

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 71737 / March 18, 2014

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-15179

In the Matter of

Linda Dianne Alexander,

Respondent.

**ORDER MAKING FINDINGS
AND IMPOSING REMEDIAL
SANCTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION
15(b)(6) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

I.

On January 17, 2013, the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), deeming it appropriate and in the public interest, instituted these public administrative proceedings pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Linda Dianne Alexander (“Alexander” or “Respondent”).

II.

Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over her and the subject matter of these proceedings and the findings contained in Section III.2 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent's Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. On December 6, 2012, an Order of Permanent Injunction was entered by consent against Alexander, permanently enjoining her from future violations of Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, Sections 10(b) and 15(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Dianne Alexander, et al., Civil Action Number 1:12-CV-4028, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia.

2. The Commission's complaint alleges that, since at least 2004 Alexander has been conducting a Prime Bank-type investment fraud. The scheme involves the offer and sale of over \$15 million of securities in an unregistered offering to more than 220 investors and prospective investors in Georgia and at least 20 other states. The securities are in the form of investments in a purportedly highly clandestine Trust based in Europe that purportedly has the power to create money through fractional banking and bank debentures. Investors allegedly loan money to the Trust for automatically renewable terms of one year and one day, in exchange for 38 percent annual interest. Investors must follow the Trust's strict rules to participate in the investment. Among other things, investors must keep the Trust a secret and, if they request a return of their principal, they are banned from further participation in the Trust. The complaint further alleges that Alexander knowingly or recklessly made material misrepresentations and omissions of fact to investors and prospective investors concerning, among other things, the expected returns, the use of investor funds, and investment risks, and engaged in conduct which operated as a fraud and deceit on investors. The complaint further alleges that Alexander conducted an unregistered offering of securities and acted as an unregistered broker-dealer.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Alexander's Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act that Respondent Alexander be, and hereby is:

barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal adviser, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and

barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, any reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against Respondent, whether or not the Commission had fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Jill M. Peterson
Assistant Secretary