UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 71368 / January 22, 2014

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-15681

In the Matter of

Michael B. Spadino,
Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") against Michael B. Spadino ("Spadino" or "Respondent").

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings and the findings contained in Section III.2 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions ("Order"), as set forth below.
III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. Spadino, 49, is a resident of St. Paul, Minnesota. Spadino is not, and was not during the time of the conduct discussed below, registered as a broker-dealer or associated with a broker or dealer registered with the Commission.

2. On January 13, 2014, a final judgment was entered by consent against Spadino, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Collyard, et al., Civil Action No. 11-cv-3656, in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota.

3. The Commission’s complaint alleged that, from approximately November 2007 to December 2008, Spadino, together with Marketing Concepts, Inc., a company solely owned and controlled by Spadino, sold over $1.5 million in the securities of Bixby Energy Systems, Inc. (“Bixby”) to over 50 investors. The complaint further alleged, among other things, that Spadino and Marketing Concepts, Inc. solicited investors and fielded investor inquiries regarding Bixby. The complaint also alleged that as compensation for their sale of Bixby securities, Bixby paid Spadino and Marketing Concepts, Inc. commissions of at least $160,000 in cash. Finally, the complaint alleged that Spadino, while acting as a broker or dealer, effected transactions in, and induced and attempted to induce the purchase or sale of securities, when he was not registered with the Commission as a broker or dealer or associated with an entity registered with the Commission as a broker or dealer.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act that Respondent be, and hereby is:

barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, or transfer agent, and

barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.

with the right to apply for reentry after three years to the appropriate self-regulatory organization, or if there is none, to the Commission.
Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary