I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Chih Hsuan “Kiki” Lin (“Respondent”).

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (“Offer”) that the Commission has determined to accept. Respondent admits the facts set forth in Section III. below, acknowledges that her conduct violated the federal securities laws, admits the Commission’s jurisdiction over her and the subject matter of these proceedings, and consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:
1. Respondent was born in 1965 in Taiwan and emigrated to the United States in approximately 1999. Respondent resides in Las Vegas, Nevada and, from 2011 to the present, has done business in both Las Vegas and Los Angeles, California. Respondent has never been registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) as a broker or dealer or been associated with a broker or dealer registered with the SEC.

2. On July 10, 2015, a judgment was entered by consent against Lin, permanently enjoining her from future violations of Sections 5 and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”), Sections 10(b) and 15(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. CKB168 Holdings Ltd., et al, Civil Action Number 13-CV-5584, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York.

3. The Commission’s complaint alleged that, Lin solicited investments in an entity that was falsely portrayed as a profitable multi-level marketing company that sells web-based children’s educational courses. The complaint alleged that, in fact, the company was a fraudulent pyramid scheme. The complaint also alleged that Lin sold unregistered securities and acted as an unregistered broker-dealer.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Lin’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act that Respondent Lin be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization and

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act Respondent Lin be, and hereby is barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a
customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Brent J. Fields
Secretary