

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 69463 / April 25, 2013

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-15305

In the Matter of	:	ORDER INSTITUTING
	:	ADMINISTRATIVE
SinoHub, Inc.,	:	PROCEEDINGS AND NOTICE
	:	OF HEARING PURSUANT TO
Respondent.	:	SECTION 12(j) OF THE
	:	SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
	:	OF 1934
	:	

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Respondent SinoHub, Inc., and any successor under Exchange Act Rules 12b-2 and 12g-3 or new corporate name (“Respondent” or “SinoHub”) because it has not filed any periodic reports for any period ended after March 31, 2012.

II.

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

A. RESPONDENT

1. SinoHub (ticker symbol “SIHI”) (CIK No. 0001406574) is a Delaware corporation located in Shenzhen, People’s Republic of China. SinoHub has a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act. As of March 11, 2013, SinoHub traded in the over-the-counter markets. SinoHub’s fiscal year ends on December 31 and it is required to file periodic and other reports with the Commission as a smaller reporting company.

B. DELINQUENT PERIODIC FILINGS

2. Respondent is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission. In particular, SinoHub failed to file its Forms 10-Q for the second and third quarter for the 2012 fiscal year and failed to file a Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Additionally, SinoHub failed to respond to comments from the Commission's Division of Corporation Finance concerning the company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

3. SinoHub's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 was due on August 14, 2012. On August 14, 2012, SinoHub filed a Form 12b-25 announcing that it was unable to timely file its Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 "without unreasonable effort or expense. The Company expects to file the report within the extension period." To date, SinoHub has not filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012.

4. SinoHub's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was due on November 14, 2012. To date, SinoHub has not filed this Form 10-Q.

5. SinoHub's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 was due on April 1, 2013. To date, SinoHub has not filed this Form 10-K.

6. On September 14, 2012, the Division of Corporation Finance issued comments to SinoHub concerning the company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the Form 8-K dated September 6, 2012. On December 14, 2012, the Division of Corporation Finance notified SinoHub that the comments from the September 14, 2012 letter remained outstanding and unresolved, and that, since the company failed to provide a substantive response, it was terminating its review.

7. Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act and the rules promulgated thereunder require issuers of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act to file with the Commission current and accurate information in periodic reports. Specifically, Rule 13a-1 requires issuers to file annual reports (Form 10-K) and Rule 13a-13 requires issuers to file quarterly reports (Form 10-Q).

8. As a result of its failure to make the required periodic filings described above, Respondent failed to comply with Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder.

III.

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors that public administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

A. Whether the allegations contained in Section II are true and, in connection therewith, to afford the Respondent an opportunity to establish any defenses to such allegations; and

B. Whether it is necessary or appropriate for the protection of investors to suspend for a period not exceeding twelve months, or revoke the registration of, each

class of securities of the Respondent registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

IV.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.110].

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within ten (10) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.220(b)].

If Respondent fails to file the directed Answer, or fails to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondent may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against it upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f), and 310 of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f), and 201.310].

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondent personally or by certified, registered, or Express Mail, or by other means of verifiable delivery.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 120 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.360(a)(2)].

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not "rule making" within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary