did business offering and selling Sanomedics stock in Miami-Dade County, and elsewhere. Specifically, Respondent admitted that her co-conspirators solicited individuals who invested funds in Sanomedics stock. Respondent admitted that her co-conspirators falsely represented to investors that Respondent was part of the boiler room’s “compliance department” in order to create the appearance that the boiler room was a large and legitimate business and that she participated in the fake compliance telephone calls with investors that were used to validate the transaction in Sanomedics stock. Respondent further admitted that Respondent was aware she was aiding her co-conspirators in selling investors Sanomedics stock that included false representations that a co-conspirator was an executive at Sanomedics who had vast personal wealth and was in an unique position to sell investors newly available Sanomedics stock.

**IV.**

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, that Respondent be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, Respondent be, and hereby is, barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for

---

<sup>1</sup> *SEC v. Craig. V. Sizer and Miguel Mesa*, 16-cv-24106-JAL (S.D.Fl. 2016).

purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Brent J. Fields  
Secretary