UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940
Release No. 3410 / May 29, 2012

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-14895

ORDER INSTITUTING
In the Matter of: ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
Elijah Bang, : PURSUANT TO SECTION 203(f) OF
Respondent.: THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT
OF 1940, MAKING FINDINGS, AND
IMPOSING REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I. The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (“Advisers Act”) against Elijah Bang (“Bang” or “Respondent”).

II. In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the “Offer”) which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission’s jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, and the findings contained in Section III.2 below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”), as set forth below.

III. On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. Bang, age 31, resides in Los Angeles, California. Bang represented himself as the president of IU Group, Inc. Bang has never been registered with the Commission in any capacity.
2. On December 27, 2011, a judgment was entered by consent against Bang, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Sections 5(c) and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, and Sections 206(1), 206(2), and 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rule 206(4)-8 thereunder, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. IU Group, Inc., et al., Civil Action Number CV-11-00556 MMM (AJWx), in the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

3. The Commission’s complaint alleged that Bang attempted to solicit investors on behalf of IU Wealth, Inc., of which Bang was its principal, and which purported to operate as a hedge fund. The complaint alleged that Bang made material representations to prospective investors in IU Wealth, including falsely representing that the purported hedge fund had generated an average monthly return of 8.29%, and that the fund handled the wealth of high-net worth individuals such as athletes, actors, and producers.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Bang’s Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED:

Pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Advisers Act, that Respondent Bang be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary