UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
Before the  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
Release No. 74711 / April 10, 2015

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING  
File No. 3-16486

In the Matter of  
WILLIAM F. FANG,  
Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING  
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,  
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING  
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against William F. Fang (“Fang” or “Respondent”).

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings and the findings contained in paragraph III.3 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.
On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that

1. Fang, 31 years old, is a resident of New York, New York. From March 2008 to July 2011, Fang was an investment banking associate and registered representative associated with Macquarie Capital (USA) Inc. (“Macquarie”), a registered broker-dealer with principal offices in New York, New York. During this time, Fang held Series 17 and 63 licenses.

2. On March 27, 2015, the Commission filed a civil action against Fang in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Securities and Exchange Commission v. Macquarie Capital (USA) Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-02304. The Commission’s complaint alleges, inter alia, that Fang, while employed at Macquarie, violated Sections 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”) in connection with his participation in Macquarie’s underwriting of Puda Coal Inc.’s December 2010 public stock offering.

3. On April 1, 2015, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York entered a final judgment by consent against Fang in the above civil action permanently enjoining him from future violations of Sections 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Fang’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, that Fang be, and hereby is:

A. Barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization;

B. Barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock;

C. With the right to apply for reentry after five (5) years to the appropriate self-regulatory organization, or if there is none, to the Commission.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially
waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Brent J. Fields
Secretary