

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 68544 / December 28, 2012

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-15160

In the Matter of

**Desert Mining, Inc.,
Eagle Broadband, Inc.,
Endovasc, Inc.,
Environmental Oil Processing Technology Corp.,
Falcon Ridge Development, Inc.,
Fellows Energy Ltd.,
Forster Drilling Corp.
(n/k/a Phoenix Drilling Corporation), and
Golden Autumn Holdings, Inc.,**

Respondents.

**ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE
PROCEEDINGS AND NOTICE OF
HEARING PURSUANT TO
SECTION 12(j) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934**

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against the Respondents named in the caption.

II.

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

A. RESPONDENTS

1. Desert Mining, Inc. (“DSRM”)¹ (CIK No. 1129916) is a revoked Nevada corporation located in Longmont, Colorado with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). DSRM is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2009, which reported a net loss of \$147,411 for the prior nine months. As of December 26, 2012, the common stock of DSRM was quoted on OTC Link

¹The short form of each issuer’s name is also its stock symbol.

(formerly “Pink Sheets”) operated by OTC Markets Group Inc. (“OTC Link”), had seven market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

2. Eagle Broadband, Inc. (“EAGB”) (CIK No. 1023139) is a Texas corporation located in League City, Texas with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). EAGB is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended May 31, 2007, which reported a net loss of \$15,072,000 for the prior nine months. On November 14, 2007, EAGB filed a Chapter 11 petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas, which was converted to a Chapter 7 petition on February 4, 2009. A Final Decree was entered in the bankruptcy proceeding on August 3, 2012. As of December 26, 2012, the common stock of EAGB was quoted on OTC Link, had seven market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

3. Endovasc, Inc. (“EVSC”) (CIK No. 1040415) is a revoked Nevada corporation located in Montgomery, Texas with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). EVSC is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2007. On February 3, 2008, EVSC filed a Chapter 7 petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas, which was still pending as of December 26, 2012. As of December 26, 2012, the common stock of EVSC was quoted on OTC Link, had eight market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

4. Environmental Oil Processing Technology Corporation (“EVOPQ”) (CIK No. 1106928) is an expired Utah corporation located in Nampa, Idaho with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). EVOPQ is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended September 30, 2002, which reported a net loss of \$1,057,463 for the prior nine months. On December 6, 2002, EVOPQ filed a Chapter 11 petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Idaho, which was converted to a Chapter 7 petition on January 14, 2003, and was closed on July 23, 2010. As of December 26, 2012, the common stock of EVOPQ was quoted on OTC Link, had five market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

5. Falcon Ridge Development, Inc. (“FCNR”) (CIK No. 1065659) is a revoked Nevada corporation located in Albuquerque, New Mexico with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). FCNR is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009, which reported a net loss of \$2,381,707 for the prior six months. As of December 26, 2012, the common stock of FCNR was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

6. Fellows Energy Ltd. (“FLWE”) (CIK No. 1144439) is a revoked Nevada corporation located in Louisville, Colorado with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). FLWE is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-K for the

period ended December 31, 2008, which reported a net loss of \$1,143,798 for the prior year. As of December 26, 2012, the common stock of FLWE was quoted on OTC Link, had nine market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

7. Forster Drilling Corp. (n/k/a Phoenix Drilling Corporation) (“FODL”) (CIK No. 744667) is a revoked Nevada corporation located in Houston, Texas with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). FODL is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended February 29, 2008, which reported a net loss of \$5,352,948 for the prior three months. On December 1, 2008, FODL was the subject of an involuntary Chapter 7 petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Texas which was closed on June 15, 2009. As of December 26, 2012, the common stock of FODL was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

8. Golden Autumn Holdings, Inc. (“GAHI”) (CIK No. 1303163) is a revoked Nevada corporation located in Dallas, Texas with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). GAHI is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended June 30, 2007, which reported a net loss of \$3,219,039 for the prior nine months. As of December 26, 2012, the common stock of GAHI was quoted on OTC Link, had four market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

B. DELINQUENT PERIODIC FILINGS

9. As discussed in more detail above, all of the Respondents are delinquent in their periodic filings with the Commission, have repeatedly failed to meet their obligations to file timely periodic reports, and failed to heed delinquency letters sent to them by the Division of Corporation Finance requesting compliance with their periodic filing obligations or, through their failure to maintain a valid address on file with the Commission as required by Commission rules, did not receive such letters.

10. Exchange Act Section 13(a) and the rules promulgated thereunder require issuers of securities registered pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12 to file with the Commission current and accurate information in periodic reports, even if the registration is voluntary under Section 12(g). Specifically, Rule 13a-1 requires issuers to file annual reports, and Rule 13a-13 requires domestic issuers to file quarterly reports.

11. As a result of the foregoing, Respondents failed to comply with Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder.

III.

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors that public administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

A. Whether the allegations contained in Section II hereof are true and, in connection therewith, to afford the Respondents an opportunity to establish any defenses to such allegations; and,

B. Whether it is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors to suspend for a period not exceeding twelve months, or revoke the registration of each class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act of the Respondents identified in Section II hereof, and any successor under Exchange Act Rules 12b-2 or 12g-3, and any new corporate names of any Respondents.

IV.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.110].

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Respondents shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within ten (10) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.220(b)].

If Respondents fail to file the directed Answers, or fail to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondents, and any successor under Exchange Act Rules 12b-2 or 12g-3, and any new corporate names of any Respondents, may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against it upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f), and 310 of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f), and 201.310].

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondents personally or by certified, registered, or Express Mail, or by other means permitted by the Commission Rules of Practice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 120 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.360(a)(2)].

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not “rule making” within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy
Secretary