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I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the
public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted against Ronald
Baldwin, Jr. (“Respondent” or “Baldwin”) pursuant to Rule 102(e)(3)(i) of the Commission’s
Rules of Practice.1

1 Rule 102(e)(3)(i) provides, in relevant part, that:

The Commission, with due regard to the public interest and without preliminary hearing, may,
by order, . . . suspend from appearing or practicing before it any . . . accountant . . . who has
been by name . . . permanently enjoined by any court of competent jurisdiction, by reason of his
or her misconduct in an action brought by the Commission, from violating or aiding and abetting
the violation of any provision of the Federal securities laws or of the rules and regulations
thereunder.
II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in Section III.3 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Rule 102(e) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. Baldwin, age 53, is and has been a certified public accountant licensed to practice in the State of Florida since 1996. He served as Chief Financial Officer of JBI, Inc. (“JBI”) from January 1, 2010 until his resignation effective March 28, 2011.

2. JBI was, at all relevant times, a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business for a portion of the relevant period in Cambridge, Massachusetts and, later, in Thorold, Ontario, Canada, with operations in New York, Florida, Ohio and Pennsylvania. JBI was a technology company focused on data restoration and recovery and environmentally engineered product development. At all relevant times, JBI’s common stock was registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”), and was quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board.

3. On January 4, 2012, the Commission filed a complaint against Baldwin in SEC v. JBI, Inc., et al. (Civil Action No. 1:12-cv-10012-MLW). On October 16, 2013, the court entered an order permanently enjoining Baldwin, by consent, from future violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, Sections 10(b) and 13(b)(5) of the Exchange Act and Rules 10b-5 and 13b2-1 and Rule 13a-14 thereunder, and aiding and abetting violations of Sections 13(a), 13(b)(2)(A) and 13(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act and Rules 12b-20 and 13a-1 thereunder. Baldwin was also prohibited for five years from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered under Section 12 of the Exchange Act or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. In addition, Baldwin was ordered to pay a $25,000 civil money penalty.

4. The Commission’s complaint alleged, among other things, that Baldwin and others engaged in a scheme to commit securities fraud by stating materially false and inaccurate financial information on the financial statements of JBI for two reporting periods during 2009. Specifically, the complaint alleged that in an effort to boost JBI’s value as a company, Baldwin and others overstated by almost 1,000% the value of certain of JBI’s assets on the company’s financial statements for the third quarter of 2009 and the year end 2009. JBI and others then used the
overvalued financial statements in two private capital raising efforts that raised more than $8.4 million from unwitting investors.

**IV.**

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanction agreed to in Respondent Baldwin’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED, effective immediately, that:

A. Baldwin is suspended from appearing or practicing before the Commission as an accountant.

B. After five years from the date of this order, Respondent may request that the Commission consider his reinstatement by submitting an application (attention: Office of the Chief Accountant) to resume appearing or practicing before the Commission as:

1. a preparer or reviewer, or a person responsible for the preparation or review, of any public company’s financial statements that are filed with the Commission. Such an application must satisfy the Commission that Respondent’s work in his practice before the Commission will be reviewed either by the independent audit committee of the public company for which he works or in some other acceptable manner, as long as he practices before the Commission in this capacity; and/or

2. an independent accountant. Such an application must satisfy the Commission that:

   (a) Respondent, or the public accounting firm with which he is associated, is registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (“Board”) in accordance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and such registration continues to be effective;

   (b) Respondent, or the registered public accounting firm with which he is associated, has been inspected by the Board and that inspection did not identify any criticisms of or potential defects in the respondent’s or the firm’s quality control system that would indicate that the respondent will not receive appropriate supervision;

   (c) Respondent has resolved all disciplinary issues with the Board, and has complied with all terms and conditions of any sanctions imposed by the Board (other than reinstatement by the Commission); and

   (d) Respondent acknowledges his responsibility, as long as Respondent appears or practices before the Commission as an independent accountant, to comply with all requirements of the Commission and the Board, including, but not limited to, all requirements relating to registration, inspections, concurring partner reviews and quality control standards.
C. The Commission will consider an application by Respondent to resume appearing or practicing before the Commission provided that his state CPA license is current and he/she has resolved all other disciplinary issues with the applicable state boards of accountancy. However, if state licensure is dependent on reinstatement by the Commission, the Commission will consider an application on its other merits. The Commission’s review may include consideration of, in addition to the matters referenced above, any other matters relating to Respondent’s character, integrity, professional conduct, or qualifications to appear or practice before the Commission.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary