

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
Before the  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
Release No. 67932 / September 27, 2012**

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING  
File No. 3-15047**

**In the Matter of**

**Diomed Holdings, Inc.,  
Dominion Minerals Corp.,  
EnerLume Energy Management Corp.,  
EPIX Pharmaceuticals, Inc.,  
Familymeds Group, Inc.,  
GlycoGenesys, Inc., and  
Greater Atlantic Financial Corp.,**

**Respondents.**

**ORDER INSTITUTING  
ADMINISTRATIVE  
PROCEEDINGS AND NOTICE  
OF HEARING PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 12(j) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934**

**I.**

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against the Respondents named in the caption.

**II.**

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

**A. RESPONDENTS**

1. Diomed Holdings, Inc. (“DIOMQ”)<sup>1</sup> (CIK No. 1074874) is a void Delaware corporation located in Andover, Massachusetts with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). DIOMQ is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended September 30, 2007, which reported a net loss of \$11,955,157 for the prior nine months. On March 14, 2008, DIOMQ filed a Chapter 11 petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Massachusetts, which was closed on October 27, 2011. As of September 25, 2012, the common stock of DIOMQ was quoted on OTC Link (formerly “Pink

---

<sup>1</sup>The short form of each issuer’s name is also its stock symbol.

Sheets") operated by OTC Markets Inc. ("OTC Link"), had seven market makers, and was eligible for the "piggyback" exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

2. Dominion Minerals Corp. ("DMNM") (CIK No. 1402747) is a void Delaware corporation located in New York, New York with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). DMNM is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009, which reported a net loss of \$1,266,924 for the prior three months. As of September 25, 2012, the common stock of DMNM was quoted on OTC Link, had seven market makers, and was eligible for the "piggyback" exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

3. EnerLume Energy Management Corp. ("ENLU") (CIK No. 809012) is a delinquent Colorado corporation located in Hamden, Connecticut with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). ENLU is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009, which reported a net loss of \$6,454,839 for the prior nine months. As of September 25, 2012, the common stock of ENLU was quoted on OTC Link, had seven market makers, and was eligible for the "piggyback" exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

4. EPIX Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("EPIX") (CIK No. 1027702) is a void Delaware corporation located in Lexington, Massachusetts with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). EPIX is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009, which reported a net loss of \$9,741,956 for the prior three months. As of September 25, 2012, the common stock of EPIX was quoted on OTC Link, had seven market makers, and was eligible for the "piggyback" exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

5. FamiliyMeds Group, Inc. ("FMRX") (CIK No. 921878) is a dissolved Nevada corporation located in Farmington, Connecticut with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). FMRX is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2007, which reported a net loss of \$11,873,000 for the prior three months. As of September 25, 2012, the common stock of FMRX was quoted on OTC Link, had nine market makers, and was eligible for the "piggyback" exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

6. GlycoGenesys, Inc. ("GLGSQ") (CIK No. 946661) is a permanently revoked Nevada corporation located in Boston, Massachusetts with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). GLGSQ is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005, which reported a net loss of \$7,268,970 for the prior nine months. On February 2, 2006, GLGSQ filed a Chapter 11 petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Massachusetts, which was converted to a Chapter 7 petition on June 1, 2006, and was closed on December 30, 2009. As of September 25, 2012, the common stock of

GLGSQ was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

7. Greater Atlantic Financial Corp. (“GAFC”) (CIK No. 1082735) is a void Delaware corporation located in Reston, Virginia with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). GAFC is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009, which reported a net loss of \$6,261,000 for the prior nine months. As of September 25, 2012, the common stock of GAFC was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

### **III.**

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors that public administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

A. Whether the allegations contained in Section II hereof are true and, in connection therewith, to afford the Respondents an opportunity to establish any defenses to such allegations; and,

B. Whether it is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors to suspend for a period not exceeding twelve months, or revoke the registration of each class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act of the Respondents identified in Section II hereof, and any successor under Exchange Act Rules 12b-2 or 12g-3, and any new corporate names of any Respondents.

### **IV.**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.110].

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Respondents shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within ten (10) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220(b) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.220(b)].

If Respondents fail to file the directed Answers, or fail to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondents, and any successor under Exchange Act Rules 12b-2 or 12g-3, and any new corporate names of any Respondents, may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against it upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f), and 310 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f), and 201.310].

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondents personally or by certified, registered, or Express Mail, or by other means permitted by the Commission Rules of Practice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 120 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice [17 C.F.R. § 201.360(a)(2)].

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not "rule making" within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy  
Secretary