

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**  
**Before the**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**Release No. 74697 / April 9, 2015**

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING**  
**File No. 3-16483**

**In the Matter of**  
  
**CRAIG DANZIG,**  
  
**Respondent.**

**ORDER INSTITUTING ADMINISTRATIVE  
PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION  
15(b) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934  
AND NOTICE OF HEARING**

**I.**

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Craig Danzig (“Respondent” or “Danzig”).

**II.**

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

**A. RESPONDENT**

1. From at least 2007 until 2010, Danzig was employed by StratoComm Corporation (“StratoComm”), initially as Director of Investor and Institutional Relations and subsequently as Executive Director of Institutional Relations. StratoComm is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Albany, New York, which purports to be in the business of designing, manufacturing, and selling telecommunications equipment. Danzig, 54 years old, was last known to reside in Boca Raton, Florida.

**B. ENTRY OF THE INJUNCTION**

2. On March 26, 2015, an amended final judgment was entered against Danzig, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Sections 5(a) and (c) and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”), and Sections 15(a)(1) and 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. StratoComm Corporation, et al., Civil Action Number 1:11-cv-1188, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York.

3. The Commission’s complaint alleged that during at least 2008 and 2009, Danzig assisted StratoComm in distributing public statements falsely portraying the company as actively engaged in the manufacture and sale of telecommunications systems for use in underdeveloped countries, primarily in Africa. The complaint also alleged that Danzig sold StratoComm’s securities in unregistered transactions. In addition, the complaint alleged that although Danzig was regularly involved in selling StratoComm’s securities and received transaction-based compensation in connection with such sales, he did not register as a broker or become associated with a registered broker.

**III.**

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

A. Whether the allegations set forth in Section II hereof are true and, in connection therewith, to afford Respondent an opportunity to establish any defenses to such allegations; and

B. What, if any, remedial action is appropriate in the public interest against Respondent pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act.

**IV.**

IT IS ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.110.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within twenty (20) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.220.

If Respondent fails to file the directed answer, or fails to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, Respondent may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against him

upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f) and 310 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f) and 201.310.

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondent as provided for in the Commission's Rules of Practice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 210 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice.

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not "rule making" within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Brent J. Fields  
Secretary